

Schur-Convexity for a Class of Symmetric Functions

Shu-Hong wang*, Shu-Ping Bai

College of Mathematics, Inner Mongolia University for Nationalities, Tongliao City, Inner Mongolia Autonomous Region, China
 *Corresponding author: shuhong7682@163.com

Received June 11, 2015; Revised February 03, 2016; Accepted February 11, 2016

Abstract In this paper, we discuss Schur convexity for a class of symmetric functions.

Keywords: Symmetric function, Schur-convex function, Schur-concave function, convex function, continuous function, majorized

Cite This Article: Shu-Hong wang, and Shu-Ping Bai, "Schur-Convexity for a Class of Symmetric Functions." *Turkish Journal of Analysis and Number Theory*, vol. 4, no. 1 (2016): 16-19. doi: 10.12691/tjant-4-1-3.

1. Introduction

Throughout this paper, let I be a non-empty open interval in \mathbb{R} . A class of functions $S: I^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are defined as

$$S(x, y) = \begin{cases} \lambda[f(x) + f(y)] + (1-2\lambda)f\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) \\ - \frac{1}{y-x} \int_x^y f(t)dt, & x, y \in I, x \neq y, \\ 0, & x = y \in I, \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

where $(x, y) \in I^2, \lambda \geq 0$.

If $\lambda = 0$ or $\lambda = 1/2$, in [1] Chu et al. proved

Theorem A ([1, Theorem 1.1]). Suppose that I is an open interval and $f: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous function. The function

$$F(x, y) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{y-x} \int_x^y f(t)dt - f\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right), & x, y \in I, x \neq y, \\ 0, & x = y \in I \end{cases} \quad (1.2)$$

is Schur-convex (concave) on I^2 if and only if f is convex (concave) on I .

Theorem B ([1, Theorem 1.2.]). Suppose that I is an open interval and $f: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous function

$$G(x, y) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2}[f(x) + f(y)] \\ - \frac{1}{y-x} \int_x^y f(t)dt, & x, y \in I, x \neq y \\ 0, & x = y \in I \end{cases} \quad (1.3)$$

is Schur-convex (concave) on I^2 if and only if f is convex (concave) on I .

If $\lambda = 1/6$, in [2] I. Franjić and J. Pečarić proved

Theorem C ([2, Theorem 4.]). If $f \in C^4(I)$, then the following statements are equivalent:

(a) The function

$$N(x, y) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{6}[f(x) + f(y)] + \frac{2}{3}f\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) \\ - \frac{1}{y-x} \int_x^y f(t)dt, & x, y \in I, x \neq y \\ 0, & x = y \in I \end{cases} \quad (1.4)$$

is Schur-convex on I^2 .

(b) For all $x, y \in I, x < y$, we have

$$\frac{1}{y-x} \int_x^y f(t)dt \leq \frac{1}{6}[f(x) + f(y)] + \frac{2}{3}f\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right).$$

(c) The function f is 4-convex on I .

Remark ([2, Remark 3.]). In [2], I. Franjić and J. Pečarić have proven that f being a convex function is not a sufficient condition for $N(x, y)$ to be Schur-convex.

Schur-convexity has aroused the interest of many researchers, and numerous papers have been devoted to it. For example, in [3,4,6,7], some related results were given.

The purpose of this paper is to prove the following results:

Theorem 1.1. Let $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ be an open interval, and $f: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a twice differentiable mapping such that f'' is integrable. If $\lambda \geq 1/4$ and f is convex (concave) on I , then function $S(x, y)$ is Schur-convex (concave) on I^2 .

Theorem 1.2. Let $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ be an open interval, and $f: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a twice differentiable mapping such that f'' is integrable. If $\lambda \geq 1/2$, and the function $S(x, y)$ is Schur-convex (concave) on I^2 , then f is convex (concave) on I .

2. Definitions and Lemmas

In order to prove our result, we shall need several Definitions and Lemmas, which we present in this section.

Definition 2.1([5]). Let $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$, $x = (y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n)$, x is said to be majorized by y (in symbols $x \prec y$) if

$$\sum_{i=1}^k x_{[i]} \leq \sum_{i=1}^k y_{[i]}, k = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$$

and

$$\sum_{i=1}^n x_{[i]} = \sum_{i=1}^n y_{[i]},$$

where $x_{[1]} \geq x_{[2]} \geq \dots \geq x_{[n]}$ and $y_{[1]} \geq y_{[2]} \geq \dots \geq y_{[n]}$ are rearrangements of x and y in a descending order.

Definition 2.2([5]). Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$. The function $\varphi: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is said to be a Schur-convex function on Ω if $x \prec y$ on Ω implies $\varphi(x) \leq \varphi(y)$. $\varphi(x)$ is said to be a Schur-concave function on Ω if and only if $-\varphi(x)$ is Schur-convex.

Lemma 2.1 (Schur-Ostrowski Theorem) ([5]). Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a symmetric convex set with nonempty interior, and $\varphi: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous symmetric function on Ω . If φ is differentiable in Ω° . Then φ is Schur-convex (concave) on Ω if and only if

$$H(x, y) = (y - x) \left(\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial y} - \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x} \right) \geq (\leq) 0$$

for all $x \in \Omega^\circ$.

Lemma 2.2. Let $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ be an open interval, $a, b \in I$ with $a < b$. If $\lambda \geq 0$ and $f: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a twice differentiable mapping such that f'' is integrable, then the following identity holds

$$\begin{aligned} & \lambda[f(a) + f(b)] + (1 - 2\lambda)f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right) \\ & - \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b f(x) dx \\ & = \frac{(b-a)^2}{2} \int_0^1 K(t) f''(ta + (1-t)b) dt, \end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

where

$$K(t) = \begin{cases} t(2\lambda - t), & 0 \leq t \leq 1/2, \\ (t-1)(1-t-2\lambda), & 1/2 \leq t \leq 1. \end{cases}$$

Proof. It suffices to note that

$$\begin{aligned} I &= \int_0^1 K(t) f''(ta + (1-t)b) dt \\ &= \int_0^{1/2} t(2\lambda - t) f''(ta + (1-t)b) dt \\ &+ \int_{1/2}^1 (t-1)(1-t-2\lambda) f''(ta + (1-t)b) dt. \end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

From integrating by part the right-hand sides of (2.2), we can state:

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^{1/2} t(2\lambda - t) f''(ta + (1-t)b) dt \\ &= \frac{1}{a-b} \left[t(2\lambda - t) f'(ta + (1-t)b) \Big|_0^{1/2} \right. \\ & \quad \left. - \int_0^{1/2} (2\lambda - 2t) f'(ta + (1-t)b) dt \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{2(a-b)} \left(2\lambda - \frac{1}{2} \right) f' \left(\frac{a+b}{2} \right) \\ & \quad - \frac{1}{(a-b)^2} \left[(2\lambda - 2t) f(ta + (1-t)b) \Big|_0^{1/2} \right. \\ & \quad \left. + 2 \int_0^{1/2} f(ta + (1-t)b) dt \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{2(a-b)} \left(2\lambda - \frac{1}{2} \right) f' \left(\frac{a+b}{2} \right) \\ & \quad - \frac{1}{(a-b)^2} \left[(2\lambda - 1) f \left(\frac{a+b}{2} \right) - 2\lambda f(b) \right] \\ & \quad - \frac{2}{(a-b)^2} \int_0^{1/2} f(ta + (1-t)b) dt, \end{aligned} \tag{2.3}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{1/2}^1 (t-1)(1-t-2\lambda) f''(ta + (1-t)b) dt \\ &= \frac{1}{a-b} \left[(t-1)(1-t-2\lambda) f'(ta + (1-t)b) \Big|_{1/2}^1 \right. \\ & \quad \left. - \int_{1/2}^1 (2-2\lambda-2t) f'(ta + (1-t)b) dt \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{2(a-b)} \left(\frac{1}{2} - 2\lambda \right) f' \left(\frac{a+b}{2} \right) \\ & \quad - \frac{1}{(a-b)^2} \left[(2-2\lambda-2t) f(ta + (1-t)b) \Big|_{1/2}^1 \right. \\ & \quad \left. + 2 \int_{1/2}^1 f(ta + (1-t)b) dt \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{2(a-b)} \left(\frac{1}{2} - 2\lambda \right) f' \left(\frac{a+b}{2} \right) \\ & \quad - \frac{1}{(a-b)^2} \left[-2\lambda f(a) - (1-2\lambda) f \left(\frac{a+b}{2} \right) \right] \\ & \quad - \frac{2}{(a-b)^2} \int_{1/2}^1 f(ta + (1-t)b) dt. \end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

Using (2.3) and (2.4) in (2.2), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} I &= \frac{2}{(a-b)^2} \left\{ \lambda[f(a) + f(b)] \right. \\ & \quad \left. + (1-2\lambda) f \left(\frac{a+b}{2} \right) - \int_0^1 f(at + (1-t)b) dt \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, using the change of the variable $x = ta + (1-t)b$ for $t \in [0, 1]$ and by multiplying both sides by $(a-b)^2/2$, we have the conclusion (2.1).

This completes the proof.

Lemma 2.3. Let $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ be an open interval, and $f: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a twice differentiable mapping such that f' is integrable. If $\lambda \geq \frac{1}{2}$, and $x, y \in I$ with $x < y$. Then it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} & \lambda[f(x) + f(y)] + (1 - 2\lambda)f\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) \\ & - \frac{1}{y-x} \int_x^y f(t) dt \\ & = \frac{y-x}{2} \left(\frac{1}{4} - \lambda \right) (f'(\xi_1) - f'(\xi_2)) \end{aligned}$$

where $\xi_1 \in \left(x, \frac{x+y}{2}\right)$, $\xi_2 \in \left(\frac{x+y}{2}, y\right)$, $\xi \in (\xi_1, \xi_2)$.

Proof. From $x < y$ and $\lambda \geq 1/2$, then

$$1 - \lambda - \frac{y-t}{y-x} \leq 0, t \in \left(x, \frac{x+y}{2}\right), \quad (2.5)$$

and

$$\lambda - \frac{y-t}{y-x} \geq 0, t \in \left(\frac{x+y}{2}, y\right). \quad (2.6)$$

So, by Integral mean value theorem, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \lambda[f(x) + f(y)] + (1 - 2\lambda)f\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) \\ & - \frac{1}{y-x} \int_x^y f(t) dt \\ & = \int_x^{\frac{x+y}{2}} \left(1 - \lambda - \frac{y-t}{y-x}\right) f'(t) dt \\ & + \int_{\frac{x+y}{2}}^y \left(\lambda - \frac{y-t}{y-x}\right) f'(t) dt \\ & = f'(\xi_1) \int_x^{\frac{x+y}{2}} \left(1 - \lambda - \frac{y-t}{y-x}\right) dt \\ & + f'(\xi_2) \int_{\frac{x+y}{2}}^y \left(\lambda - \frac{y-t}{y-x}\right) dt \\ & = f'(\xi_1) \frac{y-x}{2} \left(1 - \lambda - \frac{3}{4}\right) \\ & + f'(\xi_2) \frac{y-x}{2} \left(\lambda - \frac{1}{4}\right) \\ & = \frac{y-x}{2} \left(\frac{1}{4} - \lambda\right) (f'(\xi_1) - f'(\xi_2)) \\ & = \frac{y-x}{2} \left(\lambda - \frac{1}{4}\right) f''(\xi) (\xi_2 - \xi_1), \end{aligned}$$

where $\xi_1 \in \left(x, \frac{x+y}{2}\right)$, $\xi_2 \in \left(\frac{x+y}{2}, y\right)$, $\xi \in (\xi_1, \xi_2)$.

This completes the proof.

Lemma 2.4. Suppose that $S(x, y)$ be defined as in (1.1).

If f has continuous second order derivatives on I , then

$$\frac{\partial S}{\partial x} \Big|_{(t_0, t_0)} = \frac{\partial S}{\partial y} \Big|_{(t_0, t_0)} = 0$$

for all $t_0 \in I$.

Proof. For any $t_0 \in I$, from (1) together with the L'Hospital's rule we clearly see that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\partial S}{\partial x} \Big|_{(t_0, t_0)} = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{S(t_0 + t, t_0) - S(t_0, t_0)}{t} \\ & = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{t} \left\{ \lambda [f(t_0 + t) + f(t_0)] \right. \\ & \quad \left. + (1 - 2\lambda) f\left(t_0 + \frac{t}{2}\right) - \frac{1}{t} \int_{t_0}^{t_0+t} f(t) dt \right\} \\ & = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{t^2} \left\{ \lambda t [f(t_0 + t) + f(t_0)] \right. \\ & \quad \left. + (1 - 2\lambda) t f\left(t_0 + \frac{t}{2}\right) - \int_{t_0}^{t_0+t} f(t) dt \right\} \\ & = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{2} \left\{ 2\lambda f'(t_0 + t) + (1 - 2\lambda) f'\left(t_0 + \frac{t}{2}\right) \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \lambda t f''(t_0 + t) + \frac{(1 - 2\lambda)t}{2} f''\left(t_0 + \frac{t}{2}\right) \right. \\ & \quad \left. - f'(t_0 + t) \right\} \\ & = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Making use of similar arguments for $\frac{\partial S}{\partial y}$, and we get

$$\frac{\partial S}{\partial y} \Big|_{(t_0, t_0)} = 0.$$

This completes the proof.

3. Proof of Theorems

Proof of Theorem 1.1. The proof is divided into three cases.

Case 1. If $x = y \in I$, then Lemma 2.4 leads to

$$H(x, y) = 0.$$

Case 2. If $x < y \in I$, then (1.1) leads to

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial S}{\partial y} & = \lambda f'(y) + \frac{1 - 2\lambda}{2} f'\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) \\ & + \frac{1}{(y-x)^2} \int_x^y f(t) dt - \frac{1}{y-x} f(y), \end{aligned} \quad (3.1)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial S}{\partial x} & = \lambda f'(x) + \frac{1 - 2\lambda}{2} f'\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) \\ & - \frac{1}{(y-x)^2} \int_x^y f(t) dt + \frac{1}{y-x} f(x). \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

By Lemma 2.1 and Lemma 2.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & H(x, y) \\ & = \lambda(y-x)[f'(y) - f'(x)] \\ & + \frac{2}{y-x} \int_x^y f(t) dt - [f(y) + f(x)] \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \lambda(y-x)^2 \int_0^1 f''(tx+(1-t)y)dt \\
 &\quad - (y-x)^2 \int_0^1 t(1-t)f''(tx+(1-t)y)dt \\
 &= (y-x)^2 \int_0^1 [\lambda-t(1-t)]f''(tx+(1-t)y)dt.
 \end{aligned}$$

If $\lambda \geq \frac{1}{4}$ and f is convex, then $H(x, y) \geq 0$.

Therefore, $S(x, y)$ is Schur convex on I^2 from Lemma 2.1.

Case 3. If $x > y \in I$, since $S(x, y)$ is a symmetric function, we also can conclude that $S(x, y)$ is Schur convex on I^2 from $\lambda \geq \frac{1}{4}$ and the convexity of f .

It follows from the similar arguments as above that $S(x, y)$ is Schur-concave on I^2 if $\lambda \geq \frac{1}{4}$ and f is concave on I , which completes the proof.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. If $S(x, y)$ is Schur-convex on I^2 , then from (1.1) and Definition 1 together with the fact that $\left(\frac{x+y}{2}, \frac{x+y}{2}\right) \prec (x, y)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\lambda[f(x)+f(y)]+(1-2\lambda)f\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) \\
 &\quad - \frac{1}{y-x} \int_x^y f(t)dt \geq 0.
 \end{aligned}$$

If $x, y \in I, x < y$, by Lemma 2.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\lambda[f(x)+f(y)]+(1-2\lambda)f\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) \\
 &\quad - \frac{1}{y-x} \int_x^y f(t)dt \\
 &= \frac{y-x}{2} \left(\lambda - \frac{1}{4}\right) (\xi_2 - \xi_1) f''(\xi) \geq 0.
 \end{aligned}$$

where $\xi_1 \in \left(x, \frac{x+y}{2}\right), \xi_2 \in \left(\frac{x+y}{2}, y\right), \xi \in (\xi_1, \xi_2)$.

Namely $f''(\xi) \geq 0$, and so, since x and y are arbitrary, we conclude that f is convex.

Since $S(x, y)$ is a symmetric function, if $x > y$ we also can conclude that f is convex.

It follows from the similar arguments as above that f is concave on I if $S(x, y)$ is Schur- concave on I^2 , which completes the proof.

4. Conclusion

In this paper, we discuss Schur convexity for a class of symmetric functions and obtain the following results:

Theorem 1.1. Let $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ be an open interval, and $f : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a twice differentiable mapping such that f'' is integrable. If $\lambda \geq 1/4$ and f is convex (concave) on I , then function $S(x, y)$ is Schur-convex (concave) on I^2 .

Theorem 1.2. Let $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ be an open interval, and $f : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a twice differentiable mapping such that f'' is integrable. If $\lambda \geq 1/2$, and the function $S(x, y)$ is Schur-convex (concave) on I^2 , then f is convex (concave) on I .

Acknowledgement

This work was partially supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China under Grant No. 11361038 and by the Inner Mongolia Autonomous Region Natural Science Foundation Project under Grant No. 2015MS0123, China.

Competing Interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

References

- [1] Y. Chu, G.Wang, X. Zhang, *Schur convexity and Hadamard's inequality*, Math. Inequal. Appl., 13 (4) (2010), 725-731.
- [2] I. Franjić, J. Pečarić, *Schur-convexity and the Simpson formula*, Appl. Math. Lett., (2011).
- [3] N. Elezović, J. Pečarić, *A note on Schur-convex functions*, Rocky Mountain J. Math., 30 (3) (2000), 853-856.
- [4] X. Zhang, Y. Chu, *Convexity of the integral arithmetic mean of a convex function*, Rocky Mountain J. Math., 40 (3) (2010), 1061-1068.
- [5] A. M. Marshall, I. Olkin, B C. Arnold, *Inequalities: Theory of Majorization and its Application (Second Edition)*. Springer New York, (2011). 101.
- [6] H. N. Shi, J. Zang, *Schur convexity, Schur geometric and Schur harmonic convexity of dual form of a class symmetric functions*. Journal Mathematical & Inequalities, 8(2) (2014), 349-358.
- [7] H. N. Shi, J. Zang, *Schur-convexity of dual form of some symmetric functions*, Journal of Inequalities and Applications, 295 (2013), 9 pages.