

# Corrigendum to “On Irresolute-Topological Vector Spaces”

Madhu Ram\*, Amjad Hussain

Department of mathematics, University of Jammu JK-180006, India

\*Corresponding author: [madhuram0502@gmail.com](mailto:madhuram0502@gmail.com)

Received July 19, 2018; Revised August 24, 2018; Accepted October 14, 2018

**Abstract** In this note, we disprove two results in reference [1].

**Keywords:** semi-open sets, irresolute-topological vector spaces

**Cite This Article:** Madhu Ram, and Amjad Hussain, “Corrigendum to “On Irresolute-Topological Vector Spaces”.” *Turkish Journal of Analysis and Number Theory*, vol. 6, no. 5 (2018): 148-148. doi: 10.12691/tjant-6-5-4.

Theorem 5 and part (a) of Theorem 6 in the published paper [1] are not correct. Indeed, in the proof of Theorem 5 in [1], it is not necessary that the set  $V_1$  contains any interval of the form  $(-\epsilon, \epsilon)$ . To assert this, it suffices to give a semi-open set  $V_1$  in  $\mathbb{R}$  which does not contain  $(-\epsilon, \epsilon)$ , for any  $\epsilon > 0$ . E.g. consider the set  $V_1 = [0, 1)$ . Then  $V_1 \in N_0(\mathbb{R})$  which does not include any set of the form  $(-\epsilon, \epsilon)$ .

Alternatively, consider the example [1], Example 1] of irresolute topological vector spaces. Let  $U = [0, 1) \subseteq X = \mathbb{R}$ . Then  $U \in N_0(X)$  but  $U$  is not absorbing.

Next, on review of [1], Theorem 6], it is figured out that part (a) of Theorem 6 in [1] is incorrect. The flaws are observed from the proof of this theorem. Here the author believes that the set  $V_1 \in N_0(\mathbb{R})$  contains an interval of

the form  $(-\epsilon, \epsilon)$  which is not always true as we have seen above.

## Acknowledgements

The authors are grateful to the referee and the reviewers for their valuable comments and suggestions.

## References

- [1] T. Al-Hawary and A. Al-Nayef, On Irresolute-Topological Vector Spaces, *Math. Sci. Res. Hot-Line*, 5 (2001), 49-53.