

An Application of Amplified Ribosomal DNA Restriction Analysis in the Changes of Microbial Community Structure of Industrial Waste Water Treatment

M. Shah*

Industrial Waste Water Research Laboratory, Division of Applied & Environmental Microbiology, Enviro Technology Limited, Gujarat, India

*Corresponding author: shahmp@uniphos.com

Received July 10, 2014; Revised July 17, 2014; Accepted July 20, 2014

Abstract In the present research we evaluated the suitability of ARDRA method to detect differences in activated sludge bacterial communities fed on domestic or industrial wastewater, and subject to different operational conditions. The ability of this method to detect these differences has been tested in modified Ludzack-Ettinger configurations. Samples from three activated sludge wastewater treatment plants with the MLE configuration were collected for both oxic and anoxic reactors, and ARDRA patterns using double enzyme digestions *AluI*+*MspI* were obtained. A matrix of Dice similarity coefficients was calculated and used to compare these restriction patterns. Differences in the community structure due to influent characteristics and temperature could be observed, but not between the oxic and anoxic reactors of each of the three MLE configurations. Other possible applications of ARDRA for detecting and monitoring changes in activated sludge systems are discussed.

Keywords: *Industrial Waste Water Treatment, ARDRA, MLE, bacterial community*

Cite This Article: M. Shah, "An Application of Amplified Ribosomal DNA Restriction Analysis in the Changes of Microbial Community Structure of Industrial Waste Water Treatment." *International Journal of Environmental Bioremediation & Biodegradation*, vol. 2, no. 4 (2014): 192-196. doi: 10.12691/ijebb-2-4-6.

1. Introduction

Nowadays, biological wastewater treatment plants (WWTPs) are the most common biotechnological application in the world [1]. More than 15,000 WWTPs operate in the United States alone, 75% of which include a secondary biological treatment, processing billions of liters of sewage per day [2]. From the various alternatives of biological treatment systems that exist, conventional activated sludge (CAS) bioreactors are by far the most commonly used secondary treatment technology [3]. Despite of periodic improvements to the technology since its invention almost a century ago [3] and its ubiquitous global application, little is known about the underlying factors controlling the complex dynamics of the microbial populations interacting in the bioreactors and how those dynamic interactions affect the system's functional stability [4]. Until recently, a major obstacle was that the science behind most of those technology improvements was almost entirely empirical rather than theoretical [3,5]. Major changes to the design of CAS systems were done predominantly from an engineering perspective, greatly underestimating the importance of microbial communities as an integral component of these biological treatment systems [3,5]. Thus, many essential aspects regarding the ecology and dynamics of microbial communities within these systems, necessary for a rational improvement of

their design and operation, remain unresolved [6]. Recent efforts have focused on improving the treatment process from a bio-ecological perspective, but so far few studies have been able to establish a clear link between the structure and function of microbial communities and the design and operation of the bioreactors [7]. Most of these efforts have failed due to limiting methodology issues. One of these issues is the modeling of full scale WWTP bioreactors based on studies of lab-scale and pilot scale bioreactors [8,9]. These studies have often been misleading and far from mimicking the real conditions observed in full-scale bioreactors, creating a big gap between their theoretical and their practical contributions [10,11]. Another issue is that many studies had focused on analyzing single bioreactors [12,13], neglecting from their analysis the effect that niche-specific factors may play in the structure and function of microbial communities [5,14,15]. The most notorious, and therefore highly scrutinized, of these issues is culture- and traditional-microscopy-based studies. These studies, aimed to elucidate the diversity of microbes in WWTPs [16,17,18,19], proved to be unreliable, irreproducible and created erroneous perceptions of the dominant populations in the bioreactors [20,21,22,23]. They also failed to consider operational and geographical factors on the composition of the communities [24,25,26,27]. With the development and application of modern culture independent molecular techniques in ecological studies of wastewater treatment systems [21,28,29,30], the capacity

of researchers to understand the true dynamics of microbial communities in these ecosystems has greatly been improved [11]. However, de los Reyes [31] explains that advanced molecular studies of microbial communities in WWTPs have led to the emergence of a microbial community “structure-function” paradigm that has not yet been fully clarified. Linking changes in system design and operation with the ecological factors controlling community assembly in the bioreactors will be critical in fully clarifying this “structure function” paradigm and resolving important operational issues, such as: sludge bulking (e.g. [32]), poor biochemical removal (e.g. [33]), and system instability (e.g. [34,35]); ultimately resulting in more stable and predictable systems [5]. Moreover, the study of CAS systems could provide, in turn, a research platform for developing and validating ecological principles that could be used to predict the behaviour of microbial communities in other engineered and natural ecosystems [36,37,38]. Traditional thinking, based on viable counts of bacteria, suggests that the bulk of bacterial biomass in activated sludge is near death. However, by probing with fluorescent-labelled oligo nucleotides, we can see that up to 90% of the biomass present in activated sludge can be metabolically active [39]. Thus, the recent development of molecular biology techniques, which do not rely on cultivation methods, allows microbial ecologists to reveal inhabitants of natural microbial communities which have not yet been cultured [40,41,42]. As a result, these techniques are now widely applied to characterize microbial community structures in different environments such as biological wastewater systems [42,43,44,45]. Two of these techniques, cloning and sequencing, allow us to determine which microorganisms are present in the community, but they are time-consuming. Hybridization and probing are faster, but require a sufficient knowledge of the community to choose the appropriate target sequences [46]. In this study, another molecular biology technique, the amplified ribosomal DNA restriction analysis (ARDRA), is applied to activated sludge samples. Even faster than hybridization and probing, ARDRA has been used in the analysis of mixed bacterial populations from different environments [47,48,49]. Although ARDRA gives little or no information about the type of microorganisms present in the sample, it can be used for a quick assessment of genotypic changes in the community over time, or to compare communities subject to different environmental conditions.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Activated Sludge Sample Collection

Samples were collected from the oxic and the anoxic reactors of the waste water treatment plant arranged in a modified Ludzack-Ettinger (MLE) configuration.

2.2. Sample Analysis

Samples of the inlet and the outlet of each reactor were collected in order to determine influent characteristics and reactor efficiencies. The conservation of the samples, their processing and all analytical measurements were carried out according to APHA [50] sludge samples of 50 ml for

DNA extraction were collected in sterile Falcon tubes and frozen at -20°C until processing.

2.3. 16S rDNA Amplification

Extraction of DNA was carried out in Nalgene sterile tubes by the phenol-chloroform method described by Moore [51]. DNA was purified with Bio-Spin chromatography columns (Bio-Rad, USA) to eliminate proteins and nucleotides. PCR amplification of 16S rDNA, using the primer set of fD1 and rP1, was performed as described by Zhou [52]. PCR products were purified using the QIAquick PCR Purification Kit (Qiagen, USA).

2.4. Separation rDNA Restriction Fragments

Double restriction endonuclease digestions were performed for every sample with *AluI*+*MspI* (Promega, Madison, WI, USA) as described by Acinas [53]. Restriction enzymes were chosen on the basis of their high average number of restriction sites per taxon [49]. Separation of digested products in polyacrilamide gels were performed as described elsewhere [53,54].

2.5. Analysis

The patterns of each sample were compared by identifying, from different samples, fragments of identical size in the same digestion. Pair wise comparisons of the band patterns were manually performed, and a presence/absence matrix was constructed. In this way, the Dice similarity coefficient [55] was obtained for every pair of samples, enabling us to generate a similarity dendrogram. The data were computed by using the SPSS program version for Macintosh 4.0.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Characterisation of Influent and Its Removal Efficiency

Table 1 summarizes the principal influent characteristics and operational parameters for each of the three WWTPs. The nitrite and nitrate concentrations in the influents were always under 1 ppm N. The chemical oxygen demand (COD) removal efficiencies of all WWTPs were over 85%. The nitrification efficiencies were 83% and 94% for reactors 1 and 3, respectively, while reactor 2 showed complete nitrification. Reactors 1 and 2 had denitrification efficiencies of 16% and 64%, respectively. Reactor 3 also achieved complete denitrification.

3.2 Differences between Industrial and Domestic WWTP Communities

The restriction patterns obtained by electrophoresis are shown in Figure 1. The differences between restriction patterns in all the communities subject to domestic wastewater are smaller than those between domestic wastewater WWTPs and those treating the industrial wastewater, as reflected in the dendrogram and in the Dice similarity coefficient matrix shown in Figure 2. Two main factors can explain these results. First, industrial WWTP communities are subject to much higher COD, ammonia

and organic nitrogen inputs than the domestic WWTP communities, as shown in Table 1. Besides, the temperature was higher in the industrial wastewater reactors (38°C) than in all the domestic wastewater reactors, where it remained between 10 and 12°C (Table 1).




Figure 1. Polyacrylamide gel showing *AluI* + *MspI* digestion of the whole eubacterial communities from the six reactor studied

Table 1. Principal characteristics and operational parameters of the treatment plants

Operation Parameters	Reactor		
	1	2	3
sCOD (mg/L)	144	157	8539
pCOD (mg/L)	96	149	1138
N-NH ₄ ⁺ (mg/L)	11	18	655
sNorg (mg/L)	2	3	327
pNorg (mg/L)	<1	8	-
MLSS _{anoxic reactor} (mg/L)	1600	3048	7080
MLSS _{oxic reactor} (mg/L)	1600	4082	4080
%MLVSS _{anoxic reactor} (mg/L)	50	47	49
%MLVSS _{oxic reactor} (mg/L)	49	48	71
Ø _{anoxic reactor} (h)	20.3	5.6	63.4
Ø _{oxic reactor} (h)	15.7	24	100.8
Ø _c (day)	>30	22	>30
External recycle (%)	75	290	150
Internal recycle (%)	200	290	1000
T _{mixed liquor} (°C)	10	12	38

s: soluble, p: particulate, θ: sludge residence time COD, chemical oxygen demand MLSS, mixed liquor suspended solids VSS, volatile suspended solids.

3.3. Differences between the Oxic and Anoxic Reactors in MLE Configurations

No significant differences have been observed between the restriction patterns of oxic and anoxic reactors of all the systems studied, as shown in the dendrogram (Figure 2A). The absence of differences between the patterns does not ensure that the composition of the communities is exactly the same. However, significant composition changes in the community should be detected with the restriction enzymes used [49]. Previous works demonstrated that double restriction endonuclease digestions are sensitive enough to detect important composition changes in the community [47,48,49]. The absence of differences between the patterns of the oxic and the anoxic reactors leads to the conclusion that there were probably no significant changes between the microbial communities of the two reactors. Similar conclusions were drawn by Ehlers and Cloete [56] by using protein fingerprints to evaluate the differences between the microbial community structures among P-removing, non-P-removing and N-removing systems. Thus, the similarity of endonuclease restriction patterns among the samples agrees with the high similarity of protein fingerprints in bacterial communities of different activated sludge systems. Given the residence times and the internal recycle values of the systems studied, the generation times of the microorganisms are probably too long to observe significant differences in community composition among the anoxic and oxic reactors. Therefore, the aerobic and anaerobic populations apparently do not have enough time to change while inside the oxic or anoxic reactors, and therefore they merely coexist. Despite the absence of changes in community composition, there are probably differences in the microbial activity developed in the oxic and anoxic reactors. In each of these, only the part of the community able to grow under the conditions found in the reactor is active, whereas the rest is not able to develop activity until it reaches the other reactor. Facultative anaerobic bacteria could be active in both oxic and anoxic

reactors. Further work, using specific rRNA targeted probes, will be necessary to determine the metabolic activity of a given group of microorganisms in each of the reactors.




Figure 2. Difference in restriction patterns. (A) Dendrogram of eubacterial 16S r-DNA- ARDRA similarities obtained by digestion with *AluI* + *MspI* (B) Dice similarity coefficient matrix

3.4. Concluding Remarks

ARDRA is able to detect differences between activated sludge communities from industrial and domestic wastewater treatment plants, and these differences could be due to influent composition and temperature. However, differences in the community compositions of the anoxic and oxic reactors of each of the three MLE configurations studied have not been observed. ARDRA is a promising first approach in the evaluation of the changes in activated sludge communities of WWTPs caused by modifications in influent composition, temperature and other operational conditions. However, the effects that changes or perturbations have on a system can only be detected as long as they cause changes in community composition. Further studies will be required to evaluate the effect of other parameters on the activated sludge microbial communities, as well as to see how sensitive is ARDRA to them.

References

- [1] Seviour R, Nielsen PH (2010) Microbial ecology of activated sludge. London: IWA Publishing Company. 688 p.
- [2] Bitton G (2011) Wastewater microbiology. Hoboken, NJ: John Wiley and Sons. 746 p.
- [3] Graham DW, Smith VH (2004) Designed ecosystem services: Application of ecological principles in wastewater treatment engineering. *Front Ecol Environ* 4: 199-206.
- [4] Wang X, Wen X, Yan H, Ding K, Zhao F, et al. (2011) Bacterial community dynamics in a functionally stable pilot-scale wastewater treatment plant. *Bioresour Technol* 102: 2352-2357.
- [5] Curtis TP, Head IM, Graham DW (2003) Theoretical ecology in engineering biology. *Environ Sci Technol* 37: 64A-70A.
- [6] Wells GF, Park HD, Eggleston B, Francis CA, Criddle CS (2011) Fine-scale bacterial community dynamics and the taxa-time relationship within a full-scale activated sludge bioreactor. *Water Res* 45: 5476-5488.
- [7] Wagner M, Loy A, Nogueira R, Purkhold U, Lee N, et al. (2002) Microbial community composition and function in wastewater treatment plants. *Antonie van Leeuwenhoek* 81: 665-680.
- [8] Kaewpipat K, Grady CPL (2002) Microbial population dynamics in laboratory scale activated sludge reactors. *Water Sci Technol* 46: 19-27.
- [9] Padayachee P, Ismail A, Bux F (2006) Elucidation of the microbial community structure within a laboratory-scale activated sludge process using molecular techniques. *Water SA* 32: 679-686.
- [10] Jenkins D (2008) From total suspended solids to molecular biology tools a personal view of biological wastewater treatment process population dynamics. *Water Environ Res* 80: 677-687.
- [11] Saikaly PE, Stroot PG, Oerther DB (2005) Use of 16S rRNA gene terminal restriction fragment analysis to assess the impact of solids retention time on the bacterial diversity of activated sludge. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 71: 5814-5822.

- [12] Ofit, eru ID, Lunn M, Curtis TP, Wells GF, Criddle CS, et al. (2010) Combined niche and neutral effects in a microbial wastewater treatment community. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 107: 15345-15350.
- [13] Sanapareddy N, Hamp TJ, Gonzalez LC, Hilger HA, Fodor AA, et al. (2009) Molecular diversity of a North Carolina wastewater treatment plant as revealed by pyrosequencing. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 75: 1688-1696.
- [14] Fierer N, Lennon JT (2011) The generation and maintenance of diversity in microbial communities. *Am J Bot* 98: 439-448.
- [15] Nemergut DR, Costello EK, Hamady M, Lozupone C, Jiang L, et al. (2011) Global patterns in the biogeography of bacterial taxa. *Environ Microbiol* 13: 135-144.
- [16] Benedict RG, Carlson DA (1971) Aerobic heterotrophic bacteria in activated sludge. *Water Res* 5: 1023-1030.
- [17] Dias FF, Bhat JV (1964) Microbial ecology of activated sludge. *Appl Microbiol* 12: 412-417.
- [18] Lighthart B, Oglesby RT (1969) Bacteriology of an activated sludge wastewater treatment plant: A guide to methodology. *J Water Pollut Control Fed* 41: R267-R281.
- [19] van Veen W (1973) Bacteriology of activated sludge, in particular the filamentous bacteria. *Antonie van Leeuwenhoek* 39: 189-205.
- [20] Eschenhagen M, Schuppler M, Ro'ske I (2003) Molecular characterization of the microbial community structure in two activated sludge systems for the advanced treatment of domestic effluents. *Water Res* 37: 3224-3232.
- [21] Snaidr J, Amann R, Huber I, Ludwig W, Schleifer K (1997) Phylogenetic analysis and in-situ identification of bacteria in activated sludge. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 63: 2884-2896.
- [22] Wagner M, Amann R, Lemmer H, Schleifer K (1993) Probing activated sludge with oligonucleotides specific for proteobacteria: Inadequacy of culture-dependent methods for describing microbial community structure. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 59: 1520-1525.
- [23] Watanabe K, Yamamoto S, Hino S, Harayama S (1998) Population dynamics of phenol-degrading bacteria in activated sludge determined by gyrB-targeted quantitative PCR. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 64: 1203-1209.
- [24] Green JL, Bohannon BJM (2006) Spatial scaling of microbial biodiversity. *Trends Ecol Evol* 21: 501-507.
- [25] Martiny JBH, Bohannon BJM, Brown JH, Colwell RK, Fuhrman JA, et al. (2006) Microbial biogeography: putting microorganisms on the map. *Nat Rev Microbiol* 4: 102-112.
- [26] van der Gast CJ, Jefferson B, Reid E, Robinson T, Bailey MJ, et al. (2006) Bacterial diversity is determined by volume in membrane bioreactors. *Environ Microbiol* 8: 1048-1055.
- [27] Wang X, Wen X, Criddle C, Wells G, Zhang J, et al. (2010) Community analysis of ammonia-oxidizing bacteria in activated sludge of eight wastewater treatment systems. *J Environ Sci (China)* 22: 627-634.
- [28] Loy A, Daims H, Wagner M (2002) Activated sludge: molecular techniques for determining community composition. In: Bitton G, editor. *The Encyclopedia of environmental microbiology*. Hoboken, NJ: John Wiley and Sons. 26-43.
- [29] Onuki M, Satoh H, Mino T, Matsuo T (2000) Application of molecular methods to microbial community analysis of activated sludge. *Water Sci Technol* 42: 17-22.
- [30] Wilderer PA, Bungartz HJ, Lemmer H, Wagner M, Keller J, et al. (2002) Modern scientific methods and their potential in wastewater science and technology. *Water Res* 36: 370-393.
- [31] de los Reyes FL III (2010) Challenges in determining causation in structure function studies using molecular biological techniques. *Water Res* 44: 4948-4957.
- [32] Jones PA, Schuler AJ (2010) Seasonal variability of biomass density and activated sludge settleability in full-scale wastewater treatment systems. *Chem Eng J* 164:16-22.
- [33] Carvalho G, Lemos PC, Oehmen A, Reis MAM (2007) Denitrifying phosphorus removal: Linking the process performance with the microbial community structure. *Water Res* 41: 4383-4396.
- [34] Briones A, Raskin L (2003) Diversity and dynamics of microbial communities in engineered environments and their implications for process stability. *Curr Opin Biotechnol* 14: 270-276.
- [35] Gentile ME, Jessup CM, Nyman JL, Criddle CS (2007) Correlation of functional instability and community dynamics in denitrifying dispersed-growth reactors. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 73: 680-690.
- [36] Curtis TP, Sloan WT (2006) Towards the design of diversity: stochastic models for community assembly in wastewater treatment plants. *Water Sci Technol* 54: 227-236.
- [37] Daims H, Taylor MW, Wagner M (2006) Wastewater treatment: a model system for microbial ecology. *Trends Biotechnol* 24: 483-489.
- [38] Prosser JI, Bohannon BJ, Curtis TP, Ellis RJ, Firestone MK, et al. (2007) The role of ecological theory in microbial ecology. *Nat Rev Microbiol* 5: 384-392.
- [39] Wagner M, Amann R, Lemmer H, Schleifer KH (1993) Probing activated sludge with oligonucleotides specific for proteobacteria: inadequacy of culture-dependent methods for describing microbial community structure. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 59:1520-1525.
- [40] Hugenholtz P, Goebel BM, Pace NR (1998) Impact of culture-independent studies on the emerging phylogenetic view of bacterial diversity. *J Bacteriol* 180:4765-4774.
- [41] Pace NR (1996) New perspective on the natural microbial world: Molecular microbial ecology. *ASM News* 62:463-470.
- [42] Snaidr J, Amann R, Huber I, Ludwig W, Schleifer KH (1998) Phylogenetic analysis and in situ identification of bacteria in activated sludge. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 63:2884-2896.
- [43] Holben WE, Noto K, Sumino T, Suwa Y (1998) Molecular analysis of bacterial communities in a three-compartment granular activated sludge system indicates community-level control by incompatible nitrification processes. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 64: 2528-2532.
- [44] Nozawa M, Hu HY, Fujie K, Tanaka H, Urano K (1998) Quantitative detection of *Enterobacter cloacae* strain HO-1 in bioreactor for chromate wastewater treatment using polymerase chain reaction (PCR). *Water Res* 32:3472-3476.
- [45] Sellwood J, Litton PA, McDermott J, Clewley JP (1995) Studies on wild and vaccine strains of poliovirus isolated from water and sewage. *Water Sci Tech* 31: 317-321.
- [46] Amann RI (1995) Fluorescently labelled rRNA-targeted nucleotide probes in the study of microbial ecology. *Microb Ecol* 4:543-554.
- [47] Acinas SG, Rodríguez-Valera F, Pedrós-Alió C (1997) Spatial and temporal variation in marine bacterioplankton diversity as shown by RFLP fingerprinting of PCR amplified 16S rDNA. *FEMS Microbiol Ecol* 24: 27-40.
- [48] Martínez-Murcia AJ, Acinas SG, Rodríguez-Valera F (1995) Evaluation of prokaryotic diversity by restrictase digestion of 16S rDNA directly amplified from hypersaline environments. *FEMS Microbiol Ecol* 17: 247-256.
- [49] Moyer CL, Dobbs FC, Karl DM (1994) Estimation of diversity and community structure through restriction fragment length polymorphism distribution analysis of bacterial 16S rRNA genes from a microbial mat at an active, hydrothermal vent system, Loihi Seamount, Hawaii. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 60: 871-879.
- [50] APHA, AWWA and WEF (1992) Standard methods for the examination of water and wastewater. American Public Health Assoc., Washington, DC.
- [51] Moore DD (1996) Purification and concentration of DNA from aqueous solutions. In: Ausubel FM, Brent R, Kingston RE, Moore DD, Steidman JG, Smith JA, Struhl K (eds) *Current protocols in molecular biology*. John Wiley and Sons, New York.
- [52] Zhou JZ, Fries MR, Chee-Sanford J, Tiedje JM (1995) Phylogenetic analysis of a new group of denitrifiers capable of anaerobic growth on toluene: description of *Azoarcus toluolyticus* sp. nov. *Int J Syst Bacteriol* 45: 500-506.
- [53] Acinas SG, Rodríguez-Valera F, Pedrós-Alió C (1997) Spatial and temporal variation in marine bacterioplankton diversity as shown by RFLP fingerprinting of PCR amplified 16S rDNA. *FEMS Microbiol Ecol* 24: 27-40.
- [54] Martínez-Murcia AJ, Acinas SG, Rodríguez-Valera F (1995) Evaluation of prokaryotic diversity by restrictase digestion of 16S rDNA directly amplified from hypersaline environments. *FEMS Microbiol Ecol* 17: 247-256.
- [55] Sneath PHA, Sokal RR (1973) The estimation of taxonomic resemblance. In: Kennedy D, Park RB (eds) *Numerical taxonomy. The principles and practice of numerical classification*. Freeman, San Francisco, pp 129-132.
- [56] Ehlers MM, Cloete TE (1999) Comparing the protein profiles of 21 different activated sludge systems after SDS-PAGE. *Water Res* 33:1181-1186.