

# A Study on a Class of Entire Dirichlet Series in $n$ - Variables

Niraj Kumar\*, Lakshika Chutani

Department of Mathematics, Netaji Subhas Institute of Technology, Sector 3 Dwarka, New Delhi-110078, India  
 \*Corresponding author: nirajkumar2001@hotmail.com

Received September 20, 2019; Revised November 03, 2019; Accepted November 17, 2019

**Abstract** In this paper a class  $L$  of entire functions represented by Dirichlet series in  $n$  variables has been considered whose coefficients belong to the set of complex numbers  $\mathbb{C}$  and is further proved to be a Banach Algebra. Also characterization of continuous linear functional is done for the set  $L$ .

**Subject Classification.** 30B50, 46J15, 47A10, 46A11, 54D65.

**Keywords:** Dirichlet series, Banach algebra, topological zero divisor, division algebra, continuous linear functional

**Cite This Article:** Niraj Kumar, and Lakshika Chutani, "A Study on a Class of Entire Dirichlet Series in  $n$  - Variables." *American Journal of Mathematical Analysis*, vol. 7, no. 1 (2019): 11-14. doi: 10.12691/ajma-7-1-2.

## 1. Introduction

Let

$$\begin{aligned}
 & f(s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n) \\
 &= \sum_{m_1=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m_2=1}^{\infty} \dots \\
 & \sum_{m_n=1}^{\infty} a_{m_1, m_2, \dots, m_n} e^{(\lambda_{1m_1} s_1 + \lambda_{2m_2} s_2 + \dots + \lambda_{nm_n} s_n)}
 \end{aligned} \tag{1.1}$$

be a  $n$ -tuple Dirichlet series where

$$s_j = \sigma_j + it_j, j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$$

and  $a_{m_1, m_2, \dots, m_n} \in \mathbb{C}$ . Also

$$0 < \lambda_{p1} < \lambda_{p2} < \dots < \lambda_{pk} \rightarrow \infty \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty \text{ for } p = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

To simplify the form of  $n$ -tuple Dirichlet series, we have the following notations

$$s = (s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n,$$

$$m = (m_1, m_2, \dots, m_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n$$

and

$$\lambda_{nm_n} = (\lambda_{1m_1}, \lambda_{2m_2}, \dots, \lambda_{nm_n}) \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

$$\lambda_{nm_n} s = \lambda_{1m_1} s_1 + \lambda_{2m_2} s_2 + \dots + \lambda_{nm_n} s_n$$

$$|\lambda_{nm_n}| = \lambda_{1m_1} + \lambda_{2m_2} + \dots + \lambda_{nm_n}$$

$$|m| = m_1 + m_2 + \dots + m_n.$$

Thus, the series (1.1) can be written as

$$f(s) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} a_m e^{\lambda_{nm_n} s}. \tag{1.2}$$

Janusauskas in [1] showed that if there exists a tuple  $p > \bar{0} = (0, 0, \dots, 0)$  such that

$$\limsup_{|m| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \log m_k}{p \lambda_{nm_n}} = 0, \tag{1.3}$$

then the domain of absolute convergence of (1.2) coincides with its domain of convergence. Sarkar in [2] proved that the necessary and sufficient condition for series (1.2) satisfying (1.3) to be entire is that

$$\lim_{|m| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log |a_m|}{|\lambda_{nm_n}|} = -\infty. \tag{1.4}$$

Consider  $L$  as the set of series (1.2) satisfying (1.3) and (1.4) for which

$$(|m|e)^{c_1 |\lambda_{nm_n}| e} (|m|!)^{c_2} |a_m|$$

is bounded. Thus there exists a  $G$  such that

$$(|m|e)^{c_1 |\lambda_{nm_n}| e} (|m|!)^{c_2} |a_m| < G,$$

$$c_1 e \log |m| + c_1 e + c_2 \frac{\log(|m|!)}{|\lambda_{nm_n}|} + \frac{\log |a_m|}{|\lambda_{nm_n}|} < \frac{\log G}{|\lambda_{nm_n}|},$$

$$\frac{\log |a_m|}{|\lambda_{n_m}|} < - \left\{ c_1 e \log |m| + c_1 e + c_2 \frac{\log(|m|!)}{|\lambda_{n_m}|} + \frac{\log G}{|\lambda_{n_m}|} \right\}.$$

This implies

$$\frac{\log |a_m|}{|\lambda_{n_m}|} \rightarrow -\infty \text{ as } |m| \rightarrow \infty.$$

Then every element of  $L$  represents an entire function. Define the binary operations in  $L$  as

$$f(s) + h(s) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} (a_m + b_m) e^{\lambda_{n_m} s},$$

$$\gamma f(s) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} (\gamma a_m) e^{\lambda_{n_m} s},$$

$$f(s).h(s) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} (|m|e)^{c_1 |\lambda_{n_m}|e} (|m|!)^{c_2} a_m b_m e^{\lambda_{n_m} s}.$$

The norm in  $L$  is defined as

$$\|f\| = \sup_{|m| \geq 1} (|m|e)^{c_1 |\lambda_{n_m}|e} (|m|!)^{c_2} |a_m|. \tag{1.5}$$

During the last two decades a lot of research has been carried out in the field of Dirichlet series and many important results have been proved where few of them may be found in [3,4]. Kumar and Manocha in [5,6] considered the condition  $(\lambda_n)^{c_1} e^{c_2 n} (\lambda_n) \|a_n\|$  of weighted norm for a Dirichlet series in one variable and established some results on it. Until now a lot work has been done for the Dirichlet series in one variable. The purpose of this paper is to give a broader view to the study of Dirichlet series in  $n$ -variables.

## 2. Main Results

In this section main results have been proved. For the definitions of terms used, refer [7,8].

**Theorem 1.**  $L$  is a commutative Banach algebra with identity.

*Proof.* To prove the theorem we first show that  $L$  is complete under the norm defined by (1.5). Define a metric on  $L$  as  $d(f, g) = \|f - g\|$  and let  $\{f_m : m \in M\}$  be a Cauchy sequence in  $L$ . For each  $m \in M$  let  $R_m = \{f_k : k \geq m\}$  be the  $m$ -th tail of sequence and  $t_m$  be twice the diameter of  $R_m$ . Also let  $B_m$  be the closed ball centered at  $f_m$  of radius  $c_m = 2t_m$ . Then

$$R_m \subseteq B_m.$$

Since the sequence is Cauchy therefore  $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} t_m = 0$ .

Now let  $m \in M$  be arbitrary. Therefore there exists  $k > m$

such that  $t_k < \frac{1}{2} t_m$ .

Suppose  $g(s) \in B_k$  then

$$\begin{aligned} d(g, f_m) &\leq d(g, f_k) + d(f_k, f_m) \\ &\leq c_k + t_m = 2t_k + t_m \\ &< 2t_m = c_m. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $g(s) \in B_m$  and hence  $B_k \subseteq B_m$ .

In the like manner we construct a nested sequence of the closed balls  $\{B_m : m \in M\}$ . Then from hypothesis it is known that a space is complete if and only if every nested sequence of closed balls whose radii tends to zero has a non empty intersection say  $f$ . Let  $\{f_\eta\}$  be a Cauchy sequence in  $L$  where

$$f_\eta(s) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} a_m^{(\eta)} e^{\lambda_{n_m} s}.$$

Then for given  $\epsilon > 0$  we can find  $r \geq 1$  such that

$$\|f_{\eta_1} - f_{\eta_2}\| < \epsilon \quad \forall \quad \eta_1, \eta_2 \geq r$$

that is

$$(|m|e)^{c_1 |\lambda_{n_m}|e} (|m|!)^{c_2} |a_m^{(\eta_1)} - a_m^{(\eta_2)}| < \epsilon \quad \forall \quad \eta_1, \eta_2 \geq r.$$

Clearly  $\{a_m^{(\eta)}\}$  forms a Cauchy sequence in  $\mathbb{C}$  for all values of  $m \geq 1$ .

Hence

$$\lim_{\eta \rightarrow \infty} a_m^{(\eta)} = a_m \quad \forall \quad m \geq 1.$$

Letting  $r_2 \rightarrow \infty$ ,

$$(|m|e)^{c_1 |\lambda_{n_m}|e} (|m|!)^{c_2} |a_m^{(\eta_1)} - a_m| < \epsilon \quad \forall \quad \eta_1 \geq r.$$

Thus  $f_{\eta_1} \rightarrow f$  that is  $d(f_{\eta_1}, f) \rightarrow 0$  as  $\eta_1 \rightarrow \infty$ . Also

$$\begin{aligned} &\sup_{|m| \geq 1} (|m|e)^{c_1 |\lambda_{n_m}|e} (|m|!)^{c_2} |a_m| \\ &\leq \sup_{|m| \geq 1} (|m|e)^{c_1 |\lambda_{n_m}|e} (|m|!)^{c_2} |a_m^{(\eta_1)} - a_m| \\ &+ \sup_{|m| \geq 1} (|m|e)^{c_1 |\lambda_{n_m}|e} (|m|!)^{c_2} |a_m^{(\eta_1)}|. \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $f(s) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} a_m e^{\lambda_{n_m} s} \in L$ . Thus  $L$  is complete under the norm defined by (1.4). If  $f(s), g(s) \in L$  then

$$\begin{aligned} \|f.g\| &\leq \sup_{|m| \geq 1} (|m|e)^{c_1 |\lambda_{n_m}|e} (|m|!)^{c_2} |a_m| \cdot \\ &\quad \cdot \sup_{|m| \geq 1} (|m|e)^{c_1 |\lambda_{n_m}|e} (|m|!)^{c_2} |b_m| \\ &= \|f\| \|g\|. \end{aligned}$$

The identity element in  $L$  is

$$e(s) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} (|m|e)^{-c_1 |\lambda_{n_m}|e} (|m|!)^{-c_2} e^{\lambda_{n_m} s}.$$

This completes the proof of the theorem.

**Theorem 2.** The function  $f(s) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} a_m e^{\lambda_{nmn} s}$  is invertible in  $L$  if and only if

$$|k_m (|m|e)^{-c_1|\lambda_{nmn}|e} (|m|!)^{-c_2}|$$

is a bounded sequence where  $k_m$  is inverse of  $a_m$ .

*Proof.* Let  $f(s) \in L$  be invertible and

$$h(s) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} b_m e^{\lambda_{nmn} s}$$

be its inverse. Then  $f(s).h(s) = e(s)$ . Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} (|m|e)^{c_1|\lambda_{nmn}|e} (|m|!)^{c_2} a_m b_m e^{\lambda_{nmn} s} \\ &= \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} (|m|e)^{-c_1|\lambda_{nmn}|e} (|m|!)^{-c_2} e^{\lambda_{nmn} s} \end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$\begin{aligned} & (|m|e)^{c_1|\lambda_{nmn}|e} (|m|!)^{c_2} a_m b_m \\ &= (|m|e)^{-c_1|\lambda_{nmn}|e} (|m|!)^{-c_2} \end{aligned}$$

This further implies

$$\begin{aligned} & (|m|e)^{c_1|\lambda_{nmn}|e} (|m|!)^{c_2} b_m \\ &= (|m|e)^{-c_1|\lambda_{nmn}|e} (|m|!)^{-c_2} a_m^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Equivalently

$$\begin{aligned} & (|m|e)^{c_1|\lambda_{nmn}|e} (|m|!)^{c_2} |b_m| \\ &= |k_m (|m|e)^{-c_1|\lambda_{nmn}|e} (|m|!)^{-c_2}| \end{aligned}$$

and is thus a bounded sequence since  $h(s) \in L$ .

Conversely suppose

$$|k_m (|m|e)^{-c_1|\lambda_{nmn}|e} (|m|!)^{-c_2}|$$

be a bounded sequence. Define  $h(s)$  such that

$$h(s) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} (|m|e)^{-2c_1|\lambda_{nmn}|e} (|m|!)^{-2c_2} a_m^{-1} e^{\lambda_{nmn} s}.$$

Further

$$f(s).h(s) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} (|m|e)^{-c_1|\lambda_{nmn}|e} (|m|!)^{-c_2} e^{\lambda_{nmn} s} = e(s).$$

Hence the theorem.

**Theorem 3.** A necessary and a sufficient condition that an element  $f(s) \in L$  to be a topological zero divisor is that

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} (|m|e)^{c_1|\lambda_{nmn}|e} (|m|!)^{c_2} |a_m| = 0.$$

*Proof.* Let the given condition holds. Construct a sequence  $\{h_m\}$  such that

$$h_m = (|m|e)^{-c_1|\lambda_{nmn}|e} (|m|!)^{-c_2} e^{\lambda_{nmn} s}.$$

Thus, for all  $m$ ,  $h_m(s) \in L$  and  $\|h_m\| = 1$ . Now

$$f(s).h_m(s) = h_m(s).f(s) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} a_m e^{\lambda_{nmn} s}.$$

Therefore

$$\|f.h_m\| = \|h_m.f\| = (|m|e)^{c_1|\lambda_{nmn}|e} (|m|!)^{c_2} |a_m|.$$

As  $m \rightarrow \infty$ ,

$$\|f.h_m\| = \|h_m.f\| \rightarrow 0.$$

Thus  $f(s)$  is a topological zero divisor.

Conversely, suppose the given condition is not true that is

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} (|m|e)^{c_1|\lambda_{nmn}|e} (|m|!)^{c_2} |a_m| = \mathcal{G} > 0.$$

Then, given  $\zeta$  with  $0 < \zeta < \mathcal{G}$  we can find integers  $m_0 \geq 1$  such that for all  $m \geq m_0$ ,

$$(|m|e)^{c_1|\lambda_{nmn}|e} (|m|!)^{c_2} |a_m| \geq \mathcal{G} - \zeta$$

hold true. Also since  $f(s)$  is a topological zero divisor, there exists a sequence  $\{h_t\}$  of elements in  $L$  with unit norm such that for all  $t \geq 1$  one has

$$\sum_{t=1}^{\infty} (|t|e)^{c_1|\lambda_{ntn}|e} (|t|!)^{c_2} |b_t| = 1$$

where

$$h_t(s) = \sum_{t=1}^{\infty} b_t e^{\lambda_{ntn} s}.$$

Next, for  $\epsilon$  such that  $0 < \epsilon < 1$  there exist integers  $M_t$  and subsequences  $\{m_t\}$  of sequence of indices  $\{m\}$  such that

$$(|m|e)^{c_1|\lambda_{nmn}|e} (|m|!)^{c_2} |b_{m_t}| > 1 - \epsilon$$

for all  $m = m_t \geq M_t$ . This implies

$$\begin{aligned} & (|m|e)^{c_1|\lambda_{nmn}|e} (|m|!)^{c_2} \{ (|m|e)^{c_1|\lambda_{nmn}|e} (|m|!)^{c_2} |a_m b_{m_t}| \} \\ & > p > 0 \end{aligned}$$

for all  $m_t \geq M_t$ . Therefore

$$\|f.h_t\| \rightarrow 0.$$

which is a contradiction. Hence the theorem.

**Theorem 4.**  $L$  is not a Division Algebra.

*Proof.* Let

$$S k(s) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} m^{-1} (|m|e)^{-c_1|\lambda_{nmn}|e} (|m|!)^{-c_2} e^{\lambda_{nmn} s}.$$

Clearly  $k(s) \in L$  and does not possess an inverse in  $L$ .  
Let if possible

$$w(s) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} w_m e^{\lambda_{nmn} s}$$

be its inverse. Hence  $k(s).w(s) = e(s)$ . This implies

$$w_m = m e_m (|m| e)^{-c_1 |\lambda_{nmn}| e} (|m|!)^{-c_2}$$

which does not belong to  $L$ . This completes the proof of the theorem.

**Theorem 5.** Every continuous linear functional  $\phi: L \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is of the form

$$\phi(f) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} a_m z_m (|m| e)^{c_1 |\lambda_{nmn}| e} (|m|!)^{c_2}$$

where

$$f(s) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} a_m e^{\lambda_{nmn} s}$$

and  $\{z_m\}$  is a bounded sequence in  $\mathbb{C}$ .

*Proof.* Let us first assume that  $\phi: L \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be a continuous linear functional. Since  $\phi$  is continuous,

$$\phi(f) = \phi\left(\lim_{M \rightarrow \infty} f^{(M)}\right)$$

where

$$f^{(M)}(s) = \sum_{m=1}^M a_m e^{\lambda_{nmn} s}.$$

Let us define a sequence  $\{f_m\} \subseteq L$  as

$$f_m = (|m| e)^{-c_1 |\lambda_{nmn}| e} (|m|!)^{-c_2} e^{\lambda_{nmn} s}.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(f) &= \phi\left(\lim_{M \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{m=1}^M a_m (|m| e)^{c_1 |\lambda_{nmn}| e} (|m|!)^{c_2} f_m\right) \\ &= \lim_{M \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{m=1}^M a_m (|m| e)^{c_1 |\lambda_{nmn}| e} (|m|!)^{c_2} \phi(f_m). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\phi$  is a linear functional therefore

$$\phi(f_m) = z_m.$$

This implies

$$\phi(f) = \lim_{M \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{m=1}^M a_m z_m (|m| e)^{c_1 |\lambda_{nmn}| e} (|m|!)^{c_2}.$$

We now show that  $\{z_m\}$  is a bounded sequence in  $\mathbb{C}$ ,

$$|z_m| = |\phi(f_m)| \leq G \|f_m\|$$

and  $\|f_m\| = 1$  which further implies

$$|z_m| \leq G.$$

Thus  $\{z_m\}$  is a bounded sequence in  $\mathbb{C}$ . This proves the theorem.

## References

- [1] Janusauskas A.I., 1977. Elementary theorems on convergence of double Dirichlet series. Dokl. Akad. Nauk. SSSR, 234, 610-614.
- [2] Sarkar P.K., 1982. On the Goldberg order and Goldberg type of an entire function of several complex variables represented by multiple Dirichlet series. Indian J. Pure Appl. Math. 13(10), 1221-1229.
- [3] Meili L., Zongsheng G., 2010. Convergence and Growth of multiple Dirichlet series. Acta Mathematica Scientia. 30B(5), 1640-1648.
- [4] Vaish S.K., 2003. On the coefficients of entire multiple Dirichlet series of several complex variables. Bull. Math. Soc. Sc. Roumanie Tome. 46(94) 3-4, 195-202.
- [5] Kumar N., Manocha G., 2013. On a class of entire functions represented by Dirichlet series. J. Egypt. Math. Soc. 21, 21-24.
- [6] Kumar N., Manocha G., 2013. A class of entire Dirichlet series as an FK-space and a Frechet space. Acta Math. Scientia. 33B(6), 1571-1578.
- [7] Larsen R., 1973. Banach Algebras - An Introduction. Marcel Dekker Inc., New York.
- [8] Larsen R., 1973. Functional Analysis - An Introduction. Marcel Dekker Inc., New York.

