

Stability Results of the Additive and Quartic Functional Equations in Random p-Normed Spaces

Sushma Devi^{1,*}, Asha Rani^{2,3}, Manoj Kumar³

¹Kanya Mahavidyalaya, Kharkhoda

²Pt. NRS Govt. College, Rohtak

³Baba Mastnath University, AsthalBohar, Rohtak

*Corresponding author: sheoransushma50841@gmail.com

Received April 14, 2023; Revised May 17, 2023; Accepted May 30, 2023

Abstract In this paper, we investigate the Hyers-Ulam stability of mixed type additive and quartic functional equations in Random p-normed spaces by direct and fixed-point method.

Keywords: Hyers-Ulam stability, additive functional equation, quartic functional equation, random p-normed spaces, fixed point method, direct method

Cite This Article: Sushma Devi, Asha Rani, and Manoj Kumar, "Stability Results of the Additive and Quartic Functional Equations in Random p-Normed Spaces." *Turkish Journal of Analysis and Number Theory*, vol. 11, no. 1 (2023): 7-18. doi: 10.12691/tjant-11-1-2.

1. Introduction

In 1940, the stability problems of functional equations about homomorphism of groups was introduced by Ulam [1]. In 1941, Hyers [2] gave an affirmative answer to Ulam's question for additive groups (under the assumption that groups are Banach spaces). Hyers' theorem was generalized by Aoki [3] for additive mappings and by Rassias [4] for linear mappings by considering an unbounded Cauchy difference $\|f(x+y) - f(x) - f(y)\| \leq \varepsilon (\|x\|^p + \|y\|^p)$ for all $\varepsilon > 0$ and $p \in [0,1)$. Following the same approach as Rassias, Gajda [5] gave an affirmative solution of this problem for $p > 1$ and also proved that it is possible to solve the Rassias-type $p = 1$. Also, in 1994, Rassias generalization theorem was delivered by Gavruta [6] who replaced $\varepsilon(\|x\|^p + \|y\|^p)$ by a control function $\phi(x,y)$. The paper of Rassias has significantly influenced the development of what we now call the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of functional equations. J.M. Rassias [4] followed the modern approach of the Th.M. Rassias [7] theorem in which he replaced the factor product of norms instead of sum of norms.

The functional equations

$$f(x+y) = f(x) + f(y) \quad (1.1)$$

are known as additive functional equation. Each additive solution of a functional equation must be an additive mapping. For Stability of additive, quadratic, cubic and quartic functional equations in random normed spaces, we may refer [8,9,10,11,12].

Some notions and conventions of the theory of random and random p-normed spaces are taken in our paper as in [11,12,13,14,15].

Throughout the paper Δ^+ is the distribution functions space, that is, the space of all mappings $V: \mathbb{R} \cup \{-\infty, \infty\} \rightarrow [0,1]$, such that F is left continuous and increasing on \mathbb{R} , $V(0) = 0$ and $V(+\infty) = 1$. $D^+ \subset \Delta^+$ consisting of all functions $V \in \Delta^+$ for which $l^-V(+\infty) = 1$, where $l^- \phi(s)$ denotes $l^- \phi(s) = \lim_{t \rightarrow s^-} \phi(s)$. The space Δ^+ is partially ordered by the usual point wise ordering of functions, i.e., $V \leq W \Leftrightarrow V(t) \leq W(t) \forall t \in \mathbb{R}$. The maximal element for Δ^+ in this order is the distribution function ε_0 given by

$$\varepsilon_0(t) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } t \leq 0, \\ 1 & \text{if } t > 0. \end{cases}$$

In 2012, SA Mohiuddine *et al.* [16] are to present a relationship between three various disciplines the theory of functional equation

$$2f\left(\frac{x+y+z}{2}\right) = f(x) + f(y) + f(z) \quad (1.2)$$

Recently, in 2019, Senthil Kumar *et al.* [17] proved the general solution of Hyers-Ulam stability of mixed type additive and quartic functional equations of the form

$$\begin{aligned} & f(2x+y) + f(2x-y) + f(x+2y) + f(x-2y) \\ & = 8[f(x+y) + f(x-y)] + f(2x) - 5f(x) \\ & \quad + 7f(-x) + 2f(2y) - 5f(-y) - 9f(y), \end{aligned} \quad (1.3)$$

We focus on the ensuing mixed type functional equation derived from additive and quartic mappings. We validate the Hyers-Ulam stability of equation (1.3) in p-normed spaces. It is not hard for one for one that the mixed type function $f(x) = ax + bx^4$ is a solution of the equation (1.3).

In this section, we determine the generalized Hyers-Ulam stability of mixed type additive quartic functional

equations in random p -normed space, by using direct method and fixed-point method. Following definitions and notions will be used to prove our main results:

Definition 1.1 [11] (t -norm) $T : [0,1] \times [0,1] \rightarrow [0,1]$ is a continuous triangular norm (briefly t -norm) if T satisfies the following conditions:

- i) T is commutative and associative;
- ii) T is a continuous;
- iii) $T(a, 1) = a$ for all $a \in [0,1]$;
- iv) $T(a, b) \leq T(c, d)$, whenever $a \leq c$ and $b \leq d$ for all $a, b, c, d \in [0,1]$.

Examples of continuous t -norm are $T(x, y) = xy$, $T(x, y) = \max\{x + y - 1, 0\}$ and $T(x, y) = \min(x, y)$.

Recall that, if T is a t -norm and $\{x_n\}$ are given numbers in $[0,1]$, then, $T_{i=1}^n x_i$ is defined by recursively by

$$T_{i=1}^n x_i = \begin{cases} x_1 & \text{if } n = 1, \\ T(T_{i=1}^{n-1} x_i, x_n) & \text{if } n \geq 2, \end{cases}$$

$T_{i=1}^\infty x_i$ is defined as $T_{i=1}^\infty x_{n+i}$.

Definition 1.2. [11]. A Random Normed space (briefly RN-space) is a triple (X, Θ, T) , where X is a vector space, T is a continuous t -norm and $\Theta : X \rightarrow D^+$ (for all $x \in X$, $\Theta(x)$ is denoted by Θ_x), satisfying the following conditions:

- i) $\Theta_x(t) = \varepsilon_0(t)$, for all $t > 0$ if and only if $x = 0$;
- ii) $\Theta_{\alpha x}(t) = \Theta_x\left(\frac{t}{|\alpha|}\right)$, for all $x \in X, t \geq 0$ and $\alpha \in \mathcal{R}$ with $\alpha \neq 0$;
- iii) $\Theta_{x+y}(t+u) \geq T(\Theta_x(t), \Theta_y(u))$ for all $x, y \in X$ and $t, u \geq 0$.

Definition 1.3. [11] Let (X, Θ, T) be a RN-space.

RN1) A sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X is said to be convergent to a point $x \in X$ if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Theta_{x_n - x}(t) = 1, t > 0$.

RN2) A sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X is called a Cauchy sequence if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Theta_{x_n - x_l}(t) = 1, t > 0$.

RN3) A RN-space (X, Θ, T) is said to be complete if every Cauchy sequence in X is convergent.

Definition 1.4. [13] Let X be a real linear space $p \in \mathcal{R}^+$ with $0 < p \leq 1$ and T be a continuous t -norm. The triple (X, Θ, T) is called a random p -normed space if a mapping $\Theta : X \rightarrow D^+$ (for all $x \in X$, $\Theta(x)$ is denoted by Θ_x), satisfying the following conditions:

- i) $\Theta_x(t) = \varepsilon_0(t)$, for all $t > 0$ if and only if $x = 0$;
- ii) $\Theta_{\alpha x}(t) = \Theta_x\left(\frac{t}{|\alpha|^p}\right)$, for all $x \in X, t \geq 0$ and $\alpha \neq 0$;
- iii) $\Theta_{x+y}(t+u) \geq T(\Theta_x(t), \Theta_y(u))$, for all $x, y \in X$ and $t, u \geq 0$.

Note that every p -normed space $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ defines a random p -normed space (X, Θ, T_M) where $\Theta_x(t) = \frac{t}{t + \|x\|}$,

for all $t > 0$ and T_M is the minimum t -norm. This space is called the induced random p -normed space.

Definition 1.5. [13] Let (X, Θ, T) is called a random p -normed space.

1. A sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X is said to be convergent to a point $x \in X$ if for all $t > 0$ and $\lambda > 0$, there exists a positive integer N such that $\Theta_{x_n - x}(t) > 1 - \lambda$ whenever $n \geq N$.

2. A sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X is called a Cauchy convergent if for all $t > 0$ and $\lambda > 0$, there exists a positive integer N such that $\Theta_{x_n - x_m}(t) > 1 - \lambda$ whenever $n \geq m \geq N$.

3. The random p -normed space (X, Θ, T) is said to be complete if every Cauchy sequence is convergent to a point in X .

Theorem 1.6. [14]. If (X, Θ, T) is a random normed space and $\{x_n\}$ is a sequence of X such that $x_n \rightarrow x$, then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Theta_{x_n}(t) = \Theta_x(t)$.

2. Stability Results for Additive Functional Equation by Using Direct Method

In this section, we investigate the generalized Hyers-Ulam stability problem of the functional equation (1.2), in random p -normed spaces under the minimum t -norm T_M by using direct method.

In this paper, let X be a linear space, (X, Θ', T) be a random p -normed space and (X, Θ, T_M) be a complete random p -normed space. We determine the stability of the additive functional equation defined by

$$D_{f(x,y,z)} = 2f\left(\frac{x+y+z}{2}\right) - f(x) - f(y) - f(z), \quad (2.1)$$

in random p -normed spaces by using Direct method.

Theorem 2.1. Let $\phi : X^3 \rightarrow Z$ be a mapping such that for some $0 < \alpha < 2$.

$$\Theta'_{\phi(2x, 2y, 2z)}(t) \geq \Theta'_{\alpha(x,y,z)}(t) \quad (2.2)$$

and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Theta'_{\phi(2^n x, 2^n y, 2^n z)}(2^{np} t) = 1$, for all $x, y, z \in X$ and all $t > 0$. If $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is an odd mapping with $f(0) = 0$ such that

$$\Theta_{Df(x,y,z)}(t) \geq \Theta'_{\phi(x,y,z)}(t) \quad (2.3)$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$ and all $t > 0$, then there exists a unique additive mapping $Q : X \rightarrow Y$ such that

$$\Theta_{f(x) - Q(x)}(t) \geq \Theta'_{\phi(x, 2x, x)}(2^p - \alpha^p)(t), \quad (2.4)$$

for all $x \in X$ and all $t > 0$.

Proof. Replacing y by $2x$ and z by x in (2.3), we obtain

$$\Theta_{f(x) - \frac{f(2x)}{2}}(t) \geq \Theta'_{\phi(x, 2x, x)}(2^p t), \quad (2.5)$$

for all $x \in X$ and all $t > 0$.

Replacing x by $2^n x$ in (2.5), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \Theta_{\frac{f(2^n x)}{2^n} - \frac{f(2^{n+1} x)}{2^{n+1}}}(t) \\ & \geq \Theta'_{\phi(2^n x, 2^{n+1} x, 2^n x)}\left(2^p (2^{np} t)\right) \\ & \geq \Theta'_{\phi((x, 2x, x))}\left(\left(\frac{2}{\alpha}\right)^{np} (2^p t)\right), \end{aligned} \quad (2.6)$$

for all $x \in X$ and all $t > 0$.

Since

$$f(x) - \frac{f(2^n x)}{2^n} = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \left(\frac{f(2^j x)}{2^j} - \frac{f(2^{j+1} x)}{2^{j+1}} \right), \quad (2.7)$$

for all $x \in X$ and all $t > 0$.

$$\begin{aligned} & \Theta_{f(x) - \frac{f(2^n x)}{2^n}} \left(\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \left(\frac{1}{(2)^p} \left(\frac{\alpha}{2} \right)^{jp} t \right) \right) \\ &= \Theta_{\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \left(\frac{f(2^j x)}{2^j} - \frac{f(2^{j+1} x)}{2^{j+1}} \right)} \left(\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \left(\frac{1}{(2)^p} \left(\frac{\alpha}{2} \right)^{jp} t \right) \right) \\ &\geq T_M^{n-1} \left(\Theta_{\left(\frac{f(2^j x)}{2^j} - \frac{f(2^{j+1} x)}{2^{j+1}} \right)} \left(\frac{1}{(2)^p} \left(\frac{\alpha}{2} \right)^{jp} t \right) \right) \quad (2.8) \\ &= T_M \left(\Theta'_{\phi(x, 2x, x)}, \Theta'_{\phi(x, 2x, x)}, \dots, \Theta'_{\phi(x, 2x, x)}(t) \right) \\ &= \Theta'_{\phi(x, 2x, x)}(t), \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in X$ and all $t > 0$.

Replacing x by $2^m x$ in (2.8), we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \Theta_{\frac{f(2^m x)}{2^m} - \frac{f(2^{m+n} x)}{2^{m+n}}} (t) \\ &\geq \Theta'_{\phi(x, 2x, x)} \left(\frac{(2)^p}{\sum_{j=m}^{m+n-1} \left(\frac{\alpha}{2} \right)^{jp} t} \right) \quad (2.9) \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in X$ and all $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $n > m \geq 0$. It follows from

$$\lim_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} \Theta'_{\phi(x, 2x, x)} \left(\frac{(2)^p}{\sum_{j=m}^{m+n-1} \left(\frac{\alpha}{2} \right)^{jp} t} \right) = 1,$$

that the sequence $\left\{ \frac{f(2^n x)}{2^n} \right\}$ is a Cauchy in (X, Θ, T_M) ,

and so it converges to some point $Q(x) \in Y$. We can define a mapping $Q : X \rightarrow Y$

by $Q(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\{ \frac{f(2^n x)}{2^n} \right\}$, for all $x \in X$ and

all $t > 0$. Fix $x \in X$ and put $m = 0$ in (2.9). Then, we obtain

$$\Theta_{f(x) - \frac{f(2^n x)}{2^n}}(t) \geq \Theta'_{\phi((x, 2x, x))} \left(\frac{(2)^p}{\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \left(\frac{\alpha}{2} \right)^{jp} t} \right),$$

for all $x \in X$ and all $t > 0$. For every $s > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \Theta_{f(x) - Q(x)}(s+t) \\ &\geq T_M \left(\Theta_{\frac{f(x) - \frac{f(2^n x)}{2^n}}{2^n}, \Theta_{\frac{f(2^n x)}{2^n} - Q(x)}(s)} \right) \quad (2.10) \\ &\geq T_M \left(\Theta'_{\phi((x, 2x, x))} \left(\frac{(2)^p}{\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \left(\frac{\alpha}{2} \right)^{jp} t} \right), \Theta_{\frac{f(2^n x)}{2^n} - Q(x)}(s) \right), \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in X$ and all $t > 0$. Taking the limit $n \rightarrow \infty$ in (2.10), we obtain

$$\Theta_{f(x) - Q(x)}(s+t) \geq \Theta'_{\phi((x, 2x, x))}((2^p - \alpha^p)t), \quad (2.11)$$

Thus, since s is arbitrary and taking $s \rightarrow 0$ in (2.11), we have

$$\Theta_{f(x) - Q(x)}(t) \geq \Theta'_{\phi((x, 2x, x))}((2^p - \alpha^p)t),$$

for all $x \in X$ and all $t > 0$. Thus, the condition (2.4) holds for all $x \in X$ and all $t > 0$. If we replace (x, y, z) by $(2^n x, 2^n y, 2^n z)$ in (2.3), then

$$\Theta_{\frac{Df(2^n x, 2^n y, 2^n z)}{2^n}}(t) \geq \Theta'_{\phi(2x, 2y, 2z)}(2^{np}t), \quad (2.12)$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$ and all $t > 0$. Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ in (2.12), we find that $\Theta_{DQ(x, y, z)} = 1$, for all $t > 0$, which implies $DQ(x, y, z) = 0$, for all $x, y, z \in X$. Therefore, the mapping Q is additive. Now, we prove that the additive mapping Q is unique. Let us assume that there exists another mapping $R : X \rightarrow Y$ which satisfies (2.4). For fixed $x \in X$, $Q(2^n x) = 2^n Q(x)$ and $R(2^n x) = 2^n R(x)$, all $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. It follows from (2.4) that

$$\begin{aligned} & \Theta_{Q(x) - R(x)}(t) = \Theta_{\frac{Q(2^n x)}{2^n} - \frac{R(2^n x)}{2^n}}(t) \\ &\geq T_M \left(\Theta_{\frac{Q(2^n x)}{2^n} - \frac{f(2^n x)}{2^n}} \left(\frac{t}{2} \right), \Theta_{\frac{f(2^n x)}{2^n} - \frac{R(2^n x)}{2^n}} \left(\frac{t}{2} \right) \right) \\ &\geq \Theta'_{\phi(x, 2x, x)} \left(\left(2^p - \alpha^p \right) \left(\frac{2}{\alpha} \right)^{np} t \right) \end{aligned}$$

as $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (2^p - \alpha^p) \left(\frac{2}{\alpha} \right)^{np} (t) = \infty$, we have $\Theta_{Q(x) - R(x)}(t) = 1$ for all $t > 0$. Thus, $Q(x) = R(x)$, for all $x \in X$. Hence, it is completed.

Theorem 2.2. Let $\phi : X^3 \rightarrow Z$ be a mapping such that for some $2 < \alpha$,

$$\Theta'_{\phi\left(\frac{x}{2}, \frac{y}{2}, \frac{z}{2}\right)}(t) \geq \Theta'_{\phi(x, y, z)}(\alpha^p t) \quad (2.13)$$

and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Theta'_{2^n \phi\left(\frac{x}{2^n}, \frac{y}{2^n}, \frac{z}{2^n}\right)}(t) = 1$, for all $x, y, z \in X$ and all $t > 0$. If $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be an odd mapping with $f(0) = 0$,

which satisfies (2.3), then there exists a unique additive mapping $Q : X \rightarrow Y$ such that

$$\Theta_{f(x)-Q(x)}(t) \geq \Theta'_{\phi\left(\frac{x}{2}, \frac{x}{2}\right)}((2^p - \alpha^p)t), \quad (2.14)$$

for all $x \in X$ and all $t > 0$.

Proof. Putting $x = z = \frac{x}{2}$ and $y = x$ in (2.3), we obtain

$$\Theta_{f(x)-2f\left(\frac{x}{2}\right)}(t) \geq \Theta'_{\phi\left(\frac{x}{2}, \frac{x}{2}\right)}(\alpha^p t), \quad (2.15)$$

for all $x, y \in X$ and all $t > 0$.

Replacing x by $\frac{x}{2^n}$ in (2.15), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \Theta_{2^n f\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right) - 2^{n+1} f\left(\frac{x}{2^{n+1}}\right)}(t) \\ & \geq \Theta'_{\phi\left(\frac{x}{2}, \frac{x}{2}\right)}\left(\left(\frac{\alpha}{2}\right)^{np} (2^p)t\right), \end{aligned} \quad (2.16)$$

for all $x \in X$ and all $t > 0$.

Since

$$\begin{aligned} & f(x) - 2^n f\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right) \\ & = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \left(2^j f\left(\frac{x}{2^j}\right) - 2^{(j+1)} f\left(\frac{x}{2^{j+1}}\right) \right), \end{aligned} \quad (2.17)$$

for all $x \in X$ and all $t > 0$.

From inequality (2.16) and (2.17), we get

$$\Theta_{f(x) - 2^n f\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right)}\left(\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \left(\frac{2}{\alpha}\right)^{jp} t\right) \geq \Theta'_{\phi\left(\frac{x}{2}, \frac{x}{2}\right)}(t), \quad (2.18)$$

for all $x \in X$ and all $t > 0$.

Replacing x by $\frac{x}{2^m}$ in (2.18), we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \Theta_{2^m f\left(\frac{x}{2^m}\right) - 2^{(m+n)} f\left(\frac{x}{2^{m+n}}\right)}(t) \\ & \geq \Theta'_{\phi\left(\frac{x}{2}, \frac{x}{2}\right)}\left(\frac{(2)^p}{\sum_{j=m}^{n+m-1} \left(\frac{2}{\alpha}\right)^{jp}} t\right), \end{aligned} \quad (2.19)$$

for all $x \in X$ and all $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $n > m \geq 0$. Then, the sequence $\left\{2^n f\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right)\right\}$ is a Cauchy in (X, Θ, T_M) , and so it converges to some point $Q(x) \in Y$. Now, we can define a mapping $Q : X \rightarrow Y$ by $Q(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\{2^n f\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right)\right\}$, for all $x \in X$ and all $t > 0$. The remaining part goes through in a similar method to the corresponding Theorem 2.1.

Corollary 2.3. Let X be a linear space, (Z, Θ', T_M) be a random p -normed space and (Y, Θ, T_M) be a complete random p -normed space. Assume δ is a positive real number and $z_0 \in Z$. If $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is a mapping with $f(0) = 0$ which satisfies

$$\Theta_{Df(x,y,z)}(t) \geq \Theta'_{\delta z_0}(t), \quad (2.20)$$

for all $x, y \in X$ and all $t > 0$, then there exists a unique additive mapping $Q : X \rightarrow Y$ such that

$$\Theta_{f(x)-Q(x)}(t) \geq \Theta'_{\delta z_0}\left(\left(2^p - 1\right)t\right), \quad (2.21)$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$ and all $t > 0$.

Proof. Let a mapping $\phi : X^3 \rightarrow Z$ be defined by $\phi(x, y, z) = \delta z_0$. Then, the proof follows from Theorem 2.1 by $\alpha = 1$. This completes the proof.

Corollary 2.4. Let X be a linear space, (Z, Θ', T_M) be a random p -normed space and (Y, Θ, T_M) be a complete random p -normed space. Assume r is a positive real number with $r \neq 3$ and $z_0 \in Z$. If $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is a mapping with $f(0) = 0$ which satisfies

$$\phi_{Df(x,y,z)}(t) \geq \phi'_{(\|x\|^r + \|y\|^r + \|z\|^r)z_0}(t), \quad (2.22)$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$ and all $t > 0$, then there exists a unique mapping $Q : X \rightarrow Y$ such that

$$\Theta_{f(x)-Q(x)}(t) \geq \Theta'_{2^r \|x\|^r z_0}(2^p - 2^{pr})(t), \quad (2.23)$$

for all $x \in X$ and all $t > 0$.

Proof. Let a mapping $\phi : X^3 \rightarrow Z$ be defined by $\phi(x, y, z) = (\|x\|^r + \|y\|^r + \|z\|^r)z_0$. Then, the proof follows from Theorem 2.1 and Theorem 2.2 by $\alpha = 2^r$. This completes the proof.

3. Stability Results for Mixed Type Functional Equations

In this section, we prove the generalized Hyers-Ulam stability of mixed type Additive Quartic functional equations in random p -normed space, by using direct method. For any mapping $f : X \rightarrow Y$ defined by

$$\begin{aligned} & D_{f(x,y)} \\ & = f(2x+y) + f(2x-y) + f(x+2y) + f(x-2y) \\ & \quad - 8[f(x+y) + f(x-y)] - f(2x) + 5f(x) \\ & \quad - 7f(-x) - 2f(2y) + 5f(-y) + 9f(y) \end{aligned} \quad (3.1)$$

Theorem 3.1. Let $\phi : X^2 \rightarrow Z$ be a mapping such that for some $0 < \alpha < 2$.

$$\Theta'_{\phi(2x,2y)}(t) \geq \Theta'_{\alpha\phi(x,y)}(t), \quad (3.2)$$

and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Theta'_{\phi(2^n x, 2^n y)}(2^{2np} t) = 1$ for all $x, y \in X$ and all $t > 0$. If an even mapping $f : X \rightarrow Y$ with $f(0) = 0$ satisfying

$$\Theta_{Df(x,y)}(t) \geq \Theta'_{\phi(x,y)}(t), \quad (3.3)$$

for all $x, y \in X$ and all $t > 0$, then there exists a unique quartic mapping $Q : X \rightarrow Y$ such that

$$\Theta_{f(x)-Q(x)}(t) \geq \Theta'_{\phi(x,0)}(2^{3p}(2^p - \alpha^p)t), \quad (3.4)$$

for all $x \in X$ and all $t > 0$.

Proof. Replacing y by 0, in (3.3), we obtain

$$\Theta_{f(x) - \frac{f(2x)}{24}}(t) \geq \Theta'_{\phi(x,0)}(2^{4p}t), \quad (3.5)$$

for all $x \in X$ and all $t > 0$.

Replacing x by $2^n x$ in (3.5) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\Theta_{f(2^n x) f(2^{n+1} x)}(t)}{2^{4n} 2^{4(n+1)}} \\ & \geq \Theta'_{\phi(2^n x, 2^n x)}(2^{4p} (2^{4np}) t) \\ & \geq \Theta'_{\phi(x, 0)}\left(\left(\frac{2}{\alpha}\right)^{np} (2^{4p}) t\right), \end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

for all $x \in X$ and all $t > 0$.

Since

$$f(x) - \frac{f(2^n x)}{2^{4n}} = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \left(\frac{f(2^j x)}{2^{4j}} - \frac{f(2^{j+1} x)}{2^{4(j+1)}} \right),$$

So,

$$\begin{aligned} & \Theta_{f(x) - \frac{f(2^n x)}{2^{4n}}} \left(\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \left(\frac{1}{(2^4)^j} \left(\frac{\alpha}{2}\right)^{jp} t \right) \right) \\ & = \Theta_{\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \left(\frac{f(2^j x)}{2^{4j}} - \frac{f(2^{j+1} x)}{2^{4(j+1)}} \right)} \left(\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \left(\frac{1}{(2^4)^j} \left(\frac{\alpha}{2}\right)^{jp} t \right) \right) \\ & \geq T_M^{n-1} \left[\Theta_{\left(\frac{f(2^j x)}{2^{4j}} - \frac{f(2^{j+1} x)}{2^{4(j+1)}} \right)} \left(\frac{1}{(2^4)^j} \left(\frac{\alpha}{2}\right)^{jp} t \right) \right] \\ & = T_M \left(\Theta'_{\phi(x, 0)}, \Theta'_{\phi(x, 0)}, \dots, \Theta'_{\phi(x, 0)}(t) \right) \\ & = \Theta'_{\phi(x, 0)}(t), \end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

for all $x \in X$ and all $t > 0$.

Replacing x by $2^m x$ in (3.7), we get

$$\Theta_{f(2^m x) - \frac{f(2^{m+1} x)}{2^{4(m+1)}}}(t) \geq \Theta'_{\phi(x, 0)} \left(\frac{(2^4)^p}{\sum_{j=m}^{m+n-1} \left(\frac{\alpha}{2}\right)^{jp}} t \right) \tag{3.8}$$

for all $x \in X$ and all $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $n > m \geq 0$. It follows from

$$\lim_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} \Theta'_{\phi(x, 0)} \left(\frac{(2^4)^p}{\sum_{j=m}^{m+n-1} \left(\frac{\alpha}{2}\right)^{jp}} t \right) = 1$$

that the sequence $\left\{ \frac{f(2^n x)}{2^{4n}} \right\}$ is a Cauchy in (X, Θ, T_M)

and so it converges to some point $Q(x) \in Y$. We can

define a mapping $Q : X \rightarrow Y$ by $Q(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\{ \frac{f(2^n x)}{2^{4n}} \right\}$, for all $x \in X$ and all $t > 0$. Fix $x \in X$ and put $m = 0$ in (3.8). Then, we obtain

$$\Theta_{f(x) - \frac{f(2^n x)}{2^{4n}}}(t) \geq \Theta'_{\phi(x, 0)} \left(\frac{(2^4)^p}{\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \left(\frac{\alpha}{2}\right)^{jp}} t \right),$$

for all $x \in X$ and all $t > 0$. For every $s > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \Theta_{f(x) - Q(x)}(s+t) \\ & \geq T_M \left(\Theta_{f(x) - \frac{f(2^n x)}{2^{4n}}}, \Theta_{\frac{f(2^n x)}{2^{4n}} - Q(x)}(s) \right) \\ & \geq T_M \left(\Theta'_{\phi(x, 0)} \left(\frac{(2^4)^p}{\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \left(\frac{\alpha}{2}\right)^{jp}} t \right), \Theta_{\frac{f(2^n x)}{2^{4n}} - Q(x)}(s) \right), \end{aligned} \tag{3.9}$$

for all $x \in X$ and all $t > 0$. Taking the limit $n \rightarrow \infty$ in (3.9), we obtain

$$\Theta_{f(x) - Q(x)}(s+t) \geq \Theta'_{\phi(x, 0)}(2^{3p}(2^p - \alpha^p)t) \tag{3.10}$$

Thus, since s is arbitrary and taking limit $s \rightarrow 0$ in (3.10), we have

$$\Theta_{f(x) - Q(x)}(t) \geq \Theta'_{\phi(x, 0)}(2^{3p}(2^p - \alpha^p)t),$$

for all $x \in X$ and all $t > 0$. Thus, the condition (3.4), holds for all $x \in X$ and all $t > 0$. If we replace (x, y) by $(2^n x, 2^n y)$ in (3.3), then

$$\Theta_{\frac{Df(2^n x, 2^n y)}{2^{4n}}}(t) \geq \Theta'_{\Theta(2x, 2y)}(2^{np}t), \tag{3.11}$$

for all $x, y \in X$ and all $t > 0$. Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ in (3.11), we find that $\Theta_{DQ(x, y)} = 1$ for all $t > 0$, which implies $DQ(x, y) = 0$, for all $x, y \in X$. Therefore, the mapping Q is quartic. Now, we prove that the quartic mapping Q is unique. Let us assume that there exists another mapping $R : X \rightarrow Y$ which satisfies (3.3). For fixed $x \in X$, $Q(2^n x) = 2^{4n} Q(x)$ and $R(2^n x) = 2^{4n} R(x)$, all $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. It follows from (3.3) that

$$\begin{aligned} & \Theta_{Q(x) - R(x)}(t) = \Theta_{\frac{Q(2^n x)}{2^{4n}} - \frac{R(2^n x)}{2^{4n}}}(t) \\ & \geq T_M \left(\Theta_{\frac{Q(2^n x)}{2^{4n}} - \frac{f(2^n x)}{2^{4n}}}\left(\frac{t}{2}\right), \Theta_{\frac{f(2^n x)}{2^{4n}} - \frac{R(2^n x)}{2^{4n}}}\left(\frac{t}{2}\right) \right) \\ & \geq \Theta'_{\phi(x, 0)} \left(2^{3p}(2^p - \alpha^p) \left(\frac{2}{\alpha}\right)^{np} t \right). \end{aligned}$$

as, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 2^{3p}(2^p - \alpha^p) \left(\frac{2}{\alpha}\right)^{np} (t) = \infty$, we have $\Theta_{Q(x) - R(x)}(t) = 1$ for all $t > 0$. Thus, $Q(x) = R(x)$, for all $x \in X$. Therefore, the proof is completed.

Theorem 3.2. Let $\phi : X^2 \rightarrow Z$ be a mapping such that for some $2 < \alpha$,

$$\Theta'_{\phi\left(\frac{x,y}{2^2}\right)}(t) \geq \Theta'_{\phi(x,0)}(\alpha^{4p}t), \quad (3.12)$$

and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Theta'_{2^{4n}\phi\left(\frac{x,y}{2^{2n}}\right)}(t) = 1$ for all $x, y \in X$ and all $t > 0$. If an even mapping $f : X \rightarrow Y$ with $f(0) = 0$ which satisfies (3.3), then there exists a unique quartic mapping $Q : X \rightarrow Y$ such that

$$\Theta_{f(x)-Q(x)}(t) \geq \Theta'_{\phi(x,0)}(2^{3p}(2^p - \alpha^p)t), \quad (3.13)$$

for all $x \in X$ and all $t > 0$.

Proof. Replacing x by $\frac{x}{2}$ and y by 0 in (3.3), we obtain

$$\Theta_{f(x)-2^4f\left(\frac{x}{2}\right)}(t) \geq \Theta'_{\phi\left(\frac{x}{2},0\right)}(2\alpha^p t), \quad (3.14)$$

for all $x \in X$ and all $t > 0$.

Replacing x by $\frac{x}{2^n}$ in (3.14), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \Theta_{2^{4n}f\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right)-2^{4(n+1)}f\left(\frac{x}{2^{n+1}}\right)}(t) \\ & \geq \Theta'_{\phi\left(\frac{x}{2^n},0\right)}\left(\left(\frac{\alpha}{2}\right)^{np}(2^{4p})t\right), \end{aligned} \quad (3.15)$$

for all $x \in X$ and all $t > 0$.

Since

$$\begin{aligned} & f(x) - 2^{4n}f\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right) \\ & = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \left(2^{4j}f\left(\frac{x}{2^j}\right) - 2^{4(j+1)}f\left(\frac{x}{2^{j+1}}\right) \right), \end{aligned} \quad (3.16)$$

for all $x \in X$ and all $t > 0$.

From inequality (3.15) and (3.16), we get

$$\Theta_{f(x)-2^{4n}f\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right)} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \frac{\left(\frac{2}{\alpha}\right)^{jp}}{(2^4)^j} t \geq \Theta'_{\phi\left(\frac{x}{2},0\right)}(t), \quad (3.17)$$

for all $x \in X$ and all $t > 0$.

Replacing x by $\frac{x}{2^m}$ in (3.17), we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \Theta_{2^{4m}f\left(\frac{x}{2^m}\right)-2^{4(m+n)}f\left(\frac{x}{2^{m+n}}\right)}(t) \\ & \geq \Theta'_{\phi\left(\frac{x}{2},0\right)}\left(\frac{(2^4)^p}{\sum_{j=m}^{n+m-1} \left(\frac{2}{\alpha}\right)^{jp}} t\right), \end{aligned} \quad (3.18)$$

for all $x \in X$ and all $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $n > m \geq 0$. Then, the sequence $\left\{2^{4n}f\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right)\right\}$ is a Cauchy in (X, Θ, T_M) , and so it converges to some point $Q(x) \in Y$. Now,

we can define a quartic mapping $Q : X \rightarrow Y$ by $Q(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\{2^{4n}f\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right)\right\}$, for all $x \in X$ and all $t > 0$. The remaining part goes through in a similar method to the corresponding Theorem 3.1.

Corollary 3.3. Let X be a linear space, (Z, Θ', T_M) be a random p -normed space and (Y, Θ, T_M) be complete a random p -normed space. Assume δ is a positive real number and $z_0 \in Z$. If an even mapping $f : X \rightarrow Y$ with $f(0) = 0$ which satisfies

$$\Theta_{Df(x,y)}(t) \geq \Theta'_{\delta z_0}(t), \quad (3.19)$$

for all $x, y \in X$ and all $t > 0$, then there exists a unique quartic mapping $Q : X \rightarrow Y$ such that

$$\Theta_{f(x)-Q(x)}(t) \geq \Theta'_{\delta z_0}(2^{3p}(2^p - 1)t), \quad (3.20)$$

for all $x \in X$ and all $t > 0$.

Proof. Let a mapping $\phi : X^2 \rightarrow Z$ be defined by $\phi(x, y) = \delta z_0$. Then, the proof follows from Theorem 3.1 by $\alpha = 1$. This completes the proof.

Corollary 3.4. Let X be a linear space, (Z, Θ', T_M) be a random p -normed space and (Y, Θ, T_M) be a complete random p -normed space. Assume r is a positive real number with $r \neq 2$ and $z_0 \in Z$. If $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is a mapping $f(0) = 0$ which satisfies

$$\Theta_{Df(x,y)}(t) \geq \Theta'_{(\|x\|^r + \|y\|^r)z_0}(t), \quad (3.21)$$

for all $x, y \in X$ and all $t > 0$, then there exists a unique quartic mapping $Q : X \rightarrow Y$ such that

$$\Theta_{f(x)-Q(x)}(t) \geq \Theta'_{2^r x^r z_0}(2^{3p}(2^p - 2^{pr})t), \quad (3.22)$$

for all $x \in X$ and all $t > 0$.

Proof. Let a mapping $\phi : X^2 \rightarrow Z$ be defined by $\phi(x, y) = (\|x\|^r + \|y\|^r)z_0$. Then, the proof follows from Theorem 3.1 and Theorem 3.2 by $\alpha = 2^r$. This completes the proof.

Theorem 3.5. Let $\phi : X^2 \rightarrow Z$ be a mapping such that for some $0 < \alpha < 2$,

$$\Theta'_{\phi(2x,2y)}(t) \geq \Theta'_{\alpha(x,y)}(t) \quad (3.23)$$

and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Theta'_{\phi(2^n x, 2^n y)}(2^{np}t) = 1$ for all $x, y \in X$ and all $t > 0$. If $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is an odd mapping with $f(0) = 0$ such that

$$\Theta_{Df(x,y)}(t) \geq \Theta'_{\phi(x,y)}(t), \quad (3.24)$$

for all $x, y \in X$ and all $t > 0$, then there exists a unique additive mapping $Q : X \rightarrow Y$ such that

$$\Theta_{f(x)-Q(x)}(t) \geq \Theta'_{\phi(x,0)}((2^p - \alpha^p)t), \quad (3.25)$$

for all $x \in X$ and all $t > 0$.

Proof. Replacing y by 0 in (3.24), we obtain

$$\Theta_{f(x)-\frac{f(2x)}{2}}(t) \geq \Theta'_{\phi(x,0)}(2^p t), \quad (3.26)$$

for all $x \in X$ and all $t > 0$.

Replacing x by $2^n x$ in (3.26), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \Theta \frac{f(2^n x)}{2^n} \frac{f(2^{n+1} x)}{2^{n+1}}(t) \\ & \geq \Theta'_{\phi(2^n x, 0)} \left(2^p (2^{np}) t \right) \quad (3.27) \\ & \geq \Theta'_{\phi((x, 0))} \left(\left(\frac{2}{\alpha} \right)^{np} (2^p) t \right), \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in X$ and all $t > 0$.

Since

$$f(x) - \frac{f(2^n x)}{2^n} = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \left(\frac{f(2^j x)}{2^j} - \frac{f(2^{j+1} x)}{2^{j+1}} \right), \quad (3.28)$$

for all $x \in X$ and all $t > 0$.

$$\begin{aligned} & \Theta \frac{f(2^n x)}{2^n} \left(\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \left(\frac{1}{(2)^p} \left(\frac{\alpha}{2} \right)^{jp} t \right) \right) \\ & = \Theta \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \left(\frac{f(2^j x)}{2^j} - \frac{f(2^{j+1} x)}{2^{j+1}} \right) \left(\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \left(\frac{1}{(2)^p} \left(\frac{\alpha}{2} \right)^{jp} t \right) \right) \\ & \geq T_M^{n-1} \left(\Theta \left(\frac{f(2^j x)}{2^j} - \frac{f(2^{j+1} x)}{2^{j+1}} \right) \left(\frac{1}{(2)^p} \left(\frac{\alpha}{2} \right)^{jp} t \right) \right) \\ & = T_M \left(\Theta'_{\phi(x, 0)}, \Theta'_{\phi(x, 0)}, \dots, \Theta'_{\phi(x, 0)}(t) \right) \\ & = \Theta'_{\phi(x, 0)}(t), \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in X$ and all $t > 0$.

Replacing x by $2^m x$ in (3.29), we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \Theta \frac{f(2^m x)}{2^m} \frac{f(2^{m+n} x)}{2^{m+n}}(t) \\ & \geq \Theta'_{\phi(x, 0)} \left(\frac{(2)^p}{\sum_{j=m}^{m+n-1} \left(\frac{\alpha}{2} \right)^{jp} t} \right), \quad (3.30) \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in X$ and all $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $n > m \geq 0$. It follows from

$$\lim_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} \Theta'_{\phi(x, 0)} \left(\frac{(2)^p}{\sum_{j=m}^{m+n-1} \left(\frac{\alpha}{2} \right)^{jp} t} \right) = 1,$$

that the sequence $\left\{ \frac{f(2^n x)}{2^n} \right\}$ is Cauchy in (X, Θ, T_M) , and so it converges to some point $Q(x) \in Y$. We can define a

mapping $Q : X \rightarrow Y$ by $Q(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\{ \frac{f(2^n x)}{2^n} \right\}$, for all $x \in X$ and all $t > 0$. Fix $x \in X$ and put $m = 0$ in (3.30). Then, we obtain

$$\Theta \frac{f(x) - \frac{f(2^n x)}{2^n}}{2^n}(t) \geq \Theta'_{\phi((x, 0))} \left(\frac{(2)^p}{\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \left(\frac{\alpha}{2} \right)^{jp} t} \right),$$

for all $x \in X$ and all $t > 0$. For every $s > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \Theta_{f(x) - Q(x)}(s+t) \\ & \geq T_M \left(\Theta \frac{f(x) - \frac{f(2^n x)}{2^n}}{2^n}, \Theta \frac{f(2^n x)}{2^n - Q(x)}(s) \right) \quad (3.31) \\ & \geq T_M \left(\Theta'_{\phi((x, 0))} \left(\frac{(2)^p}{\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \left(\frac{\alpha}{2} \right)^{jp} t} \right), \Theta \frac{f(2^n x)}{2^n - Q(x)}(s) \right), \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in X$ and all $t > 0$. Taking the limit $n \rightarrow \infty$ in (3.31), we obtain

$$\Theta_{f(x) - Q(x)}(s+t) \geq \Theta'_{\phi((x, 0))} \left((2^p - \alpha^p) t \right), \quad (3.32)$$

Thus, since s is arbitrary and taking $s \rightarrow 0$ in (3.32), we have

$$\Theta_{f(x) - Q(x)}(t) \geq \Theta'_{\phi((x, 0))} \left((2^p - \alpha^p) t \right),$$

for all $x \in X$ and all $t > 0$. Thus, the condition (3.25), holds for all $x \in X$ and all $t > 0$. If we replace (x, y, z) by $(2^n x, 2^n y)$ in (3.24), then

$$\Theta_{Df \left(\frac{2^n x, 2^n y}{2^n} \right)}(t) \geq \Theta'_{\phi(2x, 2y)} \left(2^{np} t \right), \quad (3.33)$$

for all $x, y \in X$ and all $t > 0$. Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ in (3.33), we find that $\Theta_{DQ(x, y)} = 1$, for all $t > 0$, which implies $DQ(x, y) = 0$, for all $x, y \in X$. Therefore, the mapping Q is additive. Now, we prove that the additive mapping Q is unique. Let us assume that there exists another mapping $R : X \rightarrow Y$ which satisfies (3.25). For fixed $x \in X$, $Q(2^n x) = 2^n Q(x)$ and $R(2^n x) = 2^n R(x)$, all $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. It follows from (3.25) that

$$\begin{aligned} & \Theta_{Q(x) - R(x)}(t) = \Theta \frac{Q(2^n x)}{2^n} \frac{R(2^n x)}{2^n}(t) \\ & \geq T_M \left(\Theta \frac{Q(2^n x)}{2^n} \frac{f(2^n x)}{2^n} \left(\frac{t}{2} \right), \Theta \frac{f(2^n x)}{2^n} \frac{R(2^n x)}{2^n} \left(\frac{t}{2} \right) \right) \\ & \geq \Theta'_{\phi(x, 0)} \left((2^p - \alpha^p) \left(\frac{2}{\alpha} \right)^{np} t \right). \end{aligned}$$

as $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (2^p - \alpha^p) \left(\frac{2}{\alpha}\right)^{np} (t) = \infty$, we have $\Theta_{Q(x)-R(x)}(t) = 1$ for all $t > 0$. Thus, $Q(x) = R(x)$, for all $x \in X$. Hence, the proof is complete.

Theorem 3.6. Let $\phi : X^2 \rightarrow Z$ be a mapping such that for some $2 < \alpha$,

$$\Theta'_{\phi\left(\frac{x,y}{2}\right)}(t) \geq \Theta'_{\phi(x,y)}(\alpha^p t) \tag{3.34}$$

and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Theta'_{2^n \phi\left(\frac{x,y}{2^n}\right)}(t) = 1$, for all $x, y \in X$ and all $t > 0$. If $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is an odd mapping with $f(0) = 0$, which satisfies (3.24), then there exists a unique additive mapping $Q : X \rightarrow Y$ such that

$$\Theta_{f(x)-Q(x)}(t) \geq \Theta'_{\phi\left(\frac{x}{2},0\right)}((2^p - \alpha^p)t), \tag{3.35}$$

for all $x, y \in X$ and all $t > 0$.

Proof. Replacing x by $\frac{x}{2}$ and y by 0 in (3.24), we obtain

$$\Theta_{f(x)-2f\left(\frac{x}{2}\right)}(t) \geq \Theta'_{\phi\left(\frac{x}{2},0\right)}(\alpha^p t), \tag{3.36}$$

for all $x, y \in X$ and all $t > 0$.

Replacing x by $\frac{x}{2^n}$ in (3.36), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \Theta_{2^n f\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right)-2^{n+1}f\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right)}(t) \\ & \geq \Theta'_{\phi\left(\frac{x}{2},0\right)}\left(\left(\frac{\alpha}{2}\right)^{np} (2^p)t\right), \end{aligned} \tag{3.37}$$

for all $x \in X$ and all $t > 0$.

Since

$$\begin{aligned} & f(x) - 2^n f\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right) \\ & = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \left(2^j f\left(\frac{x}{2^j}\right) - 2^{(j+1)} f\left(\frac{x}{2^{j+1}}\right) \right), \end{aligned} \tag{3.38}$$

for all $x \in X$ and all $t > 0$.

From inequality (3.37) and (3.38), we get

$$\Theta_{f(x)-2^n f\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right)} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \left(\frac{\left(\frac{2}{\alpha}\right)^{jp}}{(2)^p} t \right) \geq \Theta'_{\phi\left(\frac{x}{2},0\right)}(t), \tag{3.39}$$

for all $x \in X$ and all $t > 0$.

Replacing x by $\frac{x}{2^m}$ in (3.39), we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \Theta_{2^m f\left(\frac{x}{2^m}\right)-2^{(m+n)}f\left(\frac{x}{2^{m+n}}\right)}(t) \\ & \geq \Theta'_{\phi\left(\frac{x}{2},0\right)}\left(\frac{(2)^p}{\sum_{j=m}^{n+m-1} \left(\frac{2}{\alpha}\right)^{jp}} t\right), \end{aligned} \tag{3.40}$$

for all $x \in X$ and all $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $n > m \geq 0$. Then, the sequence $\left\{2^n f\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right)\right\}$ is a Cauchy in (X, Θ, T_M) , and so it converges to some point $Q(x) \in Y$. Now, we can define a mapping $Q : X \rightarrow Y$ by $Q(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\{2^n f\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right)\right\}$, for all $x \in X$ and all $t > 0$. The remaining part goes through in a similar method to the corresponding Theorem 3.5.

Corollary 3.7. Let X be a linear space, (Z, Θ', T_M) be a random p -normed space and (Y, Θ, T_M) be a completerandom p -normed space. Assume δ is a positive real number and $z_0 \in Z$. If $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is a mapping with $f(0) = 0$ which satisfies

$$\Theta_{Df(x,y)}(t) \geq \Theta'_{\delta z_0}(t), \tag{3.41}$$

for all $x, y \in X$ and all $t > 0$, then there exists a unique mapping $Q : X \rightarrow Y$ such that

$$\Theta_{f(x)-Q(x)}(t) \geq \Theta'_{\delta z_0}((2^p - 1)t), \tag{3.42}$$

for all $x, y \in X$ and all $t > 0$.

Proof. Let a mapping $\phi : X^2 \rightarrow Z$ be defined by $\phi(x, y) = \delta z_0$. Then, the proof follows from Theorem 3.5 by $\alpha = 1$. This completes the proof.

Corollary 3.8. Let X be a linear space, (Z, Θ', T_M) be a random p -normed space and (Y, Θ, T_M) be complete a random p -normed space. Assume r is a positive real number with $r \neq 3$ and $z_0 \in Z$. If $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is an odd mapping with $f(0) = 0$ which satisfies

$$\phi_{Df(x,y)}(t) \geq \phi'_{(\|x\|^r + \|y\|^r)z_0}(t), \tag{3.43}$$

for all $x, y \in X$ and all $t > 0$, then there exists a unique additive mapping $Q : X \rightarrow Y$ such that

$$\Theta_{f(x)-Q(x)}(t) \geq \Theta'_{2^r \|x\|^r z_0}((2^p - 2^{pr})t), \tag{3.44}$$

for all $x \in X$ and all $t > 0$.

Proof. Let a mapping $\phi : X^2 \rightarrow Z$ be defined by $\phi(x, y) = (\|x\|^r + \|y\|^r)z_0$. Then, the proof follows from Theorem 3.5 and Theorem 3.6 by $\alpha = 2^r$. This completes the proof.

4. Stability Results by Fixed Point Method

In this section, we give the generalized Hyers-Ulam stability of mixed type additive quartic functional equations in random p -normed spaces. Let us recall that a mapping $d : X^2 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is called a metric on a non-empty set X if

- i) $d(x, y) = 0$ if and only if $x = y$,
- ii) $d(x, y) = d(y, x)$,
- iii) $d(x, y) \leq d(x, z) + d(z, y)$,

for all $x, y, z \in X$. Before proceeding to the main results in this section, we give the fixed-point theorem which plays an important role in proving our theorems.

Theorem 4.1. [18]. (Alternative fixed-point theorem) Let (E, d) be a generalized complete metric space and $\Gamma : E \rightarrow E$ be a strictly contractive function with Lipschitz constant $L < 1$. Then, for each $x \in E$, either $d(\Gamma^{n+1}x, \Gamma^n x) = \infty$ for all non-negative integer $n \geq 0$ or there exists a natural number n_0 such that

- i) $d(\Gamma^{n+1}x, \Gamma^n x) < \infty$, for all $n \geq n_0$;
- ii) the sequence $\{\Gamma^n x\}_{n=1}^\infty$ converges to a fixed-point $y \in E$ of Γ ;
- iii) y is the unique fixed point of Γ in the set $\mathcal{F} = \{q \in E : d(\Gamma^{n_0} a, q) < \infty\}$;
- iv) $d(q, y) \leq \frac{1}{1-L} d(q, \Gamma q)$, $q \in \mathcal{F}$.

Theorem 4.2. Let $\phi : X^3 \rightarrow D^+$ ($\phi(x, y, z)$ is denoted by $\phi_{x,y,z}$) be a mapping such that, for some $0 < \alpha < 2$.

$$\Theta_{\phi(2x,2y,2z)}(t) \geq \Theta'_{(x,y,z)}(t), \tag{4.1}$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$ and all $t > 0$. If $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is an odd mapping with $f(0) = 0$ such that

$$\Theta_{Df(x,y,z)}(t) \geq \phi_{x,y,z}(t), \tag{4.2}$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$ and all $t > 0$, then there exists a unique mapping $Q : X \rightarrow Y$ such that

$$\Theta_{f(x)-Q(x)}(t) \geq \phi_{x,2x,x}(2 - \alpha^p)(t), \tag{4.3}$$

for all $x \in X$ and all $t > 0$.

Proof. Replacing y by x in (4.2), we obtain

$$\Theta_{f(x)-\frac{f(2x)}{2}}(t) \geq \phi_{x,2x,x}(2^p t), \tag{4.4}$$

for all $x \in X$ and all $t > 0$.

Consider a general metric d on Λ , here Λ be a set of all mappings from X into Y and introduce a generalized metric on Λ as follows:

$$d(g, h) = \inf \left\{ c \in (0, \infty) \text{ s. t. } \Theta_{g(x)-h(x)}(ct) \geq \phi_{x,2x,x}(t), x \in X, t > 0 \right\}$$

whereas $\inf \phi = +\infty$. It is easy to show that (Λ, d) is a complete metric space [10]. Now, let us consider a mapping $J : \Lambda \rightarrow \Lambda$ defined by

$$Jg(x) = \frac{1}{2} g(2x),$$

for all $g \in \Lambda$ and for all $x \in X$. Let g, h in Λ and $c \in (0, \infty)$ be an arbitrary constant with $d(g, h) < c$. Then, we have

$$\Theta_{g(x)-h(x)}(ct) \geq \phi_{x,2x,x}(t),$$

for all $x \in X$ and all $t > 0$, hence

$$\begin{aligned} & \Theta_{Jg(x)-Jh(x)} \left(\left(\frac{\alpha}{2} \right)^p ct \right) \\ & \geq \Theta_{g(2x)-h(2x)} (\alpha^p ct) \\ & \geq \phi_{2x,4x,2x} (\alpha^p t), \phi_{x,x,x}(t), \end{aligned} \tag{4.5}$$

for all $x \in X$ and all $t > 0$, and so, if $d(g, h) < c$, then

$$d(Jg, Jh) < \frac{\alpha^p}{2^p} d(g, h),$$

for all $g, h \in \Lambda$. Then J is a strictly contractive self-mapping on Λ with Lipschitz constant $L = \frac{\alpha^p}{2^p} < 1$.

Also, it follows from (4.2) that

$$\begin{aligned} & \Theta_{f(x)-\frac{f(2x)}{2}} \left(\frac{t}{2^p} \right) \\ & \geq \Theta_{f(x)-Jf(x)} \left(\frac{t}{2^p} \right) \geq \phi_{x,2x,x}(t), \end{aligned} \tag{4.6}$$

for all $x \in X$ and all $t > 0$, which implies that

$$d(Jg, Jh) < \frac{\alpha^p}{2^p}.$$

Using Theorem 4.1, there exists a mapping $Q : X \rightarrow Y$, which is a unique fixed point of J in the set $\Lambda_1 = \{g \in \Lambda : d(g, h) < \infty\}$ such that

$$Q(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(2^n x)}{2^n},$$

for all $x \in X$, since $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(J^n f, Q) = 0$. Again, it follows from Theorem 4.1 that

$$d(f, Q) \leq \frac{1}{1-L} d(f, JQ) \leq \frac{1}{(1-L)} = \frac{1}{(2 - \alpha^p)},$$

which implies

$$\Theta_{f(x)-Q(x)}(t) \geq \phi_{x,2x,x}((2 - \alpha^p)t),$$

for all $x \in X$ and all $t > 0$. Replacing x and y by $2^n x$ and $2^n y$ in (4.2), respectively,

$$\begin{aligned} & \Theta_{Df(x,y,z)}(t) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Theta_{Df(2^n x, 2^n y, 2^n z)}(2^{np} t) \\ & \geq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi_{2^n x, 2^n y, 2^n z}(2^{2np} t), \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in X$ and all $t > 0$. It follows from $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\left(\frac{2}{\alpha} \right)^{np} t \right) = 1$ that $DQ(x, y) = 0$. Hence, the mapping Q is additive. Now, we show that mapping Q is unique. To prove this, we assume that there exists an additive mapping $R : X \rightarrow Y$, which satisfies (4.3). Then, R is a fixed point of J in Λ_1 . However, it follows from Theorem 4.1 that J has only one fixed point in Λ_1 . Hence, we deduce that $Q = R$.

Theorem 4.3. Let $\phi : X^3 \rightarrow D^+$ be a mapping such that, for some $2 < \alpha$.

$$\phi_{x,2x,x}(t) \geq \phi_{2x,4x,2x}(\alpha^p t), \tag{4.7}$$

for all $x \in X$ and all $t > 0$. If $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is a mapping with $f(0) = 0$ which satisfies (4.2), then there exists a unique mapping $Q : X \rightarrow Y$ such that

$$\Theta_{f(x)-Q(x)}(t) \geq \phi_{x,2x,x}((2 - \alpha^p)t), \tag{4.8}$$

for all $x, y \in X$ and all $t > 0$.

Proof. Let Λ and d be as in the proof of Theorem 4.2. Then (Λ, d) becomes a complete metric space and the mapping $J : \Lambda \rightarrow \Lambda$ defined by

$$Jg(x) = 2g\left(\frac{x}{2}\right),$$

for all $x \in X$ and $g \in \Lambda$. Then,

$$d(Jg, Jh) < \frac{2^p}{\alpha^p} d(g, h),$$

for all $g, h \in \Lambda$. Then, J is a strictly contractive self-mapping on Λ with Lipschitz constant $L = \frac{2^p}{\alpha^p} < 1$. It

follows from (4.3) that $d(f, Jf) < \frac{1}{(\alpha)^p}$, we get

$$d(f, Q) \leq \frac{1}{1-L} d(f, Jf) \leq \frac{1}{(\alpha^p - 2^p)},$$

which implies the inequality (4.2) holds for all $x \in X$ and all $t > 0$. The remaining assertion goes through in a similar method to the corresponding part of Theorem 4.3. This completes the proof.

Corollary 4.4. Let X be a real p -Banach spaces, and define $\Theta_x(t) = \frac{t}{t + \|x\|^r}$, for all $x \in X$ and all $t > 0$. Then, (X, Θ, T_M) is a complete random p -normed space. Define

$$\phi_{x,y,z}(t) = \frac{t}{t + (\|x\|^r + \|y\|^r + \|z\|^r)}$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$ and all $t > 0$ in which $0 < r < \alpha$. Assume that $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is a mapping with $f(0) = 0$ which satisfies (4.2), then there exists a unique mapping $Q : X \rightarrow Y$ such that

$$\Theta_{f(x)-Q(x)}(t) \geq \frac{2^p(2^p - 2^{pr})}{2^p(2^p - 2^{pr}) + \|x\|^r}, \quad (4.9)$$

for all $x \in X$ and all $t > 0$, where $\alpha = 2^r$. Hence, we have

$$\|f(x) - Q(x)\| \leq \frac{\|x\|^r}{2^p(2^p - 2^{pr})}, \quad (4.10)$$

for all $x \in X$.

Theorem 4.5. Let $\phi : X^2 \rightarrow D^+(\phi(x, y))$ is denoted by $\phi_{x,y}$ be a mappingsuch that, for some $0 < \alpha < 2$.

$$\Theta'_{\phi(x,y)}(\alpha^p t) \geq \Theta'_{\phi(x,y)}(t), \quad (4.11)$$

for all $x, y \in X$ and all $t > 0$. If an even mapping $f : X \rightarrow Y$ with $f(0) = 0$ such that

$$\Theta_{Df(x,y)}(t) \geq \phi_{x,y}(t), \quad (4.12)$$

for all $x, y \in X$ and all $t > 0$, then there exists a unique quartic mapping $Q : X \rightarrow Y$ such that

$$\Theta_{f(x)-Q(x)}(t) \geq \phi_{x,0}(2^{3p}(2^p - \alpha^p)t), \quad (4.13)$$

for all $x \in X$ and all $t > 0$.

Proof. Replacing y by 0 in (4.12), we obtain

$$\Theta_{f(x)-\frac{f(2x)}{2^4}}(t) \geq \phi_{x,0}(2^{4p}t), \quad (4.14)$$

for all $x \in X$ and all $t > 0$.

Consider a general metric d on Λ , here Λ be a set of all mappings from X into Y and introduce a generalized metric on Λ as follows:

$$d(g, h) = \inf \left\{ c \in (0, \infty) \text{ s. t. } \Theta_{g(x)-h(x)}(ct) \geq \phi_{x,0}(t), x \in X, t > 0 \right\},$$

whereas $\inf \phi = +\infty$ It is easy to show that (Λ, d) is a complete metric space [10]. Now, let us consider a mapping $J : \Lambda \rightarrow \Lambda$ defined by

$$J_{g(x)} = \frac{1}{2^4} g(2x),$$

for all $g \in \Lambda$ and for all $x \in X$. Let g, h in Λ and $c \in (0, \infty)$ be an arbitrary constant with $d(g, h) < c$. Then, we have

$$\Theta_{g(x)-h(x)}(ct) \geq \phi_{x,0}(t),$$

for all $x \in X$ and all $t > 0$, hence

$$\begin{aligned} \Theta_{Jg(x)-Jh(x)} \left(\left(\frac{\alpha}{2} \right)^p ct \right) &\geq \Theta_{g(2x)-h(2x)}(\alpha^p ct) \\ &\geq \phi_{2x,0}(\alpha^p t), \end{aligned} \quad (4.15)$$

for all $x \in X$ and all $t > 0$, and so, if $d(g, h) < c$, then

$$d(Jg, Jh) < \frac{\alpha^p}{2^p} d(g, h),$$

for all $g, h \in \Lambda$. Then J is a strictly contractive self-mapping on Λ with Lipschitz constant $L = \frac{\alpha^p}{2^p} < 1$.

Also, it follows from (4.12) that

$$\Theta_{f(x)-\frac{f(2x)}{2^4}}\left(\frac{t}{2^p}\right) \geq \Theta_{f(x)-Jf(x)}\left(\frac{t}{2^p}\right) \geq \phi_{x,0}(t), \quad (4.16)$$

for all $x \in X$ and all $t > 0$, which implies that

$$d(Jg, Jh) < \frac{\alpha^p}{2^p}.$$

Using Theorem 4.1, there exists a mapping $Q : X \rightarrow Y$, which is a unique fixed point of J in the set $\Lambda_1 = \{g \in \Lambda, : d(g, h) < \infty\}$ such that

$$Q(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(2^n x)}{2^{4n}},$$

for all $x \in X$, since $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(J^n f, Q) = 0$. Again, it follows from Theorem 4.1 that

$$\begin{aligned} d(f, Q) &\leq \frac{1}{1-L} d(f, Jf) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{(2^{4p})(1-L)} = \frac{1}{2^{3p}(2^p - \alpha^p)}, \end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$\Theta_{f(x)-Q(x)}(t) \geq \phi_{x,0}(2^{3p}(2^p - \alpha^p)t),$$

for all $x \in X$ and all $t > 0$. Replacing x and y by $2^{4n}x$ and $2^{4n}y$ in (4.12), respectively,

$$\begin{aligned} & \Theta_{Df(x,y)}(t) \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Theta_{Df(2^{4n}x, 2^{4n}y)}(2^{4np}t) \\ &\geq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi_{2^{4n}x, 2^{4n}y}(2^{4np}t), \end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y \in X$ and all $t > 0$. It follows from $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{2}{\alpha}\right)^{np} t = 1$ that $DQ(x, y) = 0$. Hence, the mapping Q is quartic. Now, we show that mapping Q is unique. To prove this, we assume that there exists a quartic mapping $R : X \rightarrow Y$, which satisfies (4.13). Then, R is a fixed point of J in Λ_1 . However, it follows from Theorem 4.1 that J has only one fixed point in Λ_1 . Hence, we deduce that $Q = R$.

Theorem 4.6. Let $\phi : X^2 \rightarrow D^+$ be a mapping such that, for some $2 < \alpha$.

$$\phi_{x,0}(t) \geq \phi_{2x,0}(\alpha^p t), \tag{4.17}$$

for all $x \in X$ and all $t > 0$. If $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is a mapping with $f(0) = 0$ which satisfies (4.12), then there exists a unique mapping $Q : X \rightarrow Y$ such that

$$\Theta_{f(x)-Q(x)}(t) \geq \phi_{x,0}\left(2^{3p}\left(\alpha^p - 2^p\right)t\right), \tag{4.18}$$

for all $x \in X$ and all $t > 0$.

Proof. Let Λ and d be as in the proof of Theorem 4.5. Then (Λ, d) becomes a complete metric space and the mapping $J : \Lambda \rightarrow \Lambda$ defined by

$$J_g(x) = 2^4 g\left(\frac{x}{2}\right),$$

for all $x \in X$ and $g \in \Lambda$. Then,

$$d(Jg, Jh) < \frac{2^p}{\alpha^p} d(g, h),$$

for all $g, h \in \Lambda$.

Then, J is a strictly contractive self-mapping on Λ with

Lipschitz constant $L = \frac{2^p}{\alpha^p} < 1$.

It follows from (4.12) that $d(f, Jf) < \frac{1}{(2\alpha)^p}$, we get

$$d(f, Q) \leq \frac{1}{1-L} d(f, Jf) \leq \frac{1}{2^{3p}(\alpha^p - 2^p)},$$

which implies the inequality (4.12) holds for all $x, y \in X$ and all $t > 0$. The remaining assertion goes through in a similar method to the corresponding part of Theorem 4.5.

Corollary 4.7. Let X be a real p -Banach spaces, and

define $\Theta_x(t) = \frac{t}{t + \|x\|}$, for all $x, y \in X$ and all $t > 0$.

Then, (X, Θ, T_M) is a complete random p -normed space. Define

$$\phi_{x,y}(t) = \frac{t}{t + (\|x\|^r + \|y\|^r)},$$

for all $x, y \in X$ and all $t > 0$ in which $0 < r < \alpha$. Assume that $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is a mapping $f(0) = 0$ which satisfies (4.12), then there exists a unique mapping $Q : X \rightarrow Y$ such that

$$\Theta_{f(x)-Q(x)}(t) \geq \frac{2^{3p}(2^p - 2^{pr})}{2^{3p}(2^p - 2^{pr}) + \|x\|^r}, \tag{4.19}$$

for all $x, y \in X$ and all $t > 0$, where $\alpha = 2^r$. Hence, we have

$$\|f(x) - Q(x)\| \leq \frac{\|x\|^r}{2^{3p}(2^p - 2^{pr})}, \tag{4.20}$$

for all $x, y \in X$.

References

- [1] Ulam S. M., "A collection of the Mathematical Problems", Interscience Publishers: New York, NY, USA, (1964).
- [2] Hyers D.H., "On the stability of the linear functional equation", *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA*, 27, 222-224, 1941.
- [3] Aoki T., "On the stability of the linear transformation in Banach spaces", *Journal of the Mathematical Society of Japan*, (2) 64-66, 1950.
- [4] Rassias J. M., "On approximately of linear mappings by linear mappings", *J. Funct. Anal. USA.*, 46(1), 126-130, 1982.
- [5] Gajda Z., "On stability of additive mappings", *Intern. J. Math. & Math. Sci.*, 14(3), 431-434, 1991.
- [6] Gavruta P.A., "Generalization of the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of approximately additive mappings", *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 184, 431-436, 1994.
- [7] Rassias, Th.M., "On the stability of the linear mapping in Banach Spaces", *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 72, 297-300, 1978.
- [8] Mihet D. and Radu V., "On the stability of the additive Cauchy functional equation in Random Normed Spaces", *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 343, 567-572, 2008.
- [9] Alessa N., Tamilvanan K., Balasubramanian G. and Loganathan K., "Stability results of the functional equation deriving from quadratic function in Random Normed spaces", *AIMS Mathematics*, 6(3) 2385-2397, 2021.
- [10] Kang M. K., "Random stability of Quadratic Functional Equations", *Journal of Advances in Physics*, 16(1), 2019.
- [11] Mihet D., Saadati R. and Vaezpour S.M., "The Stability of the Quartic Functional Equation in Random Normed Spaces" *Acta Applicandae Mathematicae*, 110, 797-803, 2010.
- [12] Baktash E., Cho Y.J., Jalili M., Saadati R. and Vaezpour S. M., "On the stability of cubic mappings and quadratic mappings in random normed spaces", *J. Inequalities and applications*, 2008.
- [13] Golet I., "Random p -normed spaces and applications to random functions", *Istanbul Univ. Fen Fak. Mat. Fiz. Astro. Derg.*, 1, 31-42, 2004-2005.
- [14] Sherstnev A. N., "On the notion of a random normed space", *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR*, 149, 280-283, 1963.
- [15] Schweizer B. and Sklar A., "Probabilistic Metric Spaces", *Elsevier, North Holland, New York*, (1983).
- [16] Mohiuddine S.A. and Alghamdi M. A., "Stability of Functional equation obtained through a Fixed-point alternative in intuitionistic Fuzzy normed spaces", *Advances in Differences* 141, 1-16, August 2012.
- [17] Senthil Kumar B. V., Sabarinathan S. and Chandrasekaran A. D., "Stability of a mixed type additive and quartic functional equation", *AIP Conference Proceedings*. 2112, 1-7, 2019.

- [18] Diaz J. B. and Margolis B., “A fixed point theorem of metric space”, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 74 (2), 305-309, March 1968.
the alternative for contractions on a generalized complete



© The Author(s) 2023. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).