

Stability of Quadratic Functional Equation in Two Variables

Jianbing Cao*

Department of Mathematics, Henan Institute of Science and Technology, Xinxiang, China

*Corresponding author: caocjb@163.com

Abstract In this paper, we establish the general solution of a 2-variable quadratic functional equation $f(2x + y, 2z + w) = f(x + y, z + w) - f(x - y, z - w) + 4f(x, z) + f(y, w)$ and prove the generalized Hyers-Ulam stability of this functional equation.

Keywords: solution, stability, quadratic functional equation

Cite This Article: Jianbing Cao, "Stability of Quadratic Functional Equation in Two Variables." *Applied Mathematics and Physics*, vol. 5, no. 3 (2017): 95-98. doi: 10.12691/amp-5-3-3.

1. Introduction

One of the interesting questions in the theory of functional equations is the following (see [2]):

When is it true that a function which approximately satisfies a functional equation F must be close to an exact solution of F ?

If there exists an affirmative answer we say that the equation F is stable. The stability problems of functional equations were raised by S. M. Ulam during his talk before a Mathematical Colloquium at the University of Wisconsin in 1940 [15]:

Given a group G_1 , a metric group (G_2, d) and a positive number ε , does there exist a number $\delta > 0$ such that if a function $f: G_1 \rightarrow G_2$ satisfies the inequality $d(f(xy), f(x)f(y)) < \delta$ for all $x, y \in G_1$, then there exists a homomorphism $T: G_1 \rightarrow G_2$ such that $d(f(x), T(x)) < \varepsilon$ for all $x \in G_1$?

If the answer is affirmative, we would say that the equation of homomorphism $T(xy) = T(x)T(y)$ is stable. The concept of stability for a functional equation arises when we replace the functional equation by an inequality which acts as a perturbation of the equation. Thus the stability question of functional equations is that how do the solutions of the inequality differ from those of the given functional equation?

Hyers [12] gave a first affirmative partial answer to the question of Ulam for Banach spaces. Subsequently, his result was extended and generalized in several ways (see e.g. [13]). Th.M. Rassias [15] extended Hyers' theorem in the following form where Cauchy difference is allowed to be unbounded:

Let X and Y be real normed spaces with Y complete, $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a mapping such that for each fixed $x \in X$ the mapping $t \rightarrow f(tx)$ is continuous on \mathbb{R} , and Assume

that there exist constants $\varepsilon \geq 0$ and $p \in [0, 1)$ such that

$$\|f(x) - T(x)\| \leq \frac{\varepsilon \|x\|^p}{1 - 2^{p-1}}$$

for all $x \in X$. In 1994, a generalization of Rassias' theorem was obtained by Gavruta P. Gavruta [10] in the spirit of Th. M. Rassias' approach.

The stability problems of several functional equations have been extensively investigated by a number of authors and there are many interesting results concerning this problem. A large list of references can be found, the reader is referred to [5,13,14] and references therein for further information on stability.

Let X and Y be vector spaces. For a mapping $f: X \times X \rightarrow Y$, consider the 2-variable quadratic functional equation:

$$\begin{aligned} f(2x + y, 2z + w) &= f(x + y, z + w) \\ -f(x - y, z - w) &+ 4f(x, z) + f(y, w) \end{aligned} \quad (1.1)$$

When $X = Y = \mathbb{R}$, we see the quadratic form given by $f(x, y) = ax^2 + bxy + cy^2$ is a solution of (1.1). In fact, we can check that

$$\begin{aligned} &f(2x+y, 2z+w) \\ &= a(2x+y)^2 + b(2x+y)(2z+w) + c(2z+w)^2 \\ &= a(x+y)^2 + b(x+y)(z+w) + c(z+w)^2 \\ &\quad - \left[a(x-y)^2 + b(x-y)(z-w) + c(z-w)^2 \right] \\ &\quad + 4ax^2 + bxz + cz^2 + 4ay^2 + byw + cw^2 \\ &= f(x+y, z+w) - f(x-y, z-w) \\ &\quad + 4f(x, z) + f(y, w). \end{aligned}$$

For a mapping $g: X \rightarrow Y$, Now, we consider the quadratic functional equation:

$$g(2x+y) = g(x+y) - g(x-y) + 4g(x) + g(y) \tag{1.2}$$

In a one paper, by using the fixed point theorem method, C. Park [3] proved the generalized Hyers-Ulam stability of the quadratic functional equation (1.2).

In this paper, we investigate the relation between (1.1) and (1.2). And we find out the general solution and the generalized Hyers-Ulam stability of (1.1).

2. The Relation between (1.1) and (1.2)

Theorem 2.1. Let $f : X \times X \rightarrow Y$ be a mapping satisfying (1.1) and let $g : X \rightarrow Y$ be the mapping given by

$$g(x) := f(x, x) \tag{2.1}$$

for all $x \in X$, then g satisfies (1.2).

Proof. By (1.1) and (2.1), we can show that

$$\begin{aligned} g(2x+y) &= f(2x+y, 2x+y) \\ &= f(x+y, x+y) + f(x-y, x-y) \\ &\quad + 4f(x, x) - f(y, y) \\ &= g(x+y) - g(x-y) + 4g(x) + g(y) \end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y \in X$.

Theorem 2.2. Let $a, b, c \in \mathbb{R}$ and $g : X \rightarrow Y$ be a mapping satisfying (1.2). If $f : X \times X \rightarrow Y$ is the mapping given by

$$f(x, y) := ag(x) + \frac{b}{4}[g(x+y) - g(x-y)] + cg(x) \tag{2.2}$$

for all $x, y \in X$, then f satisfies (1.1).

Proof. By (1.2) and (2.2), we can show that

$$\begin{aligned} &f(2x+y, 2z+w) \\ &= ag(2x+y) + \frac{b}{4} \left[g(2x+y+2z+w) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - g(2x+y-2z-w) \right] \\ &= 4ag(x) + ag(y) + ag(x+y) - ag(x-y) \\ &\quad + \frac{b}{4}[4g(x+z) - g(y+w) \\ &\quad + g(x+z+y+w) - g(x+z-y-w)] \\ &\quad - \frac{b}{4}[4g(x+z) - g(y+w) \\ &\quad + g(x-z+y-w) - g(x-z-y+w)] \\ &\quad + 4cg(z) + 2cg(w) + cg(z+w) - cg(z-w) \\ &= ag(x+y) + \frac{b}{4}[g(x+z+y+w) \\ &\quad - g(x+y-z-w)] + cg(z+w) \\ &\quad - ag(x-y) + \frac{b}{4}[g(x-y+z-w) - g(x-y-z+w)] \\ &\quad + cg(z-w) + 4ag(x) + \frac{b}{4}[g(x+z) - g(x-z)] \\ &\quad + cg(z) + ag(y) + \frac{b}{4}[g(x+z) - g(x-z)] + cg(w) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= f(x+y, z+w) + f(x-y, z-w) \\ &\quad + 4f(x, z) + f(y, w) \end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y, z, w \in X$. This completes the proof.

3. Solution and Stability Results

In the following theorem, we find out the general solution of the main functional equation (1.1).

Theorem 3.1. A mapping $f : X \times X \rightarrow Y$ satisfies (1.1) if and only if there exist two symmetric bi-additive mappings $S_1, S_2 : X \times X \rightarrow Y$ and a bi-additive mapping $B : X \times X \rightarrow Y$ such that

$$f(x, y) = S_1(x, x) + B(x, y) + s_2(x, y)$$

for all $x, y \in X$.

Proof. We first assume that there exist two symmetric bi-additive mappings

$$S_1, S_2 : X \times X \rightarrow Y$$

and a bi-additive mapping

$$B : X \times X \rightarrow Y$$

such that

$$f(x, y) = S_1(x, x) + B(x, y) + s_2(y, y)$$

for all $x, y \in X$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} &f(2x+y, 2z+w) - f(x+y, z+w) + f(x-y, z-w) \\ &= S_1(2x+y, 2x+y) + B(2x+y, 2z+w) \\ &\quad + S_2(2z+w, 2z+w) \\ &\quad - [S_1(x+y, x+y) + B(x+y, z+w) + S_2(z+w, z+w)] \\ &\quad + [S_1(x-y, x-y) + B(x-y, z-w) + S_2(z-w, z-w)] \\ &= 4S_1(x, x) + S_1(y, y) + 4B(x, z) \\ &\quad + B(y, w) + 4S_2(z, z) + S_2(w, w) \\ &= 4[S_1(x, x) + B(x, z) + S_2(z, z)] \\ &\quad + [S_1(y, y) + B(y, w) + S_2(w, w)] \\ &= 4f(x, z) + f(y, w) \end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y, z, w \in X$.

Conversely, we assume that f is a solution of (1.1). Define $f_1, f_2 : X \rightarrow Y$ by $f_1(x) := f(x, 0)$ and $f_2(x) = f(0, x)$ for all $x \in X$. One can easily verify that f_1, f_2 are quadratic. By [16], there exist two symmetric bi-additive mappings

$$S_1, S_2 : X \times X \rightarrow Y$$

such that $f_1 = S_1(x, x)$ and $f_2 = S_2(x, x)$ for all $x \in X$.

Define $B : X \times X \rightarrow Y$ by

$$B(x, y) := f(x, y) - [f(x, 0) + f(0, y)]$$

for all $x, y \in X$. Then, it is easy to investigate that B is bi-additive. This completes the proof.

In the following theorem, let X be a vector space and Y be a Banach space. Given a function $f : X \times X \rightarrow Y$, we set

$$Df(x, y, z, w) := f(2x + y, 2z + w) - f(x + y, z + w) + f(x - y, z - w) - 4f(x, z) - f(y, w)$$

for all $x, y, z, w \in X$.

Theorem 3.2. Let $f : X \times X \rightarrow Y$ be a mapping for which there exists a function $\varphi : X^4 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$, such that

$$\bar{\varphi}(x, y, z, w) := \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{4^j} \varphi(2^j x, 2^j y, 2^j z, 2^j w) < \infty \quad (3.1)$$

$$\|Df(x, y, z, w)\| \leq \varphi(x, y, z, w) \quad (3.2)$$

for all $x, y, z, w \in X$. Then there exists a unique 2-variable quadratic mapping $A : X \times X \rightarrow Y$ such that

$$\|f(x, y) - A(x, y)\| \leq \frac{1}{4} \bar{\varphi}(x, 0, z, 0) \quad (3.3)$$

for all $x, y \in X$. The mapping A is given by

$$A(x, y) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{4^n} f(2^n x, 2^n y)$$

for all $x, y \in X$.

Proof. Letting $y = 0$ and $w = 0$ in (3.2), we get

$$\left\| \frac{1}{4} f(2x, 2z) - f(x, z) + \frac{1}{2} f(0, 0) \right\| \leq \frac{1}{4} \varphi(x, 0, z, 0)$$

for all $x, z \in X$. Thus we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \frac{1}{4^{j+1}} f(2^{j+1} x, 2^{j+1} z) - \frac{1}{4^j} f(2^j x, 2^j z) + \frac{1}{4^{j+1}} f(0, 0) \right\| \\ & \leq \frac{1}{4^{j+1}} \varphi(2^j x, 0, 2^j z, 0) \end{aligned}$$

for all $x, z \in X$ and all j . Replacing z by y in the above inequality, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \frac{1}{4^{j+1}} f(2^{j+1} x, 2^{j+1} y) - \frac{1}{4^j} f(2^j x, 2^j y) + \frac{1}{4^{j+1}} f(0, 0) \right\| \\ & \leq \frac{1}{4^{j+1}} \varphi(2^j x, 0, 2^j y, 0) \end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y \in X$ and all j . For given integers $l, m (0 \leq l < m)$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \frac{1}{4^m} f(2^m x, 2^m y) - \frac{1}{4^l} f(2^l x, 2^l y) + \frac{1}{4^m} f(0, 0) \right\| \\ & \leq \frac{1}{4} \sum_{j=l}^{m-1} \frac{1}{4^j} \varphi(2^j x, 0, 2^j y, 0) \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

for all $m > 1$ and all $x, y \in X$. It follows from (3.1) and (3.4) that the sequence $\left\{ \frac{1}{4^n} f(2^n x, 2^n y) \right\}$ is Cauchy. Due

to the completeness of Y , this sequence is convergent. So we can define the mapping $A : X \times X \rightarrow Y$ by

$$A(x, y) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{4^n} f(2^n x, 2^n y)$$

for all $x, y \in X$. By (3.2) and (3.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|DA(x, y, z, w)\| &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{4^n} \|Df(2^n x, 2^n y, 2^n z, 2^n w)\| \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{4^n} \varphi(2^n x, 2^n y, 2^n z, 2^n w) \end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y, z, w \in X$. So $DA(x, y, z, w) = 0$. Moreover, letting $l = 0$ and passing the limit $m \rightarrow \infty$ in (3.4), we get (3.3).

Now let $A' : X \times X \rightarrow Y$ be another 2-variable quadratic mapping satisfying (3.3). Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \|A(x, y) - A'(x, y)\| \\ &= \frac{1}{4^n} \|A(2^n x, 2^n y) - A'(2^n x, 2^n y)\| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{4^n} \|A(2^n x, 2^n y) - f(2^n x, 2^n y)\| \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{4^n} \|A'(2^n x, 2^n y) - f(2^n x, 2^n y)\| \\ &\leq \frac{2}{4^n} \bar{\varphi}(2^n x, 2^n y, 2^n x, 2^n y) \end{aligned}$$

which tends to zero as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for all $x, y \in X$. So we can conclude that $A(x, y) = A'(x, y)$ for all $x, y \in X$. This proves the uniqueness of A . This completes the proof.

Remark 3.3. Let $f : X \times X \rightarrow Y$ be a mapping for which there exists a function $\varphi : X^4 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ satisfying (3.2) such that

$$\bar{\varphi}(x, y, z, w) := \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} 4^j \varphi\left(\frac{x}{2^j}, \frac{y}{2^j}, \frac{z}{2^j}, \frac{w}{2^j}\right) < \infty$$

for all $x, y, z, w \in X$. By a similar method to the proof of Theorem 3.2, one can show that there exists a unique 2-variable quadratic mapping

$$A : X \times X \rightarrow Y$$

such that

$$\|f(x, y) - A(x, y)\| \leq \frac{1}{4} \bar{\varphi}(x, 0, y, 0)$$

for all $x, y \in X$. The mapping A is given by

$$A(x, y) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 4^n f\left(\frac{x}{2^n}, \frac{y}{2^n}\right)$$

for all $x, y \in X$.

Acknowledgements

The authors wish to thank the editor and referees for their helpful comments and suggestions.

References

- [1] Czerwik, S, On the stability of the quadratic mapping in normed spaces, *Abh. Math. Sem. Univ. Hamburg*, 62, 1992, 59-64.
- [2] Gruber, P. M, Stability of isometries, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 245, 1978, 263-277.
- [3] Park, C, Generalized Hyers-Ulam stability of quadratic functional equations: a fixed point approach, *Fixed Point Theory and Applications*, vol. 2008, Article ID 493751, 9.
- [4] Najati, A, Hyers-Ulam stability of an n-apolonius type quadratic mapping, *Bull. Belg. Math. Soc. Simon Stevin*, Volume 14, Number 4, 2007, 755-774.
- [5] Czerwik, S. (ed.), *Stability of Functional equations of Ulam–Hyers–Rassias Type*, Hadronic Press, 2003.
- [6] Kwon, Y.-H, Lee H.-M. and Sim, J.-S. et al, Generalized Hyers–Ulam stability of functional equations, *J. Chungcheong Math. Soc.* 20, 2007, 337-399.
- [7] Chu, Y-H, Kang, D. S and Th. M. Rassias, On the stability of a mixed n-dimensional quadratic functional equation, *Bull. Belg. Math. Soc. Simon Stevin* Volume 15, Number 1, 2008, 9-24.
- [8] Park, W.-G. and Bae, J.-H., On a bi-quadratic functional equation and its stability, *Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods & Applications*, vol. 62, no. 4, 2005, 643-654.
- [9] Bae, J.-H and Park, W.-G, A functional equation originating from quadratic form, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 326, 2007, 1142-1148.
- [10] Gavruta, P, A generalization of the Hyers–Ulam–Rassias stability of approximately additive mappings, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 184, 1994, 431-436.
- [11] Ulam, S. M, *Problems in Modern Mathematics*, Wiley, New York, 1960.
- [12] D. H. Hyers, On the stability of the linear functional equation, *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 27, 1941, 222-224.
- [13] Hyers, Donald H., George Isac, and Themistocles Rassias. *Stability of functional equations in several variables*. Vol. 34. Springer Science & Business Media, 2012.
- [14] Jung, S.-M, *Hyers-Ulam-Rassias Stability of Functional Equations in Mathematical Analysis*, Hadronic Press, 2001.
- [15] Rassias, Th. M, On the stability of the linear mapping in Banach spaces, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 72, 1978, 297-300.
- [16] Aczel J. and Dhombres, J, *Functional Equations in Several Variables*, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1989.
- [17] Rassias, Th. M. (ed.), *Functional Equations, Inequalities and Applications*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, Boston, Londonm, 2003.