

# Fixed Point Theorems for Expansive Type Mappings in Multiplicative Metric Spaces

Nisha Sharma<sup>1</sup>, Lakshmi Narayan Mishra<sup>2,\*</sup>, Vishnu Narayan Mishra<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Manav Rachna International Institute of Research and Studies, Faridabad 121 004, Haryana, India

<sup>2</sup>Department of Mathematics, School of Advanced Sciences, Vellore Institute of Technology (VIT) University, Vellore 632 014, Tamil Nadu, India

<sup>3</sup>Department of Mathematics, Indira Gandhi National Tribal University, Lalpur, Amarkantak 484 887, Madhya Pradesh, India

\*Corresponding author: [lakshminarayanmishra04@gmail.com](mailto:lakshminarayanmishra04@gmail.com)

Received November 18, 2017; Revised February 22, 2018; Accepted April 13, 2018

**Abstract** In this paper, we prove some common fixed point theorems for various types of compatible mappings in the setting of complete multiplicative metric spaces.

**Keywords:** multiplicative metric space, compatible mapping, expansive mappings

**Cite This Article:** Nisha Sharma, Lakshmi Narayan Mishra and Vishnu Narayan Mishra, "Fixed Point Theorems for Expansive Type Mappings in Multiplicative Metric Spaces." *Turkish Journal of Analysis and Number Theory*, vol. 6, no. 2 (2018): 52-56. doi: 10.12691/tjant-6-2-4.

## 1. Introduction and Preliminaries

The study of expansive mappings is an interesting research area in the fixed point theory. It was grown in 1984 from the paper of Wang *et al.* [7] by introducing the concept of expanding mappings in the complete metric space. Daffer and Kaneko [10] used two self mappings to generalize the result of Wang in a complete metric space.

**Definition 1.1.** Let  $X$  be a nonempty set. A multiplicative metric is a mapping  $d : X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  satisfying the following conditions:

- $d(x, y) \geq 1 \forall x, y \in X$  and  $d(x, y) = 1$  iff  $x = y$ ;
- $d(x, y) = d(y, x) \forall x, y \in X$ ;
- $d(x, y) \leq d(x, z) \cdot d(z, y) \forall x, y \in X$  (multiplicative triangle inequality).

Then mapping  $d$  together with  $X$ , i.e.  $(X, d)$  is a multiplicative metric space.

**Example 1.2.** ([9]) Let  $d : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [1, \infty)$  be defined as  $d(x, y) = a^{|x-y|}$ , where  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $a > 1$ . Then  $d$  is a multiplicative metric and  $(\mathbb{R}, d)$  is a multiplicative metric space. We may call it usual multiplicative metric spaces.

**Example 1.3.** ([9]) Let  $(X, d)$  be a metric space. Define a mapping  $d_a$  on  $X$  by

$$d_a(x, y) = a^{d(x, y)} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x = y, \\ a & \text{if } x \neq y. \end{cases}$$

where  $x, y \in X$  and  $a > 1$ . Then  $d_a$  is a multiplicative metric and  $(X, d_a)$  is known as the discrete multiplicative metric space.

In 2012, Ozavsar and Cevikel [5] gave the concept of multiplicative contraction mappings and proved some fixed point theorems of such mappings in a multiplicative metric space.

Also they proved the Banach Contraction Principle in the setting of multiplicative metric spaces as follows:

"Let  $f$  be a multiplicative contraction mapping of a complete multiplicative metric space  $(X, d)$  into itself. Then  $f$  has a unique fixed point."

In 1984, Wang *et al.* [7] and in 1993, Rhoades [8] proved some fixed point theorems for expansion mappings, which corresponds to some contractive mappings in metric spaces.

**Definition 1.6.** Let  $f$  be a mapping of a multiplicative metric space  $(X, d)$  into itself. Then  $f$  is said to be an expansive mapping if there exists a constant  $\alpha > 1$  such that

$$d(fx, fy) \geq d^\alpha(x, y), \forall x, y \in X.$$

Recently Kang *et al.* [6] introduced the notion of compatible mappings and its variants as follows:

**Definition 1.7.** Let  $f$  and  $g$  be two mappings of a multiplicative metric space  $(X, d)$  into itself. Then  $f$  and  $g$  are called

(1) Compatible if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(fgx_n, gfx_n) = 1,$$

where  $\{x_n\}$  is a sequence in  $X$  such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} fx_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} gx_n = t$$

for some  $t \in X$ .

(2) *Compatible of type (A)* if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(fgx_n, ggx_n) = 1 \text{ and } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(gfx_n, gfx_n) = 1,$$

where  $\{x_n\}$  is a sequence in  $X$  such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} fx_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} gx_n = t$$

for some  $t \in X$ .

(3) *Compatible of type (B)* if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(fgx_n, ggx_n) \leq \left[ \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(fgx_n, ft) \cdot \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(ft, gfx_n) \right]^{1/2}$$

and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(gfx_n, gfx_n) \leq \left[ \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(gfx_n, gt) \cdot \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(gt, ggx_n) \right]^{1/2},$$

where  $\{x_n\}$  is a sequence in  $X$  such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} fx_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} gx_n = t$$

for some  $t \in X$ .

(4) *Compatible of type (C)* if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(fgx_n, ggx_n) \leq \left[ \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(fgx_n, ft) \cdot \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(ft, gfx_n) \cdot \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(ft, ggx_n) \right]^{1/3}$$

and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(gfx_n, gfx_n) \leq \left[ \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(gfx_n, gt) \cdot \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(gt, ggx_n) \cdot \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(gt, gfx_n) \right]^{1/3}$$

where  $\{x_n\}$  is a sequence in  $X$  such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} fx_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} gx_n = t$$

for some  $t \in X$ .

(5) *Compatible of type (P)* if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(ffx_n, ggx_n) = 1,$$

where  $\{x_n\}$  is a sequence in  $X$  such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} fx_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} gx_n = t$$

for some  $t \in X$ .

Next is a result which is useful for our main results.

**Proposition 1.8.** *Let  $f$  and  $g$  be compatible mappings of a multiplicative metric space  $(X, d)$  into itself. Suppose that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} fx_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} gx_n = t$  for some  $t \in X$ . Then  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} gfx_n = ft$  if  $f$  is continuous at  $t$ .*

## 2. Main Results

In 1993, Rhoades [8] proved the following fixed point theorem for expansive mappings in metric spaces as follows:

**Definition 2.1.** *Let  $f$  and  $g$  be compatible mappings of a complete metric space into itself satisfying the condition*

$$d(fx, fy) \geq qd(gx, gy)$$

$\forall x, y \in X$ , where  $q > 1$  and assume that  $g(X) \subset f(X)$  and  $f$  is continuous. Then  $f$  and  $g$  have a unique common fixed point.

**Theorem 2.2.** *Let  $f$  be a mapping of a multiplicative metric space  $(X, d)$  into itself. Then  $f$  is said to be multiplicative contraction if  $\exists$  a real constant  $\lambda \in (0, 1]$  such that*

$$d(fx, fy) \leq d^\lambda(x, y) \quad \forall x, y \in X.$$

Now we generalized Theorem 2.2 in the string of setting of multiplicative metric spaces in the following way:

**Theorem 2.3.** *Let  $f$  and  $g$  be compatible mappings of a complete multiplicative metric space into itself satisfying the condition*

$$\begin{aligned} & [d(fx, fy) \cdot d(fx, gy) \cdot d(fy, gx) \cdot d(fy, gy)] \\ & \geq d^{2q}(gx, gy) \end{aligned} \quad (2.1)$$

$\forall x, y \in X$ , where  $q > 2$  and assume that  $g(X) \subset f(X)$  and  $f$  is continuous. Then  $f$  and  $g$  have a unique common fixed point.

*Proof.* Let  $x_0 \in X$ . Since  $g(X) \subset f(X)$ ,  $\exists x_1 \in X$  such that  $fx_1 = gx_0$ . In general,  $\exists x_{n+1} \in X$  such that

$$y_n = fx_{n+1} = gx_n. \quad (2.2)$$

From (2.1), consider

$$\begin{aligned} d(y_n, y_{n+1}) &= d(gx_n, gx_{n+1}) \\ &\leq \left[ \begin{aligned} & d(fx_n, fx_{n+1}) \cdot d(fx_n, gx_{n+1}) \\ & d(fx_{n+1}, gx_n) \cdot d(fx_{n+1}, gx_{n+1}) \end{aligned} \right]^{1/2q} \\ &= \left[ \begin{aligned} & d(gx_{n-1}, gx_n) \cdot d(gx_{n-1}, gx_{n+1}) \\ & d(gx_n, gx_n) \cdot d(gx_n, gx_{n+1}) \end{aligned} \right]^{1/2q} \\ &= [d(gx_{n-1}, gx_n) \cdot d(gx_n, gx_{n+1})]^{1/q} \\ &= [d(y_{n-1}, y_n) \cdot d(y_n, y_{n+1})]^{1/q} \\ & d(y_n, y_{n+1}) \leq d^{1/q-1}(y_{n-1}, y_n). \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

Again,

$$d(y_{n-1}, y_n) = d(gx_{n-1}, gx_n)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \left[ \frac{d(fx_{n-1}, fx_n) \cdot d(fx_{n-1}, gx_n)}{d(fx_n, gx_{n-1}) \cdot d(fx_n, gx_n)} \right]^{1/2q} \\ &= \left[ \frac{d(gx_{n-2}, gx_{n-1}) \cdot d(gx_{n-2}, gx_n)}{d(gx_{n-1}, gx_{n-1}) \cdot d(gx_{n-1}, gx_n)} \right]^{1/2q} \\ &= \left[ d^2(gx_{n-2}, gx_{n-1}) \cdot d^2(gx_{n-1}, gx_n) \right]^{1/2q} \\ d(gx_{n-1}, gx_n) &\leq \left[ \frac{d(gx_{n-2}, gx_{n-1}) \cdot d(gx_{n-1}, gx_n)}{d(gx_{n-1}, gx_{n-1}) \cdot d(gx_{n-1}, gx_n)} \right]^{1/q} \\ d(y_{n-1}, y_n) &\leq \left[ \frac{d(y_{n-2}, y_{n-1}) \cdot d(y_{n-1}, y_n)}{d(y_{n-1}, y_{n-1}) \cdot d(y_{n-1}, y_n)} \right]^{1/q} \\ d(y_{n-1}, y_n) &\leq d^{1/q}(y_{n-2}, y_{n-1}) \cdot d^{1/q}(y_{n-1}, y_n) \\ d(y_{n-1}, y_n) &\leq d^{1/q-1}(y_{n-2}, y_{n-1}) \end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

Therefore using (2.3) and (2.4), we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(y_n, y_{n+1}) &\leq d^{1/q-1}(y_{n-1}, y_n) \\ &\leq d^{1/(q-1)^2}(y_{n-2}, y_{n-1}) \end{aligned}$$

and so on.

In general, we have

$$d(y_n, y_{n+1}) \leq d^{k^n}(y_0, y_1) \tag{2.5}$$

where  $k = \frac{1}{q-1} < 1$  or  $q > 2$ .

Now for  $m, n \in N$  with  $n < m$ , consider

$$\begin{aligned} &d(y_n, y_m) \\ &\leq d(y_n, y_{n+1}) \cdot d(y_{n+1}, y_{n+2}) \dots d(y_{m-1}, y_m) \\ &\leq d^{k^n}(y_0, y_1) \cdot d^{k^{n+1}}(y_0, y_1) \dots d^{k^{m-1}}(y_0, y_1) \\ &\quad \text{[using (2.5)]} \\ &\leq \frac{k^n}{d^{1-k}}(y_0, y_1) \\ &\rightarrow 1 \end{aligned}$$

As  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . It follows that the sequence  $\{y_n\}$  is a multiplicative Cauchy sequence. Since  $(X, d)$  is complete, we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} fx_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} gx_n = z. \tag{2.6}$$

Since  $f$  and  $g$  are compatible and  $f$  is continuous, by Proposition 1.15,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} ffx_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} fgn = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} gfx_n = fz. \tag{2.7}$$

Consider

$$d(gfx_n, gz) \leq \left[ \frac{d(ffx_n, fz) \cdot d(ffx_n, gz)}{d(fz, gfx_n) \cdot d(fz, gz)} \right]^{1/2q} \text{ [using (2.1)]}$$

Letting  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} d(fz, gz) &\leq \left[ \frac{d(fz, fz) \cdot d(fz, gz)}{d(fz, fz) \cdot d(fz, gz)} \right]^{1/2q} \text{ [using (2.7)]} \\ &= [d^2(fz, gz)]^{1/2q} \\ d(fz, gz) &\leq [d(fz, gz)]^{1/q} \\ \Rightarrow fz &= gz, \text{ since } q > 2. \end{aligned} \tag{2.8}$$

Now we show that  $z$  is fixed point of  $f$  and  $g$ . Again considering

$$\begin{aligned} d(gz, gx_n) &= d(gx_n, gz) \\ &\leq \left[ \frac{d(fx_n, fz) \cdot d(fx_n, gz)}{d(fz, gx_n) \cdot d(fz, gz)} \right]^{1/2q} \text{ [using (2.1)]} \end{aligned}$$

Letting  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} d(gz, z) &\leq \left[ \frac{d(z, fz) \cdot d(z, gz)}{d(fz, z) \cdot d(fz, gz)} \right]^{1/2q} \text{ [using (2.6)]} \\ &= \left[ \frac{d(z, gz) \cdot d(z, gz)}{d(gz, z) \cdot d(gz, gz)} \right]^{1/2q} \text{ [using (2.8)]} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} d(gz, z) &\leq [d(z, gz)]^{3/2q}, \text{ since } q > 2 \\ \Rightarrow gz &= z. \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $z$  is fixed point of  $f$  and  $g$ .

Uniqueness follows easily from (2.1). This completes the proof.

Next we prove a common fixed point theorem for compatible mappings of type (B) as follows:

**Theorem 2.3.** *Let  $f$  and  $g$  be compatible mappings of type (B) of a complete multiplicative metric space into itself satisfying the condition (2.1) and assume that  $g(X) \subset f(X)$  and  $f$  is continuous. Then  $f$  and  $g$  have a unique common fixed point.*

**Proof.** From the proof of Theorem 2.2,  $\{gx_n\}$  is a multiplicative Cauchy sequence. Since  $(X, d)$  is complete, there exists a point  $z \in X$  such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} fx_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} gx_n = z.$$

Since  $f$  is continuous, we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} ffx_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} fgn = fz.$$

Since  $f$  and  $g$  are compatible of type (B), so

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(fgx_n, ggx_n) \\ & \leq \left[ \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(fgx_n, fz) \cdot \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(fz, ffx_n) \right]^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

Letting  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(fz, ggx_n) & \leq [d(fz, fz) \cdot d(fz, fz)]^{1/2}, \\ & \Rightarrow \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} ggx_n = fz. \end{aligned}$$

Consider

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(ggx_n, gfx_n) & = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(ggx_n, ffx_n) \\ & = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left[ d(fgx_n, ffx_n) \cdot d(fgx_n, gfx_n) \right]^{1/2q} \quad [\text{using (2.1)}] \\ d(fz, gfx_n) & = \left[ \frac{d(fz, fz) \cdot d(fz, gfx_n)}{d(fz, fz) \cdot d(fz, gfx_n)} \right]^{1/2q} \\ & = \left[ d^2(fz, gfx_n) \right]^{1/2q} = [d(fz, gfx_n)]^{1/q} \\ & \Rightarrow \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} ggx_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} gfx_n = fz \end{aligned}$$

Again consider

$$\begin{aligned} & d(gfx_n, gz) \\ & \leq [d(ffx_n, fz) \cdot d(ffx_n, gz) \cdot d(fz, gfx_n) \cdot d(fz, gz)]^{1/2q} \\ & [\text{using (2.1)}] \end{aligned}$$

Letting  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(fz, gz) & \leq [d(fz, fz) \cdot d(fz, gz) \cdot d(fz, fz) \cdot d(fz, gz)]^{1/2q} \\ & d(fz, gz) \leq d^{1/q}(fz, gz) \end{aligned}$$

Since  $q > 2$ ,  $\Rightarrow fz = gz$ .

Next we have to show that  $z$  is a fixed point of  $f$  and  $g$ , that easily follows from the proof of Theorem 2.2.

Uniqueness follows from (2.1). This completes the proof.

Now we prove a common fixed point theorem for compatible mappings of type (C) as follows:

**Theorem 2.4.** *Let  $f$  and  $g$  be compatible mappings of type (C) of a complete multiplicative metric space into itself satisfying the conditions (2.1) and assume that  $g(X) \subset f(X)$  and  $f$  is continuous. Then  $f$  and  $g$  have a unique common fixed point.*

*Proof.* From the proof of Theorem 2.2,  $\{gx_n\}$  is a multiplicative Cauchy sequence. Since  $(X, d)$  is complete, there exists a point  $z \in X$  such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} fx_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} gx_n = z.$$

Since  $f$  is continuous, we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} ffx_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} fgx_n = fz.$$

Since  $f$  and  $g$  are compatible of type (C), so

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(fgx_n, ggx_n) \\ & \leq \left[ \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(fgx_n, fz) \cdot \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(fz, ffx_n) \cdot \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(fz, ggx_n) \right]^{1/3} \end{aligned}$$

Letting  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(fz, ggx_n) \\ & \leq \left[ d(fz, fz) \cdot d(fz, fz) \cdot \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(fz, ggx_n) \right]^{1/3}, \\ & \Rightarrow \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} ggx_n = fz. \end{aligned}$$

Consider

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(ggx_n, gfx_n) \\ & \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left[ \frac{d(fgx_n, ffx_n) \cdot d(fgx_n, gfx_n)}{d(ffx_n, ggx_n) \cdot d(ffx_n, gfx_n)} \right]^{1/2q} \quad [\text{using (2.1)}] \\ d(fz, gfx_n) & \leq \left[ \frac{d(fz, fz) \cdot d(fz, gfx_n)}{d(ffx_n, ggx_n) \cdot d(ffx_n, gfx_n)} \right]^{1/2q} \\ & = \left[ d^2(fz, gfx_n) \right]^{1/2q} \\ d(fz, gfx_n) & \leq [d(fz, gfx_n)]^{1/q} \\ & \Rightarrow \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} ggx_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} gfx_n = fz. \end{aligned}$$

Again consider

$$d(gfx_n, gz) \leq \left[ \frac{d(ffx_n, fz) \cdot d(ffx_n, gz)}{d(fz, gfx_n) \cdot d(fz, gz)} \right]^{1/2q}$$

Letting  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(fz, gz) & \leq [d(fz, fz) \cdot d(fz, gz) \cdot d(fz, fz) \cdot d(fz, gz)]^{1/2q} \\ & = \left[ d^2(fz, gz) \right]^{1/2q} \\ d(fz, gz) & \leq [d(fz, gz)]^{1/q} \\ & \Rightarrow fz = gz. \end{aligned}$$

The rest of the proof follows easily from Theorem 2.2.

Now we prove a common fixed point theorem for compatible mappings of type (P) as follows:

**Theorem 2.5.** *Let  $f$  and  $g$  be compatible mappings of type (P) of a complete metric space into itself satisfying the condition (2.1) and assume that  $g(X) \subset f(X)$  and  $f$  is continuous. Then  $f$  and  $g$  have a unique common fixed point.*

*Proof.* From the proof of Theorem 2.2,  $\{gx_n\}$  is a multiplicative Cauchy sequence. Since  $(X, d)$  is complete, there exists  $z \in X$  such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} fx_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} gx_n = z.$$

Since  $f$  and  $g$  are compatible of type (P) and  $f$  is continuous, we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} ffx_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} ggx_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} fgx_n = fz.$$

Consider

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(ggx_n, gz) \\ & \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left[ \frac{d(fgx_n, fz) \cdot d(fgx_n, gz)}{d(fz, ggx_n) \cdot d(fz, gz)} \right]^{1/2q} \quad [\text{using (2.1)}] \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} d(fz, gz) & \leq [d(fz, fz) \cdot d(fz, gz) \cdot d(fz, fz) \cdot d(fz, gz)]^{1/2q} \\ & = [d^2(fz, gz)]^{1/2q} \end{aligned}$$

$$d(fz, gz) \leq [d(fz, gz)]^{1/q} \Rightarrow gz = fz$$

The rest of the proof follows easily from Theorem 2.2.

## References

- [1] A.E. Bashirov, E.M. Kurplnara, A. Ozyapici, Multiplicative calculus and its applications, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 337 (2008), 36-48.
- [2] M. Abbas, B. Ali, Y.I. Suleiman, Common fixed points of locally contractive mappings in multiplicative metric spaces with application, *Int. J. Math. Sci.*, 2015(2015), Article ID 218683, 7 pages.
- [3] S.M. Kang, P. Kumar, S. Kumar, common fixed points for compatible mappings of types in multiplicative metric spaces, *Int. J. Math. Anal.*, 9 (2015), 1755-1767.
- [4] X. He, M. Song, D. Chen, Common fixed points for weak commutative mappings on a multiplicative metric spaces, *Fixed Point Theory Appl.*, 48 (2014), 9 pages.
- [5] M. Ozavsar, A.C. Cevikel, Fixed points of multiplicative contraction mappings on multiplicative metric spaces, arXiv:1205.5131v1 [math.GM], 2012.
- [6] S.M. Kang, P. Kumar, S. Kumar, P. Nagpal, S.K. Garg, Common fixed points for compatible mappings and its variants in multiplicative metric spaces, *Int. J. Pure Appl. Math.*, 102 (2015), 383-406.
- [7] S.Z. Wang, B.Y. Li, Z.M. Gao, K. Iseki, Some fixed point theorems on expansion mappings, *Math. Japon.*, 29 (1984), 631-636.
- [8] B.E. Rhoades, An expansion mapping theorem, *Jnanabha*, 23 (1993), 151-152.
- [9] M. Sarwar, R. Badshah-e, Some unique fixed point theorems in multiplicative metric space, arXiv:1410.3384v2 [math.GM], 2014.
- [10] P.Z. Daffer, H. Kaneko, on expansive mappings, *Math. Japonica*, 37(1992), 733-735.