

Occupations and Causative Agents among Work-Related Asthma Patients in Easy Asthma Clinic, Srinagarind Hospital, Khon Kaen, Thailand

Wisit Jongkumchok¹, Naesinee Chaiear^{1,*}, Watchara Boonsawat², Seksan Chaisuksant³

¹Division of Occupational Medicine, Department of Community Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, Khon Kaen University, Khon Kaen, Thailand

²Department of Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, Khon Kaen University, Khon Kaen, Thailand

³Department of Medicine, Khon Kaen Hospital, Khon Kaen, Thailand

*Corresponding author: naesinee@kku.ac.th

Abstract Background: Work-related asthma (WRA) is the most common chronic occupational lung disease in developing countries and the second most common in developed countries. The median attributable risk of occupational asthma for the population is estimated to be 25%. In Thailand, there are no details on occupational and causative agents vis-à-vis WRA. **Aims:** Our aim was to describe the occupational and causative agents for WRA patients who attended the Easy Asthma Clinic (EAC), Srinagarind Hospital, Khon Kaen, Thailand. **Methods:** This was a descriptive study: 323 participants at the Easy Asthma Clinics were identified and interviewed prior to obtaining information related to WRA symptoms and its suspected causative agents. Descriptive statistics were used for proportions. **Results:** The proportion of asthma patients with WRA was 16.7%. The top three occupations that carried the highest risk were (a) school workers, (b) skilled agriculture, forestry, and fishery workers, and (c) manufacturing and wood workers. The top three most identified causative agents were chalk powder, smoke from burning grass/tree, and fumes from stainless steel production. Some 63.0% of WRA patients did not wear respiratory protection equipment at work and among those who did, none wore it correctly. **Conclusions:** Occupational history, causative agents, and history of asthma symptoms related to work help to determine the proportion of WRA patients. The results of the current study should thus encourage physicians to record patient occupation(s) and job descriptions, so as to remove identifiable factors, before airway remodelling occurs, which makes treatment more difficult and costly.

Keywords: work-related asthma, lung diseases, occupational, causative agents

Cite This Article: Wisit Jongkumchok, Naesinee Chaiear, Watchara Boonsawat, and Seksan Chaisuksant, "Occupations and Causative Agents among Work-Related Asthma Patients in Easy Asthma Clinic, Srinagarind Hospital, Khon Kaen, Thailand." *American Journal of Public Health Research*, vol. 6, no. 2 (2018): 111-116. doi: 10.12691/ajphr-6-2-14.

1. Introduction

Work-related asthma (WRA) is the most common chronic occupational lung disease in developing countries and second most common in developed countries [1]. Based on North American and European studies, the median population occupational attributable risk for asthma is estimated to be 25% [1,2]. WRA is divided into new onset asthma (NOA) (i.e., asthma induced after starting to work with triggering agents in the workplace) and work-exacerbated asthma (WEA) (i.e., pre-existing asthma or concurrent asthma exacerbated by triggering agents in the workplace exposure) [3,4]. Previous studies have demonstrated certain occupations elevate the risk of asthma including general manufacturing, cleaners [5], skilled agriculture and fisheries, spray painters [6], health care, and teacher [7]. To date, more than 250 agents capable of causing WRA have been reported [7,8,9].

Substances that induce WRA are classified as either high molecular weight allergens (> 5 kDa)-usually protein derived allergens-or as low molecular weight compounds [10] and also classified as sensitizing and irritant agents in the workplace [11]. The primary causative agents are grain dust, latex protein, epoxy resin, di-isocyanate [12,13], animal proteins, wood dust [14], biological dust, textile dust [7], and welding fumes [15].

For a diagnosis of WRA, specific inhalation challenge (SIC) testing is the most commonly used as the gold standard; as this most closely represents a single exposure at work. The advantage is that the specific cause for WRA is identified. Likewise, the serial peak expiratory flow (PEF) measurement is also accomplished, which is currently recommended as a confirmatory test [16,17]. SIC testing, however, is not available in many countries and self-measurement of PEF is not without its problems. For example, there is a variable time delay between exposure and asthma and workers vary in their ability to perform unsupervised recording and often show a learning

effect at the start of a record [18]. In several countries, the medical and occupational history are the gateway to the diagnosis of WRA, which should indicate whether a patient's asthma began during a work period and whether the asthma worsens during work periods or improves on days away from work (i.e., on holiday). Despite low specificity, the high sensitive indicators helps doctors achieve early detection and early treatment [19,20].

In Thailand, surveillance systems for WRA are as yet unclear because of a lack of serial reporting, which would be needed to know the number of WRA patients under-diagnosed and/or improperly treated [21]. Early detection and advice on how to avoid work triggers could have potential to decrease disease severity, improve control, and prevent disease expression [19]. Although WRA is a relatively common illness, very little is known about at-risk occupations and triggering agents in Thailand. The current study used medical and occupational history to determine the proportion of WRA patients attending the Easy Asthma Clinic at a university hospital in Khon Kaen, Thailand

2. Methods

The study population included patients over 18 years of age who underwent hospital treatment and follow-up at Srinagarind Hospital, Khon Kaen University, Thailand. Patients who had never had a job were excluded. There were 10,206 patients who underwent treatment and follow-up at EACs in Khon Kaen province. A total of 4,606 patients met the inclusion criteria. The required sample size was calculated to be 323 patients according to the finite population proportion described by Ngamjarus et al. [22].

The interview questionnaire included general personal biodata, underlying diseases, and four questions aimed to diagnosis WRA. It included 1) a history of asthma symptoms that improved or disappeared when away from work; 2) a history of asthma symptoms that worsened on days in which the patient was at work; 3) evidence of sensitizing or irritant agents in the workplace previously studied and known to cause WRA; and, 4) evidence of exposure to sensitizing or irritant agents in the workplace previously studied and found to cause WRA.

Data were recorded using a double data entry program (Epi-info Version 3.4.3) and analyzed using SPSS version 19.0 (IBM, Armonk, NY). Descriptive statistics were used to analyze characteristics of the sample such as sex, health benefit schemes, atopy history, occupational history, agents that cause WRA, and proportion of WRA. Our study results were presented as percentages.

The study was reviewed and approved by Khon Kaen University Ethics Committee for Human Research (Ref No: HE601441).

3. Results

A total of 323 participants were interviewed from Srinagarind Hospital. Most of them (51.1%, n=165) were between 45 and 64 years of age. Most of the participants were female (n=228; 70.6%). The CSMBS, UC, and SSS

medical insurance scheme covered 128 (39.6%), 115 (35.6%), and 80 (24.8%) of the participants, respectively (Table 1).

Atopic diseases were presented in 215 participants (66.6%); among whom 57.0% (n=184) had allergic rhinitis, 17.3% (56) allergic conjunctivitis, and 15.2% (49) eczema. Ninety-eight participants (30.3%) had a family history of asthma (Table 1).

The top three occupational categories as classified by the ISCO-08 were professionals (n=143; 44.3%); skilled agricultural, forestry and fishery workers (n=71; 22.0%); and services and sales workers (n=41; 12.7%) (Table 2).

Table 1. General characteristics of participants

Characteristics	N=323 n (%)
Age range (yr)	
- 18 - 44	34 (10.5)
- 45 - 64	165 (51.1)
- >= 65	124 (38.4)
Sex	
- male	95 (29.4)
- female	228 (70.6)
Health benefit schemes	
- UC	115 (35.6)
- SSS	80 (24.8)
- CSMBS	128 (39.6)
Atopic diseases (yes)	215 (66.6)
Type of diseases	
- allergic rhinitis	184 (57.0)
- allergic conjunctivitis	56 (17.3)
- eczema	49 (15.2)
- hay fever	13 (4.0)
Family history of asthma	98 (30.3)

Table 2. Proportion of occupation classified by ISCO-08 in participants

International Standard Classification of Occupation 2008	N=323 n (%)
1) Managers	16 (5.0)
2) Professionals	143 (44.3)
3) Technicians and associate Professionals	1 (0.3)
4) Clerical support workers	9 (2.8)
5) Services and sales workers	41 (12.7)
6) Skilled agricultural, forestry and fishery workers	71 (22.0)
7) Craft and related trades workers	22 (6.8)
8) Plant and machine operators and assemblers	7 (2.2)
9) Elementary occupations	13 (4.0)

Of the 323 participants, 54 (16.7%) had WRA (diagnosed by medical and occupational history). The rank of most common occupational category was: (a) school workers (n=15; 27.8%) comprising 14 primary school-teachers and 1 vocational education teacher; (b) skilled agricultural, forestry and fishery workers (n=11; 20.4%) including 8 field crop and vegetable growers, 2 mixed crop growers and 1 mixed farmer; and (c) manufacturer and wood workers (n=11; 20.4%) including 6 welders/flame cutters, 2 spray painters/varnishers, 2 rubber products machine operators, and 1 carpenter/joiner (Table 3).

The WRA triggering agents among (a) school workers were chalk powder and whiteboard markers; (b) skilled agricultural, forestry and fishery workers smoke from burning grass/trees and pesticides; and (c) manufacturer and wood workers stainless steel production fumes, isocyanate, diesel exhaust, and wood dust (Table 4).

Sixty-three percent of WRA patients did not wear respiratory protective equipment (RPE) (n=34). None of the school workers or services workers wore RPE (100%, n=20). Among the patients with WRA, those who did use RPE, none wore it correctly and/or had the proper type vis-à-vis the triggering agents in the workplace (Table 5).

Table 3. Proportion of defined occupation in WRA patients

Defined occupations	N=54 n (%)
1) Health workers	0 (0.0)
- nursing professionals	0 (0.0)
2) School workers	15 (27.8)
- vocational education teacher	1 (1.9)
- primary school teachers	14 (25.9)
3) Skilled agricultural, forestry and fishery workers	11 (20.4)
- field crop and vegetable growers	8 (14.8)
- mixed crop growers	2 (3.7)
- apiarists and sericulturists	0 (0.0)
- mixed crop and animal producers	1 (1.9)
4) Manufacturer and wood workers	11 (20.4)
- carpenters and joiners	1 (1.9)
- spray painters and varnishers	2 (3.7)
- welders and flame cutters	6 (11.1)
- motor vehicle engine assembler	0 (0.0)
- musical instrument makers	0 (0.0)
- rubber products machine operators	2 (3.7)
5) Textile workers	3 (5.6)
- cloth weavers	3 (5.6)
- dressmakers	0 (0.0)
- sewers	0 (0.0)
6) Services workers	4 (7.4)
- hairdressers	3 (5.6)
-hairdressing teachers	1 (1.9)
7) Office workers	0 (0.0)
8) Cleaners and refuse workers	10 (18.5)
- domestic housekeepers	2 (3.7)
- cleaners	8 (14.8)
- garbage and recycling collectors	0 (0.0)
9) Food Processing workers	0 (0.0)
- street food vendors	0 (0.0)
- bakers	0 (0.0)

Table 4. The agents that cause WRA in participants

Defined occupations	N
School workers (n=15)	
- chalk powder	13
- whiteboard marker	2
Skilled agricultural, forestry and fishery workers (n=11)	
- smoke from grass/tree burning	7
- pesticides	4
Manufacturer and wood workers (n=11)	
- stainless steel fume	6
- isocyanate	2
- smoke from diesel exhaust	2
- wood dust	1
Textile workers (n=3)	
- raw silk	3
Services workers (n=4)	
- persulfate	3
- dye	1
Cleaners and refuse workers (n=10)	
- chloramine	4
- smoke from cooking	2
- mold	2
- animal protein	1
- house dust mite	1

Table 5. The wear of respiratory protective equipment (RPE) for agents in workplace of WRA patients

Defined occupations	n (%)
School workers (n=15)	
- did not wear	15 (100)
- wear	0 (0.0)
- proper	0 (0.0)
- improper	0 (0.0)
Skilled agricultural, forestry and fishery workers (n=11)	
- did not wear	4 (36.4)
- wear	7 (63.6)
- proper	0/7 (0.0)
- improper	7/7 (100.0)
Manufacturer and wood workers (n=11)	
- did not wear	6 (54.5)
- wear	5 (45.5)
- proper	0/5 (0.0)
- improper	5/5 (100.0)
Textile workers (n=3)	
- did not wear	2 (66.7)
- wear	1 (33.3)
- proper	0/1 (0.0)
- improper	1/1 (100.0)
Services workers (n=4)	
- did not wear	4 (100.0)
- wear	0 (0.0)
- proper	0 (0.0)
- improper	0 (0.0)
Cleaners and refuse workers (n=10)	
- did not wear	3 (30.0)
- wear	7 (70.0)
- proper	0/7 (0.0)
- improper	7/7 (100.0)

4. Discussion

As with other studies of Easy Asthma Clinics in Thailand, most of the participants (70.6%) were female, but there were differences in the proportions covered by the three primary health benefit schemes. The majority of our cases (39.6%) were covered under the Civil Servant Medical Benefit Scheme (CSMBS) followed by the Universal Coverage Scheme (UC) (35.6%). In other studies, most patients were covered by UC [23,24,25]. The difference is explained by the fact that our hospital accepts CSMBS over against other schemes [26].

According to the International Labour Organization (International Standard Classification of Occupations 2008; ISCO-08) [27], we classified occupations based on substances used/or exposed to. As a consequence, school workers (35.9%, n=116) and skilled agricultural, forestry and fishery workers (22.6%, n=73) were the most represented occupations in our study [28]. Thus, it is possible that the sample described in our study is not representative of the total population of asthma patients in Khon Kaen province, but rather only of our EAC at Srinagarind (university) Hospital.

The majority of the participants (66.6%) had a history of atopic disease and 30.3% had a family history of asthma. In a previous related study, Sittipane et al. also found the risk factors most strongly associated with asthma were history of atopic diseases and family history [29].

Further analysis revealed that the proportion of WRA patients with a history of WRA was 16.7% (54/323), including: (a) a history of asthma symptoms that improved

or disappeared when away from work; (b) a history of asthma symptoms that worsened on days in which the patient was at work; (c) evidence of sensitizing or irritant agents in the workplace previously studied and known to cause WRA; and, (d) evidence of exposure to sensitizing or irritant agents in the workplace previously studied and found to cause WRA. Of concern, most of these patients (27.8%, n=15) were school workers who had had exposure to chalk dust and/or whiteboard markers containing volatile substances (i.e., trichloroethylene) [7,30] and/or cleaning agents [31]. This group was followed by skilled agricultural, forestry and fishery workers (20.4%, n=11) who were exposed to grain dust [32], pesticides (i.e., organo-phosphate) [33] and smoke from burning grass or trees [14] and manufacturer and wood workers (20.4%, n=11) exposed to stainless steel manufacturing fumes [6], isocyanates [14], exhaust [33], and wood dust [34].

When analyzing school workers in this study, we found that one of them was a vocational education teacher with a history of pre-existing asthma before starting her job while the others (n=14) were primary school teachers with no history of asthma until they started the job and exposure. Subsequently, all of them had a history of asthma related to agents at workplaces (i.e., chalk dust 13 cases and whiteboard marker 2 cases). None of them wore RPE in the workplace notwithstanding the high risk to agents by inhalation [35]. Previous studies confirmed that school workers had an association with WRA (OR 2.05; 95% CI: 0.71, 5.92) [36] and Gotzev et al. found that the new cases of WRA were rising among education workers every year (2 cases in 2000 and 8 cases in 2017) [37]. Majumdar found that particulate chalk dust size that settled on the classroom floor with dusting and non-dusting chalks within 3 m of the boards was $< 2.5 \mu\text{m}$ [38]. According to the National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health (NIOSH), dust size $< 4.0 \mu\text{m}$ can penetrate deep into the lung (i.e., the bronchi and alveoli) [39] and chalk dusts are irritating agents [40]. So, it was likely that chalk dust will cause irritant induced asthma [7].

Further analysis revealed that 20.4% (11 cases) of WRA occurred among skilled agricultural, forestry and fishery workers, and the majority of whom (72.7%) were field crop and vegetable growers, while the remainder were mixed crop growers (27.3%) and mixed farm operators (10%). All of them had a history of asthma related to workplace triggering agents (viz., smoke from burning grass/trees 7 cases and pesticides 4 cases). Importantly, the use of RPEs was poor (inappropriate or improper) as also reported by Rom (i.e., 15.4% of WRA occurred in farm workers) [41] and Arif et al. (i.e., farm workers had a significant association with WRA (OR 3.37; 95% CI: 1.22, 9.29)) [36].

When analyzing the triggering agents, smoke from burning grass/trees and pesticides were irritating agents [41] and the WRA risk associated with smoke from burning grass/trees and pesticide exposure was greatest among farmer workers (OR 1.13, 95% CI: 0.97, 1.33 and OR=1.69, 95% CI 1.13, 2.52) [14]. As an agricultural country and one of the world's major food exporters, Thailand relies heavily on the use of pesticides to protect crops and increase yields. In the past decade, the Thailand saw an approximate four-fold increase in pesticide use [42].

Surveys evaluating the knowledge, attitude, and practices (KAP) vis-à-vis pesticide use in Thailand revealed that knowledge of the harmful effects of pesticide exposure and methods of safe use differed by crop and farming activity. Although farm workers generally understood the potential risks of pesticides, their attitudes toward pesticide use as well as knowledge and use of PPE especially RPE were poor [43,44]. For example, about three-fourths of chili farmers located in the northeastern region of Thailand surveyed about their KAP did not understand the utility of PPE, did not use PPE, or were not concerned about their overall exposures [43]. The general lack of RPE use by Thai farm workers puts them at increased risk of pesticide exposures and health problem related to those exposures [45].

In the current study, we found the same proportion of WRA (i.e., 20.4%, 11 cases each) occurring among manufacturing and wood workers, and skilled agricultural, forestry and fishery workers. Most the workers (54.5%) were welders and flame cutters, while the remainder were spray painters/varnishers (18.2%), rubber products machine operators (18.2%), and carpenters/joiners (9.1%). All of the workers had a history of asthma related to triggering agents at the workplace (viz., stainless steel fume 6 cases, isocyanate 2 cases, exhaust 2 cases, and wood dust 1 case).

Karjalainen et al. and Attarchi et al. found that manufacturers had a significant risk for developing WRA (OR 1.56 (95% CI; 1.47, 1.65) and 5.68 (95% CI; 1.41, 13.87), respectively) [46,47]. The causes of WRA among manufacturers and wood workers included stainless steel manufacturing fumes (OR= 2.0: 95% CI; 1.5, 3.4) [6], isocyanate (OR= 1.16: 95% CI; 0.99, 1.36) [14], and wood dust (OR= 1.55: 95% CI; 1.08, 2.24) [6]. Although there has been no study on the risk of diesel exhaust, Hoppin et al. found that diesel exhaust was associated with elevated odds of wheezing (OR= 1.31; 95%CI; 1.13, 1.52) [48]. Diesel fuels comprise fine particulates, nitrogen oxides, and sulfur oxide which after combustion release ultra-fine particles ($< 1 \mu\text{m}$) which are able to reach the bronchial and alveolar regions resulting in allergenicity in both humans and animals [49]. Diesel particulates can also act as allergen carriers, exacerbating the development of asthma [50].

5. Conclusion

Occupational history, causative agents, and history of asthma symptoms related to work are valuable parameters for determining the proportion of WRA patients. The results of the current study should encourage physicians to collect patient occupation(s) and job descriptions; in aid of early treatment by removal from identifiable factors, before airway remodeling, which makes treatment more difficult and costly.

Funding

This work was supported by the Faculty of Medicine, Khon Kaen University, Khon Kaen, Thailand. [grant number IN60117ABX].

Acknowledgments

The authors thank (a) EACs in Srinagarind Hospital, Khon Kaen for their support (b) the Faculty of Medicine, Khon Kaen University for support and (c) Mr. Bryan Roderick Hamman for assistance with the English-language presentation of the manuscript.

Statement of Competing Interests

The authors have no competing interests.

References

- [1] Jeebhay, M.F., "Work related asthma can be responsible for up to 25% of all adult asthma," *CME*, 40(2). 496-501. Nov. 2009.
- [2] Toren, K., Blanc, P.D., "Asthma caused by occupational exposures is common – a systemic analysis of estimates of the population-attributable fraction," *BMC Pulm Med*, 9(1). 7. Feb. 2009.
- [3] Tarlo, S.M., Balmes, J., Balkissoon, R., et al., "Diagnosis and management of work-related asthma: American College of Chest Physicians Consensus Statement," *Chest*, 134(3). 480-1. Sep. 2008.
- [4] Tarlo, S.M., Lemiere, C., "Occupational asthma," *N Engl J Med*, 370(7). 640-9. Feb 2014.
- [5] Ng, T.P., Hong, C.Y., Goh, L.G., et al., "Risks of asthma associated with occupations in a community-based case-control study," *Am J Ind Med*, 25(5). 709-18. May. 1994.
- [6] Kogevinas, M., Antó, J.M., Sunyer, J., et al., "Occupational asthma in Europe and other industrialised areas: a population-based study. European Community Respiratory Health Survey Study Group," *Lancet Lond Engl*, 353(9166). 1750-4. May. 1999.
- [7] Wortong, D., Chaiear, N., Boonsawat, W., "Risk of asthma in relation to occupation: A hospital-based case-control study," *Asian Pac J Allergy Immunol*, 33(2). 152-60. Jun. 2015.
- [8] Jaakkola, J.J., Piipari, R., Jaakkola, M.S., "Occupation and Asthma: A Population-based incident case-control study," *Am J Epidemiol*, 158(10). 981-7. Nov. 2003.
- [9] Eng, A., Mannetje, T.A., Douwes, J., et al., "The New Zealand workforce survey II: occupational risk factors for asthma," *Ann Occup Hyg*, 54(2). 154-64. Mar. 2010.
- [10] Mapp, C.E., "Agents, old and new, causing occupational asthma," *Occup Environ Med*, 58(5). 354-60. May. 2001.
- [11] Jares, E.J., Baena-Cagnani, C.E., Gomez, R.M., "Diagnosis of occupational asthma: an update," *Curr Allergy Asthma Rep*, 12(3). 221-31. Jun. 2012.
- [12] Lillienberg, L., Dahlman-Högglund, A., Schiöler, L., et al., "Exposures and asthma outcomes using two different job exposure matrices in a general population study in northern Europe," *Ann Occup Hyg*, 58(4). 469-81. May. 2014.
- [13] Quirce, S., Barranco, P., "Cleaning agents and asthma," *J Investig Allergol Clin Immunol*, 20(7). 542-50. Nov. 2010.
- [14] LeVan, T.D., Koh, W.P., Lee, H.P., et al., "Vapor, dust, and smoke exposure in relation to adult-onset asthma and chronic respiratory symptoms: the Singapore Chinese Health Study," *Am J Epidemiol*, 163(12). 1118-28. Jun. 2006.
- [15] Toren, K., Balder, B., Brisman, J., et al., "The risk of asthma in relation to occupational exposure: a case-control study from a Swedish city," *Eur Respir J*, 13(3). 496-501. Mar. 1999.
- [16] Moore, V.C., Jaaakkola, M.S., Burge, P.S., "A systematic review of serial peak expiratory flow measurements in the diagnosis of occupational asthma," *AoRM*, 000(000). 1-14. 2009.
- [17] Vandenplas, O., Suojalehto, H., Aasen, T.B., et al., "Specific inhalation challenge in the diagnosis of occupational asthma: consensus statement," *Eur Respir J*, 43(6). 1573-87. Jun. 2014.
- [18] Gannon, P.F., Newton, D.T., Belcher, J., et al., "Development of OASYS-2: a system for the analysis of serial measurement of peak expiratory flow in workers with suspected occupational asthma," *Thorax*, 51(5). 484-9. May. 1996.
- [19] Beckett, W.S., "The epidemiology of occupational asthma," *Eur Respir J*, 7(1). 161-4. Jan. 1994.
- [20] Cullinan, P., Taylor, A.N., "Occupational asthma," Hunter's Diseases of Occupations 10th Edition, 941-52. 2010.
- [21] Siripanich, S., Meunphung, P., Sayumpurujinan, S., "Occupational and environmental diseases surveillance system in 2003-2009," *Thailand WESR*, 42(14). 209-13. Apr. 2011.
- [22] Ngamjarus, C., Chongsuvivatwong, V., McNeil, E., "n4Studies: Sample size calculation for an epidemiological study on a smart device," *Siriraj Medical Journal*, 68(3). 160-70. May. 2016.
- [23] Koysavat, S., "Outcome of easy asthma clinic krasang hospital Buriam province," *Medical Journal of Srisaket Surin Buriram Hospitals*, 27(1). 33-42. Jan. 2012.
- [24] Wiangcharoen, R., "Factor that related medical treatment of easy asthma clinic in phahol polpayuhasena hospital," *Udonthani Hospital Medical Journal*, 18(5). 53-61. Jun. 2010.
- [25] Janworachaikul, C., "Outcomes of Easy Asthma Clinic in Yangtalad Hospital," *Srinagarind Medical Journal*. 22(4). 449-58. Jan. 2007.
- [26] Strategy and Planning Division Thailand, *Summary report of illness 2009-2015*, MOPH, 2016. [Online] Available from: http://bps.moph.go.th/new_bps/healthdata [Accessed June, 10 2016].
- [27] National Statistical Office Thailand, *International standard classification of occupations 2008*, NSO, 2016. [Online] Available from: <http://statstd.nso.go.th/classification/structure.aspx?id=16> [Accessed May, 5 2016].
- [28] National Statistical Office Thailand, *Provincial statistical report, the labor force survey*, NSO, 2016. [Online] Available from: http://khonkaen.nso.go.th/images/news_kk_pso/khkaen%202557.pdf [Accessed May, 5 2016].
- [29] Settupane, R.J., Hagy, G.W., Settupane, G.A., "Long-term risk factors for developing asthma and allergic rhinitis: a 23-year follow-up study of college students," *Allergy Proc*, 15(1). 21-5. Jan-Feb. 1994.
- [30] CHHS, *Demand-control ventilation (DCV) in certain school classrooms*, California Energy Commission, 2005. [Online] Available from: http://www.energy.ca.gov/title24/2005standards/archive/document/s/2002-11-05_workshop/public_comments/2002-12-16_DHS_DCV.pdf [Accessed Jul, 2 2016].
- [31] HSM, *Teachers risk of health problems from the use of chalk and whiteboard pens*, ManagerNewspaper, 2008 [Online] Available from: <http://www.chemtrack.org/NewsDetail.asp?TID=7&ID=50>. [Accessed Jan, 12 2016].
- [32] Reinisch, F., Harrison, R.J., Cussler, S., et al., "Physician reports of work-related asthma in California, 1993-1996," *Am J Ind Med*, 39(1). 72-83. Jan. 2001.
- [33] Hoppin, J.A., Umbach, D.M., London, S.J., et al., "Chemical predictors of wheeze among farmer pesticide applicators in the Agricultural Health Study," *Am J Respir Crit Care Med*, 165(5). 683-9. Mar. 2002.
- [34] Beach, J., Burstyn, I., Cherry, N., "Estimating the extent and distribution of new-onset adult asthma in British Columbia using frequentist and Bayesian approaches," *Ann Occup Hyg*, 56(6). 719-27. Jul. 2012.
- [35] HSE, *Respiratory protective equipment at workplace; a practical guide*, HSE, 2016. [Online] Available from: <http://www.hse.gov.uk/pubns/books/hsg53.htm>. [Accessed Jul, 26 2016].
- [36] Arif, A.A., Delclos, G.L., Whitehead, L.W., et al., "Occupational exposure associated with work-related asthma and work-related wheezing among U.S. workers," *Am J Ind Med*, 44(4). 368-76. Oct. 2003.
- [37] Gotzев, S., Lipszyc, J.C., Connor, D., et al., "Trends in occupations and work sectors among patients with work-related asthma at a Canadian tertiary care clinic," *Chest*, 150(4). 811-8. Oct. 2016.
- [38] Majumdar, D., "Chalk dustfall during classroom teaching: particle size distribution and morphological characteristics," *Environ Monit Assess*, 148(1-4). 343-51. Jan. 2009.
- [39] NIOSH, *Particulates not otherwise regulated, Respirable 0600*, NIOSH Manual of Analytical, 1998, 1-6.

- [40] UN, *Globally harmonized system of classification and labelling of chemicals (GHS) 4th ed.* The Institute. 2011, 145-154.
- [41] Rom, W.N., Markowitz, S.B., "Occupational asthma," *Environmental and Occupational Medicine* 4th edition, 1931-44. 2007.
- [42] Panuwet, P., Siriwong, W., Prapamontol, T., et al., "Agricultural pesticide management in Thailand: Situation and population health risk," *Environ Sci Policy*, 17(5). 72-81. Mar. 2012.
- [43] Norkaew, S., Siriwong, W., Siripattanakul, S., "Knowledge, Attitude, and Practice (KAP) of Using Personal Protective Equipment (PPE) for Chilli-Growing Farmers in Huarua Sub-District, Mueang District, Ubonrachathani Province, Thailand," *J Health Res*, 24(2). 93-100. Jul. 2010.
- [44] Siriwong, W., Thirakhupt, K., Sitticharoenchai, D., "Risk Assessment for Dermal Exposure of Organochlorine Pesticides for Local Fishermen in the Rangsit Agricultural Area, Central Thailand," *HERA*, 15(3). 636-46. Feb. 2010.
- [45] Panuwet, P., Prapamontol, T., Chantara, S., "Concentrations of urinary pesticide metabolites in small-scale farmers in Chiang Mai Province, Thailand," *Sci Total Environ*, 407(1). 655-68. Dec. 2008.
- [46] Karjalainen, A., Kurppa, K., Martikainen, R., "Work is related to a substantial portion of adult-onset asthma incidence in the Finnish population," *Am J Respir Crit Care Med*, 164(4). 565-8. Aug. 2001.
- [47] Attarchi, M., Dehghan, F., Yazdanaparast, T., et al., "Occupational Asthma in a Cable Manufacturing Company," *Iran Red Crescent Med J*, 16(10). 1-7. Oct. 2014.
- [48] Hoppin, J.A., Umbach, D.M., London, S.J., et al., "Diesel Exhaust, Solvents, and Other Occupational Exposures as Risk Factors for Wheeze among Farmers," *Am J Respir Crit Care Med*, 169(12). 1308-13. Jun. 2004.
- [49] US EPA, *Health assessment document for diesel engine exhaust*, US EPA, 2000. [Online] Available from: <https://cfpub.epa.gov/ncea/risk/recorddisplay.cfm?deid=29060> [Accessed Jul, 25 2017].
- [50] Ormstad, H., Johansen, B.V., Gaarder, P.I., "Airborne house dust particles and diesel exhaust particles as allergen carriers," *Clin Exp Allergy*, 28(6). 702-8. Jun. 1998.