

Sums over Numbers with Restricted Prime Factors

Khadija Mbarki¹, Walid Wannes^{2,*}

¹Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Sciences of Monastir, Tunisia

²Faculté des sciences de Sfax, BP 1171, Sfax 3000, Tunisie

*Corresponding author: wannes.walid.fss@gmail.com

Received May 12, 2017; Revised June 30, 2107; Accepted July 12, 2017

Abstract Let $q \geq 2$ be a positive integer and $S_q(n)$ be the sum of the digits in basis q of the positive integer n .

We prove that the quotient $\frac{\tilde{\Omega}(n)}{\tilde{\omega}(n)}$ has a normal order one, where $\tilde{\omega}(n)$ and $\tilde{\Omega}(n)$ are respectively, the number of distinct prime factors and the number of prime factors p of a positive integer n counted with multiplicity such that $S_q(n) \equiv a \pmod{b}$ ($a, b \in \mathbb{Z}, b \geq 2$). Moreover, we discuss sums of the form $\sum_{n \leq x} f(n) \tilde{\omega}(n)$, where f is a multiplicative function.

Keywords: sum-of-digits function, uniform distribution modulo 1, multiplicative function

Cite This Article: Khadija Mbarki, and Walid Wannes, "Sums over Numbers with Restricted Prime Factors." Turkish Journal of Analysis and Number Theory, vol. 5, no. 5 (2017): 153-158. doi: 10.12691/tjant-5-5-2.

$$\text{and } \tilde{\Omega}(n) = \tilde{\Omega}_{a,b,q}(n) = \sum_{\substack{p^\alpha | n \\ S(p) \equiv a \pmod{b}}} 1.$$

1. Introduction

Let $q \geq 2$ be a fixed integer. Every positive integer n has a unique q -adic expansion of the form

$$n = \sum_{j=0}^v n_j q^j, \quad n_j \in \{0, 1, \dots, q-1\},$$

with $n_v \neq 0$. For $n=0$, we admit to set $v=0$. The sum of digits function in basis q is defined by

$$S(n) = S_q(n) = n_0 + n_1 + \dots + n_v.$$

The function S is one of the most natural examples of q -additive functions (i.e. $S(aq^j + b) = S(a) + S(b)$, with $(a, b, j) \in \mathbb{N}^3$, $0 \leq b < q^j$) and \mathbb{N} is the set of non negative integers. Such functions were introduced by Gelfond [7] and further studied by Coquet [1], Kátai [9] and others.

For every $a \in \mathbb{N}$ and $b \geq 2$ such that $(b, q-1) = 1$, we define $\tilde{\omega}(n)$ as the number of distinct prime factors p of n such that $S(p) \equiv a \pmod{b}$ and $\tilde{\Omega}(n)$ the number of distinct prime factors of n counted with multiplicity such that $S(p) \equiv a \pmod{b}$. Therefore,

$$\tilde{\omega}(n) = \tilde{\omega}_{a,b,q}(n) = \sum_{\substack{p|n \\ S(p) \equiv a \pmod{b}}} 1$$

Both functions have been studied in [12,13], it was proved that their normal order is $\frac{1}{b} \log \log n$. A crucial part in the proof is the following estimation

$$\sum_{\substack{p \leq x \\ S(p) \equiv a \pmod{b}}} \frac{1}{p} = \frac{1}{b} \log \log x + \beta + O\left(\frac{1}{\log x}\right), \quad (1.1)$$

where

$$\beta = \sum_{1 \leq j \leq b-1} e\left(\frac{-aj}{b}\right) \int_2^{+\infty} \left(\sum_{p \leq t} e\left(S(p) \frac{j}{b}\right) \right) \frac{dt}{t^2}.$$

The aim of this paper is to provide asymptotic formulas for sums involving $\tilde{\omega}(n)$ and $\tilde{\Omega}(n)$. We consider firstly the sum

$$\sum_{n \leq x} \frac{\tilde{\Omega}(n)}{\tilde{\omega}(n)}.$$

Then, by using elementary methods, we discuss sums of the form

$$\sum_{n \leq x} f(n) \tilde{\omega}(n)$$

where f is one of the classical arithmetic functions μ , φ and σ , where μ is the Möbius function, while φ and σ

are respectively, the Euler function and the sum of divisors function. This extends the results known through the work of De Koninck [4], De Koninck and Sitaramachandrarao [5] to primes verifying a digital constraint. Finally, we give some related results about the distribution of $\tilde{\Omega}(n) - \tilde{\omega}(n)$ and uniform distribution modulo 1 of sequences involving $\tilde{\Omega}(n)$ and $\tilde{\omega}(n)$.

Throughout this paper, p always denotes a prime number. For any real x , we set $e(x) = e^{2\pi ix}$. The notation (a, b) refers to the greatest common divisor of a and b . $\omega(n)$ is the number of distinct prime factors of n while $\Omega(n)$ is the number of prime factors counted with multiplicity of the integer n . We recall that the notation $U \ll V$ is equivalent to the statement that $U = O(V)$ for positive functions U and V and the implied constants in the symbols “ O ”, “ \ll ” are absolute. We also use the symbol “ o ” with its usual meaning, the statement $U = o(V)$ is equivalent to $U/V \rightarrow 0$.

2. The Sum of Quotients

Since $\omega(n)$ and $\Omega(n)$ have both the normal order $\log \log n$ (see [8]), it is obvious that $\frac{\Omega(n)}{\omega(n)}$ has normal order one which is shown by De Koninck in [4]. A crucial part in his proof is an elementary estimation of $\sum_{2 \leq n \leq x} \frac{1}{\omega(n)}$. In this part, we consider an analogue problem, since $\tilde{\omega}(n)$ and $\tilde{\Omega}(n)$ have both the normal order $\frac{1}{b} \log \log n$ (see [12]). So, we put

$$\Delta(n) = \begin{cases} \frac{\tilde{\Omega}(n)}{\tilde{\omega}(n)}, & \text{if } \tilde{\omega}(n) \neq 0, \\ 1, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then, the average value of $\Delta(n)$ is given in the following theorem.

Theorem 2.1.

$$\sum_{n \leq x} \Delta(n) = x + O\left(\frac{x}{\log \log x}\right). \tag{2.1}$$

Proof. Our first task is to estimate $\sum_{\substack{n \leq x \\ \tilde{\omega}(n) \neq 0}} \frac{1}{\tilde{\omega}(n)}$. Indeed,

we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\substack{n \leq x \\ \tilde{\omega}(n) \neq 0}} \frac{1}{\tilde{\omega}(n)} \\ &= \sum_{\substack{n \leq x \\ 0 < 2b\tilde{\omega}(n) < \log \log x}} \frac{1}{\tilde{\omega}(n)} + \sum_{\substack{n \leq x \\ 2b\tilde{\omega}(n) \geq \log \log x}} \frac{1}{\tilde{\omega}(n)} \end{aligned}$$

$$\leq \sum_{\substack{n \leq x \\ 2b\tilde{\omega}(n) < \log \log x}} 1 + 2b \frac{x}{\log \log x}.$$

Proposition 2.2 of [12] implies that

$$\sum_{n \leq x} \left(\tilde{\omega}(n) - \frac{1}{b} \log \log x \right)^2 \leq Cx \log \log x,$$

where C is an absolute constant. So,

$$\sum_{\substack{n \leq x \\ 2b\tilde{\omega}(n) < \log \log x}} \left(\tilde{\omega}(n) - \frac{1}{b} \log \log x \right)^2 \leq Cx \log \log x.$$

Or,

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{4b^2} (\log \log x)^2 \sum_{\substack{n \leq x \\ 2b\tilde{\omega}(n) < \log \log x}} 1 \\ & \leq \sum_{\substack{n \leq x \\ 2b\tilde{\omega}(n) < \log \log x}} \left(\tilde{\omega}(n) - \frac{1}{b} \log \log x \right)^2. \end{aligned}$$

Then,

$$\sum_{\substack{n \leq x \\ 2b\tilde{\omega}(n) < \log \log x}} 1 \leq 4b^2 C \frac{x}{\log \log x}.$$

So, we obtain

$$\sum_{\substack{n \leq x \\ \tilde{\omega}(n) \neq 0}} \frac{1}{\tilde{\omega}(n)} = O\left(\frac{x}{\log \log x}\right). \tag{2.2}$$

Now, we use (2.2) to estimate the left hand side of (2.1) as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n \leq x} \Delta(n) &= \sum_{\substack{n \leq x \\ \tilde{\omega}(n) = 0}} \Delta(n) + \sum_{\substack{n \leq x \\ \tilde{\omega}(n) \neq 0}} \Delta(n) \\ &= \sum_{\substack{n \leq x \\ \tilde{\omega}(n) = 0}} \Delta(n) + \sum_{\substack{n \leq x \\ \tilde{\omega}(n) \neq 0}} \sum_{S(p) \equiv a \pmod{b}} \frac{1}{\tilde{\omega}(n)} \\ &= \sum_{\substack{n \leq x \\ \tilde{\omega}(n) = 0}} \Delta(n) + \sum_{\substack{n \leq x \\ \tilde{\omega}(n) \neq 0}} \sum_{S(p) \equiv a \pmod{b}} \frac{1}{p|n} \\ &+ \sum_{\substack{n \leq x \\ \tilde{\omega}(n) \neq 0}} \sum_{\substack{p^m | n, m \geq 2 \\ S(p) \equiv a \pmod{b}}} \frac{1}{\tilde{\omega}(n)} \\ &= \sum_{\substack{n \leq x \\ \tilde{\omega}(n) \neq 0}} 1 + \sum_{\substack{n \leq x \\ \tilde{\omega}(n) \neq 0}} 1 + \sum_{\substack{p^m \leq x, m \geq 2 \\ S(p) \equiv a \pmod{b}}} \sum_{n \leq xp^{-m}} \frac{1}{\tilde{\omega}(np^m)} \\ &= \sum_{n \leq x} 1 + O\left(\sum_{\substack{p^m \leq x, m \geq 2 \\ S(p) \equiv a \pmod{b}}} \sum_{\substack{n \leq xp^{-m} \\ \tilde{\omega}(n) \neq 0}} \frac{1}{\tilde{\omega}(n)} \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= x + O \left(\sum_{p^m \leq x, m \geq 2} \sum_{\substack{n \leq xp^{-m} \\ \tilde{\omega}(n) \neq 0}} \frac{1}{\tilde{\omega}(n)} \right) \\
 &= x + O \left(\sum_{\substack{n \leq x \\ \tilde{\omega}(n) \neq 0}} \sum_{\substack{p^m \leq \frac{x}{n} \\ m \geq 2}} \frac{1}{\tilde{\omega}(n)} \right)
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.3}$$

Since $\sum_{\substack{p^m \leq t \\ m \geq 2}} 1 = O \left(\frac{1}{t^{\frac{1}{2}}} \right)$, then by partial summation, we

can write

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\sum_{\substack{n \leq x \\ \tilde{\omega}(n) \neq 0}} \sum_{\substack{p^m \leq \frac{x}{n} \\ m \geq 2}} \frac{1}{\tilde{\omega}(n)} \leq \sum_{\substack{n \leq x \\ \tilde{\omega}(n) \neq 0}} \sum_{\substack{p^m \leq \frac{x}{n} \\ m \geq 2}} 1 \\
 &\ll \sqrt{x} \sum_{\substack{n \leq x \\ \tilde{\omega}(n) \neq 0}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{n\tilde{\omega}(n)}} \\
 &= \sqrt{x} \left(x^{\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{\substack{n \leq x \\ \tilde{\omega}(n) \neq 0}} \frac{1}{\tilde{\omega}(n)} + \frac{1}{2} \int_1^x t^{-\frac{3}{2}} \sum_{\substack{n \leq t \\ \tilde{\omega}(n) \neq 0}} \frac{1}{\tilde{\omega}(n)} dt \right) \\
 &= O \left(\frac{x}{\log \log x} \right),
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

where the last equality is derived from (2.2). Assembling (2.3) and (2.4), we obtain

$$\sum_{n \leq x} \Delta(n) = x + O \left(\frac{x}{\log \log x} \right),$$

which completes the proof of the theorem.

3. On the Sum $\sum_{n \leq x} f(n)\tilde{\omega}(n)$

In this section, we discuss sums of the form $\sum_{n \leq x} f(n)\tilde{\omega}(n)$, where $f(n)$ is either $\mu(n)$, $\varphi(n)$ or $\sigma(n)$. We note that the case $\sum_{n \leq x} f(n)\omega(n)$, where f is a multiplicative function, was discussed in [[6], Chapter 9].

Theorem 3.1. *Let q, b be integers ≥ 2 satisfying $(b, q-1) = 1$. Then for any integer $m \geq 1$, there exist constants $E_i, 0 \leq i \leq m-1$ such that*

$$\sum_{n \leq x} \mu(n)\tilde{\omega}(n) = \frac{x}{b} \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{E_{i-1}}{(\log x)^i} + O \left(\frac{x}{(\log x)^{m+1}} \right).$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sum_{n \leq x} \mu(n)\tilde{\omega}(n) &= \sum_{n \leq x} \mu(n) \sum_{\substack{p|n \\ S(p) \equiv a \pmod b}} 1 \\
 &= \sum_{\substack{pn \leq x \\ S(p) \equiv a \pmod b}} \mu(pn) = - \sum_{\substack{pn \leq x, p|n \\ S(p) \equiv a \pmod b}} \mu(n) \\
 &= - \sum_{\substack{pn \leq x \\ S(p) \equiv a \pmod b}} \mu(n) + \sum_{\substack{pn \leq x, p|n \\ S(p) \equiv a \pmod b}} \mu(n) \\
 &= - \sum_{\substack{pn \leq x \\ S(p) \equiv a \pmod b}} \mu(n) + \sum_{\substack{p^2 n \leq x \\ S(p) \equiv a \pmod b}} \mu(pn) \\
 &= - \sum_{\substack{pn \leq x \\ S(p) \equiv a \pmod b}} \mu(n) - \sum_{\substack{p^2 n \leq x, p|n \\ S(p) \equiv a \pmod b}} \mu(n) \\
 &= - \sum_{\substack{pn \leq x \\ S(p) \equiv a \pmod b}} \mu(n) - \sum_{\substack{p^2 n \leq x \\ S(p) \equiv a \pmod b}} \mu(n) \\
 &\quad + \sum_{\substack{p^2 n \leq x, p|n \\ S(p) \equiv a \pmod b}} \mu(n).
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

By pursuing the same procedure, we get

$$\sum_{n \leq x} \mu(n)\tilde{\omega}(n) = - \sum_{\substack{p^\alpha n \leq x \\ S(p) \equiv a \pmod b}} \mu(n).$$

Now, we write

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\sum_{n \leq x} \mu(n)\tilde{\omega}(n) \\
 &= - \sum_{\substack{pn \leq x \\ S(p) \equiv a \pmod b}} \mu(n) - \sum_{\substack{p^\alpha n \leq x, \alpha \geq 2 \\ S(p) \equiv a \pmod b}} \mu(n) \\
 &= -\Sigma_1 - \Sigma_2,
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

say.

For the estimation of Σ_1 , we use Dirichlet's hyperbola method and we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Sigma_1 &= \sum_{\substack{pn \leq x \\ S(p) \equiv a \pmod b}} \mu(n) \\
 &= \sum_{\substack{pn \leq x, p \leq \sqrt{x} \\ S(p) \equiv a \pmod b}} \mu(n) + \sum_{\substack{pn \leq x, n \leq \sqrt{x} \\ S(p) \equiv a \pmod b}} \mu(n) \\
 &\quad - \sum_{\substack{n \leq \sqrt{x} \\ S(p) \equiv a \pmod b}} \mu(n) \sum_{\substack{p \leq \sqrt{x} \\ S(p) \equiv a \pmod b}} 1 \\
 &= \Sigma_1^{(1)} + \Sigma_1^{(2)} - \Sigma_1^{(3)}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

Now, it is well-known (See [15]) that there exists a constant $A > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}
 M(x) &= \sum_{n \leq \sqrt{x}} \mu(n) \\
 &= O \left(x \exp \left(-A \log^{\frac{3}{5}} x (\log \log x)^{-\frac{1}{5}} \right) \right).
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma_1^{(1)} &= \sum_{\substack{pn \leq x, p \leq \sqrt{x} \\ S(p) \equiv a \pmod b}} \mu(n) = \sum_{\substack{p \leq \sqrt{x} \\ S(p) \equiv a \pmod b}} \sum_{n \leq \frac{x}{p}} \mu(n) \\ &\ll \sum_{\substack{p \leq \sqrt{x} \\ S(p) \equiv a \pmod b}} \frac{x}{p} \exp\left(-A \log^{\frac{3}{5}}\left(\frac{x}{p}\right) \log \log^{-\frac{1}{5}}\left(\frac{x}{p}\right)\right) \\ &\ll x \exp\left(-A \log^{\frac{3}{5}} x\right) \sum_{\substack{p \leq \sqrt{x} \\ S(p) \equiv a \pmod b}} \frac{1}{p} \\ &= O\left(x \log \log x \exp\left(-A \log^{\frac{3}{5}} x\right)\right), \end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

where the last bound is derived from (1.1). For the estimations of $\Sigma_1^{(2)}$ and $\Sigma_1^{(3)}$, we write

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma_1^{(3)} &= \sum_{n \leq \sqrt{x}} \mu(n) \sum_{\substack{p \leq \sqrt{x} \\ S(p) \equiv a \pmod b}} 1 \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{b} Li(\sqrt{x}) + O\left(\sqrt{x} (\log x)^{-c}\right)\right) M(\sqrt{x}) \\ &= \frac{1}{b} Li(\sqrt{x}) M(\sqrt{x}) + O\left(x \frac{\exp\left(-A \log^{\frac{3}{5}} x\right)}{(\log x)^c}\right) \end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

for any $c > 0$, by using the prime number theorem and [11], Théorème 3] in the case $(b, q-1) = 1$. Here

$$\begin{aligned} Li(x) &= \int_2^x \frac{dt}{\log t}. \text{ Also} \\ \Sigma_1^{(2)} &= \sum_{n \leq \sqrt{x}} \mu(n) \sum_{\substack{p \leq \frac{x}{n} \\ S(p) \equiv a \pmod b}} 1 \\ &= \sum_{n \leq \sqrt{x}} \mu(n) \left\{ \frac{1}{b} Li\left(\frac{x}{n}\right) + O\left(\frac{x}{n} \log\left(\frac{x}{n}\right)^{-c}\right) \right\} \\ &= \frac{1}{b} \sum_{n \leq \sqrt{x}} \mu(n) Li\left(\frac{x}{n}\right) + O\left(x \frac{\exp\left(-A \log^{\frac{3}{5}} x\right)}{(\log x)^c}\right). \end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

However, by partial summation, we derive that

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{b} \sum_{n \leq \sqrt{x}} \mu(n) Li\left(\frac{x}{n}\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{b} Li(\sqrt{x}) M(\sqrt{x}) + \frac{1}{b} \int_1^{\sqrt{x}} \frac{M(t)}{\log(x/t)} \frac{x}{t^2} dt \\ &= \frac{1}{b} Li(\sqrt{x}) M(\sqrt{x}) + \frac{x}{b \log x} \int_1^{\sqrt{x}} \frac{M(t)}{t^2} \left[1 - \frac{\log t}{\log x}\right]^{-1} dt. \end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_1^{\sqrt{x}} \frac{M(t)}{t^2} \left[1 - \frac{\log t}{\log x}\right]^{-1} dt \\ &= \int_1^{\sqrt{x}} \frac{M(t)}{t^2} \left\{ 1 + \frac{\log t}{\log x} + \dots + \left[\frac{\log t}{\log x}\right]^{m-1} + O\left(\left(\frac{\log t}{\log x}\right)^m\right) \right\} dt \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \frac{1}{(\log x)^i} \int_1^{+\infty} \frac{M(t) (\log t)^i}{t^2} dt + O\left(\frac{1}{(\log x)^m}\right). \end{aligned} \tag{3.9}$$

From (3.7), (3.8) and (3.9), we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma_1^{(2)} &= \frac{1}{b} Li(\sqrt{x}) M(\sqrt{x}) \\ &+ \frac{x}{b} \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{1}{(\log x)^i} \int_1^{+\infty} \frac{M(t) (\log t)^{i-1}}{t^2} dt + O\left(\frac{x}{(\log x)^{m+1}}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Assembling (3.5), (3.6) and the last equality, one can show that

$$\Sigma_1 = \frac{x}{b} \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{E_{i-1}}{(\log x)^i} + O\left(\frac{x}{(\log x)^{m+1}}\right) \tag{3.10}$$

with

$$E_i = \int_1^{+\infty} \frac{M(t) (\log t)^i}{t^2} dt.$$

For $\alpha \geq 2$, by using (3.4), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma_2 &= \sum_{\substack{p^\alpha n \leq x \\ S(p) \equiv a \pmod b}} \mu(n) = \sum_{\substack{p \leq x^\alpha \\ S(p) \equiv a \pmod b}} \sum_{\substack{n \leq \frac{x}{p^\alpha} \\ p^\alpha \mid n}} \mu(n) \\ &\ll \sum_{\substack{p \leq x^\alpha \\ S(p) \equiv a \pmod b}} \frac{x}{p^\alpha} \exp\left(-A \log^{\frac{3}{5}}\left(\frac{x}{p^\alpha}\right) \log \log^{-\frac{1}{5}}\left(\frac{x}{p^\alpha}\right)\right) \\ &\ll x \exp\left(-A \log^{\frac{3}{5}} x\right) \sum_{\substack{p \leq x^\alpha \\ S(p) \equiv a \pmod b}} \frac{1}{p^\alpha} \\ &= O\left(x \exp\left(-A \log^{\frac{3}{5}} x\right)\right). \end{aligned} \tag{3.11}$$

Finally, formulas (3.2), (3.10) and (3.11) give the desired estimation.

In order to provide a corresponding result for φ and σ , we need the lemma below, which can be proved by the same method as in the proof provided in [13].

Lemma 3.2. Let $i \geq 1$ and $a_i = \frac{(i-1)!}{2^i}$. Then, for any integer $N \geq 1$ we have

$$\sum_{\substack{p \leq x \\ S(p) \equiv a \pmod b}} p = \frac{x^2}{b} \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{a_i}{\log^i x} + O_b \left(\frac{x^2}{\log^{N+1} x} \right).$$

Theorem 3.3. Let q, b be integers ≥ 2 verifying $(b, q-1) = 1$. Then for any integer $m \geq 1$, there exist constants $K_1, K_2, F_i, G_i, 0 \leq i \leq m-1$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n \leq x} \varphi(n) \tilde{\omega}(n) &= \frac{3}{b\pi^2} x^2 \log \log x \\ &+ \frac{x^2}{b} \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \frac{F_i}{(\log x)^i} + K_1 x^2 + O \left(\frac{x^2}{(\log x)^m} \right). \\ \sum_{n \leq x} \sigma(n) \tilde{\omega}(n) &= \frac{\pi^2}{12b} x^2 \log \log x \\ &+ \frac{x^2}{b} \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \frac{G_i}{(\log x)^i} + K_2 x^2 + O \left(\frac{x^2}{(\log x)^m} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. For the Euler function, if we proceed as in (3.1), we obtain

$$\sum_{n \leq x} \varphi(n) \tilde{\omega}(n) = \sum_{\substack{p^\alpha n \leq x \\ S(p) \equiv a \pmod b}} (p-1) \varphi(n). \quad (3.12)$$

Since σ is a multiplicative function verifying $\sigma(p^2) + p = \sigma^2(p)$, one can show that

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{n \leq x} \sigma(n) \tilde{\omega}(n) \\ &= \sum_{\substack{pn \leq x \\ S(p) \equiv a \pmod b}} (p+1) \sigma(n) \\ &\quad - \sum_{\substack{p^2 n \leq x \\ S(p) \equiv a \pmod b}} p(p+1) \sigma(n). \end{aligned} \quad (3.13)$$

By using the same approach as in Theorem 3.1, Lemma 3.2 and the following well known formulas

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n \leq x} \varphi(n) &= \frac{3}{\pi^2} x^2 + O(x \log x), \\ \sum_{n \leq x} \sigma(n) &= \frac{\pi^2}{12} x^2 + O(x \log x) \end{aligned}$$

combined with (3.12) and (3.13), we get the result.

4. Other Results

4.1. The Distribution of $\tilde{\Omega}(n) - \tilde{\omega}(n)$

Rényi proved that for any positive integer k , the set of numbers n such that $\Omega(n) - \omega(n) = k$ has density d_k ,

where d_k are the power series coefficients of the meromorphic function

$$F(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} d_k z^k = \prod_p \left(1 - \frac{1}{p} \right) \left(1 + \frac{1}{p-z} \right).$$

Let $N_k(x)$ denote the number of $n \leq x$ for which $\Omega(n) - \omega(n) = k$. In [14], Theorem 2.16], Montgomery and Vaughan showed a quantitative form of Rényi's theorem which states that for any nonnegative integer k and any $x \geq 2$, one has

$$N_k(x) = d_k x + O \left(\left(\frac{3}{4} \right)^k \sqrt{x} (\log x)^{4/3} \right), \quad (4.1)$$

where

$$d_k = \frac{6}{\pi^2} \sum_{\substack{m \in \mathcal{M} \\ \Omega(m) - \omega(m) = k}} \frac{1}{m} \prod_{p|m} \left(1 + \frac{1}{p} \right)^{-1}$$

and \mathcal{M} is the set of powerful numbers i.e., those m such that $p|m \Rightarrow p^2|m$. In this section, we will study the distribution of the function $\tilde{\Omega}(n) - \tilde{\omega}(n)$. An analogous formula to (4.1) holds for the number of $n \leq x$ for which $\tilde{\Omega}(n) - \tilde{\omega}(n) = k$. Denote $\tilde{N}_k(x)$ this number. By following the same steps as in the proof of [14], Theorem 2.16], we get the following result.

Proposition 4.1. For any nonnegative integer k and any $x \geq 2$,

$$\tilde{N}_k(x) = \tilde{d}_k x + O \left(\left(\frac{3}{4} \right)^k \sqrt{x} (\log x)^{4/3} \right),$$

where

$$\tilde{d}_k := \frac{6}{\pi^2} \sum_{\substack{m \in \mathcal{M} \\ \tilde{\Omega}(m) - \tilde{\omega}(m) = k}} \frac{1}{m} \prod_{p|m} \left(1 + \frac{1}{p} \right)^{-1}$$

and \mathcal{M} is the set defined above.

4.2. Uniform Distribution Modulo 1

We denote by \mathcal{M}_1 the set of multiplicative arithmetical functions f verifying $|f(n)| \leq 1 (n \in \mathbb{N})$.

H. Daboussi proved that for every irrational $(\alpha \in \mathbb{R})$, uniformly for f in \mathcal{M}_1 , we have

$$\frac{1}{x} \sum_{n \leq x} f(n) e(n\alpha) \rightarrow 0.$$

The proof is given in his paper [2] written jointly with H. Delange.

An immediate consequence of Daboussi's result is the following: If α is an irrational number and g is a real valued additive arithmetical function, then the sequence $(g(n) + \alpha n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is uniformly distributed modulo 1 and

this follows from Weyl's criterion (see [[10], Theorem 5.6]). Since $\tilde{\Omega}(n)$ and $\tilde{\omega}(n)$ are both additive real valued arithmetical functions then, for any irrational number α the following sequences $(\tilde{\omega}(n) + \alpha n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $(\tilde{\Omega}(n) + \alpha n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ are uniformly distributed modulo 1.

References

- [1] J. Coquet. *Sur les fonctions Q -multiplicatives et Q -additives*, Thèse 3^{ème} cycle, Orsay, (1975).
- [2] H. Daboussi, H. Delange. *On multiplicative arithmetical functions whose module does not exceed one*, J. London Math. Soc. 26, p.245-264, (1982).
- [3] M. Drmota, C. Mauduit, J. Rivat. *Primes with an average sum of digits*, Compositio Math. 145, p.271-292, (2009).
- [4] J. M. De Koninck. *Sums of quotients of additive functions*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc, (44), p. 35-38, (1974).
- [5] J. M. De Koninck and R. Sitaramachandrarao. *Sums involving the largest prime divisor of an integer II*, Indian J. pure appl. Math., (19), p. 990-1004, (1988).
- [6] J. M. De Koninck and A. Ivić, *Topics in Arithmetical functions* Notas de Matematica 72, North Holland, Amsterdam, (1980).
- [7] A. O. Gelfond. *Sur les nombres qui ont des propriétés additives et multiplicatives données*, Acta Arith. (13), p. 259-265, (1968).
- [8] G.H. Hardy and E. M. Wright. *An introduction to the theory of numbers*, Oxford University Press, (1979).
- [9] I. Kátai. *Distribution of q -additive functions*, in "Probability Theory and Applications" Kluwer Academic, Dordrecht, p. 309-318, (1992).
- [10] L. Kuipers, H. Niederreiter. *Uniform distribution of sequences* John Willey, New York, (1974).
- [11] C. Mauduit, J.Rivat. *Sur un problème de Gelfond: la somme des chiffres des nombres premiers*, Ann. of Math. 71, p.159-1646, (2010).
- [12] M. Mkaouar, W. Wannes. *On the number of restricted prime factors of an integer*, Acta Mathematica Hungarica, (143), p. 88-95, (2014).
- [13] M. Mkaouar, W. Wannes. *On the normal number of prime factors of $\varphi(n)$ subject to certain congruence conditions*, J. of Number Theory (160), p. 629-645, (2016).
- [14] H.L. Montgomery, R.C. Vaughan. *Multiplicative Number Theory I. Classical Theory* Cambridge Studies in advanced Mathematics 97.
- [15] A. Walfisz. *Weylsche Exponentialsummen in der neuen Zahlentheorie*, Berlin, (1963).