

On Weak Solutions of Systems of Strongly Nonlinear Parabolic Variational Inequalities

A.T.El-Dessouky*

Mathematics Department, Helwan University, Faculty of Science, Cairo, Egypt
 *Corresponding author: adeltohamy60@gmail.com

Abstract In this paper we prove the existence of weak solutions for systems of variational inequalities of strongly nonlinear parabolic operators: $u_t^{(\ell)} + A^{(\ell)}(u)(x,t) + g^{(\ell)}(x,t;u^1, \dots, u^d)$, in $\mathbf{Q} = \Omega \times (\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{T})$, where $A^{(\ell)}(u)(x,t) = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq \mathbf{m}} (-1)^{|\alpha|} \mathbf{D}^\alpha \mathbf{A}_\alpha^{(\ell)}(x,t; D(u^1(x,t), \dots, u^d(x,t)))$, $\ell = 1, 2, \dots, d$.

Keywords: strongly nonlinear parabolic operators-Systems of variational inequalities

Cite This Article: A.T.El-Dessouky, "On Weak Solutions of Systems of Strongly Nonlinear Parabolic Variational Inequalities." *American Journal of Mathematical Analysis*, vol. 5, no. 1 (2017): 7-11. doi: 10.12691/ajma-5-1-2.

1. Introduction

Consider the parabolic initial-boundary value problem

$$u_t + A(u)(x,t) + g(x,t;u) = f(x,t), \quad (1)$$

$$\text{in } \mathbf{Q} = \Omega \times (\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{T})$$

$$u(0) = 0,$$

in Ω

$$\mathbf{D}^\alpha \mathbf{u} = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega \times (0, \mathbf{T}) \text{ for } |\alpha| \leq \mathbf{m} - 1$$

where

$$\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{u})(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{t}) = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq \mathbf{m}} (-1)^{|\alpha|} \mathbf{D}^\alpha \mathbf{A}_\alpha(x,t; Du(x,t))$$

and $Du = (\mathbf{D}^\alpha \mathbf{u})_{|\alpha| \leq \mathbf{m}}$. If the coefficients \mathbf{A}_α satisfy a polynomial growth conditions of order $(p-1)$ in u and its space derivatives but g obeys no growth in u , but merely a sign condition, the existence of weak solutions problems of the type (1) has been obtained by many authors (cf [1,4] and [5]). In [2] Browder and Brézis extended the above results to the corresponding class of variational inequalities. Their proof based on a type of compactness result. Our result can be viewed as a generalization to systems of variational inequalities for the work of [4] and [5]. Our proof relies on deriving a-priori bound for the time derivative of the solution in $L^2(\mathbf{Q})$.

2. Prerequisites

Let Ω be a bounded domain in \mathbb{R}^N with a smooth (uniform C^m -) boundary, $1 < p < \infty$ and m a positive integer. Denote by $\mathbf{V} = \mathbf{V}^{(1)} \times \dots \times \mathbf{V}^{(d)}$

the Sobolev space

$$\prod_{\ell=1}^d [W_0^{m,p}(\Omega)] = [W_0^{m,p}(\Omega)]^d = \overline{[C_0^\infty(\Omega)]^d}^{\|\cdot\|_{m,p}}$$

where

$$\|u\|_{m,p}^p = \int_{\Omega} \sum_{\ell=1}^d \sum_{|\alpha| \leq \mathbf{m}} \mathbf{D}^\alpha \mathbf{u}^{(\ell)}(\mathbf{x})^p dx.$$

Let $\mathbf{X} = L^p(0, \mathbf{T}, \mathbf{V})$, $\mathbf{X}^* = L^p(0, \mathbf{T}, \mathbf{V}^*)$ be its topological dual ($p' = p/p-1$) and $\mathbf{Y} = \{u : u \in \mathbf{X}, \frac{du}{dt} \in \mathbf{X}^*, \mathbf{u}(0) = \mathbf{0}\}$ with the norm

$$\|u\|_{\mathbf{Y}} = \|u\|_{\mathbf{X}} + \left\| \frac{du}{dt} \right\|_{\mathbf{X}^*}.$$

For the Galerkin method, construct a sequence $(\mathbf{w}_i^{(\ell)})_{i=1}^\infty \subset [C_0^\infty(\Omega)]^{(\ell)}$ such that $\prod_{\ell=1}^d \bigcup_{n=1}^\infty \mathbf{Z}_n^{(\ell)}$ with $\mathbf{Z}_n^{(\ell)} = \text{span}(\mathbf{w}_1^{(\ell)}, \dots, \mathbf{w}_n^{(\ell)})$ is dense in $[W_0^{j,p}(\Omega)]^d$, $j p > m p + N$. Denote by $\mathbf{Y}_n = C(0, \mathbf{T}; \mathbf{Z}_n^{(1)}, \dots, \mathbf{Z}_n^{(d)})$. Since $[W_0^{j,p}(\Omega)]^d$ is continuously embedded in $[C_B^m(\Omega)]^d$, which is a Banach space with the norm

$$\|u\|_{[C_B^m(\Omega)]^d} = \sum_{\ell=1}^d \max_{0 \leq |\alpha| \leq \mathbf{m}} \sup_{\mathbf{x} \in \Omega} |\mathbf{D}^\alpha \mathbf{u}^{(\ell)}(\mathbf{x})|,$$

then for any $v \in [W_0^{j,p}(\Omega)]^d$ there exists a sequence $(v_k) \in \bigcup_{n=1}^\infty \mathbf{Y}_n$ such that $v_k \rightarrow v$ in $[W_0^{j,p}(\Omega)]^d$. Moreover, since the closure of $\bigcup_{n=1}^\infty \mathbf{Y}_n$ with respect to the $[C^m]^d$ -topology contains $[C_0^\infty(\mathbf{Q})]^d$, then for any $f \in \mathbf{X}^*$ there exists a sequence (f_k) $f_k^{(\ell)} \in \bigcup_{n=1}^\infty \mathbf{Z}_n^{(\ell)}$ such that $f_k \rightarrow f$ in \mathbf{X}^* in the weak sense [5].

We introduce the following hypotheses for $\mathbf{A}^{(\ell)}(u) + \mathbf{g}^{(\ell)}(x,t;u)$.

A₁) $\mathbf{A}_\alpha^{(\ell)}: \mathbf{Q} \times \mathbb{R}^{sd} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous in $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{sd}$ for almost all $(x, t) \in \mathbf{Q}$ and $\mathbf{c}_1 > \mathbf{0}$ and a fixed function $\mathbf{K}_1 \in [\mathbf{L}^p(\mathbf{Q})]^d$

$$\left| \mathbf{A}_\alpha^{(\ell)}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{t}; \xi) \right| \leq \mathbf{c}_1 |\xi|^{p-1} + \mathbf{K}_1(x, t),$$

for all α , all $(x, t) \in \mathbf{Q}$, $\ell = 1, 2, \dots, \mathbf{d}$ and all $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{sd}$.

A₂) For all $(x, t) \in \mathbf{Q}$ and two distinct $\xi, \xi^* \in \mathbb{R}^{sd}$

$$\sum_{\ell=1}^{\mathbf{d}} \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} (\mathbf{A}_\alpha^{(\ell)}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{t}; \xi) - \mathbf{A}_\alpha^{(\ell)}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{t}; \xi^*)) (\xi_\alpha^{(\ell)} - \xi_\alpha^{*(\ell)}) > 0.$$

A₃) There exists a constant $\mathbf{c}_2 > 0$ and a fixed function $\mathbf{K}_2 \in [\mathbf{L}^p(\mathbf{Q})]^d$ such that for all $(x, t) \in \mathbf{Q}$ and all $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{sd}$

$$\sum_{\ell=1}^{\mathbf{d}} \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} \mathbf{A}_\alpha^{(\ell)}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{t}; \xi) \xi_\alpha^{(\ell)} \geq \mathbf{c}_2 |\xi|^p - \mathbf{K}_2(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{t}).$$

G) $\mathbf{g}^{(\ell)}: \mathbf{Q} \times \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous in $\mathbf{r} \in \mathbb{R}^d$ for almost all $(x, t) \in \mathbf{Q}$ and measurable in (\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{t}) for all $\mathbf{r}, \ell = 1, 2, \dots, \mathbf{d}$. Moreover, each $\mathbf{g}^{(\ell)}$ is nondecreasing in r for fixed $(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{t}) \in \mathbf{Q}$ and each $\mathbf{g}^{(\ell)}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{t}; \mathbf{0}) = \mathbf{0}$, for all $(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{t}) \in \mathbf{Q}$.

3. Formulation of the Problem

Write

$$G_0^{(\ell)}(x, t, r) = \int_0^r g^{(\ell)}(x, t, s) ds.$$

By G) each $G_0^{(\ell)}$ as a function of r is convex, nonnegative and once differentiable.

For $\mathbf{u} \in \mathbf{X}$, set

$$\Gamma^{(\ell)}(\mathbf{u}) = \int_{\mathbf{Q}} G_0^{(\ell)}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{t}; \mathbf{u}) dx dt.$$

Let \mathbf{K} be a closed convex subset of \mathbf{V} containing the origin. Define a proper lower semicontinuous Gateaux differentiable function $\varphi: \mathbf{X} \rightarrow (-\infty, \infty]$:

$$\varphi^{(\ell)}(\mathbf{u}) = \begin{cases} \Gamma^{(\ell)}(\mathbf{u}) & \text{if } \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{t}) \in \mathbf{K} \text{ a.e} \\ \infty & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Definition: A function $\mathbf{u}_n = (\mathbf{u}_n^{(1)}, \dots, \mathbf{u}_n^{(d)}) \in \mathbf{Y}_n$ is called a Galerkin solution of the associated variational inequalities for (1) if

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^T \left(\frac{\partial \mathbf{u}_n^{(\ell)}}{\partial t}, \mathbf{v}^{(\ell)} - \mathbf{u}_n^{(\ell)} \right) dt + \int_0^T \left(\mathbf{T}(\mathbf{u}_n), \mathbf{v}^{(\ell)} - \mathbf{u}_n^{(\ell)} \right) dt \\ & + \varphi^{(\ell)}(\mathbf{v}) - \varphi^{(\ell)}(\mathbf{u}_n) \\ & \geq \int_0^T (\mathbf{f}_n^{(\ell)}, \mathbf{v}^{(\ell)} - \mathbf{u}_n^{(\ell)}) dt, \\ & \mathbf{v} \in \mathbf{Y}_n, \ell = 1, 2, \dots, \mathbf{d}. \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\mathbf{T}(\mathbf{u}), \mathbf{z}^{(\ell)} \right) \\ & = \int_{\Omega} \sum_{\ell=1}^{\mathbf{d}} \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} \mathbf{A}_\alpha^{(\ell)}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{t}; \mathbf{D}\mathbf{u}) D^\alpha \mathbf{z}^{(\ell)} dx. \end{aligned}$$

The existence of a Galerkin solution and its main property, in view of our hypotheses, will be given by the following lemma [3].

Lemma: For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists a Galerkin solution $\mathbf{u}_n \in \mathbf{Y}_n \cap \mathbf{X}$ such that

$$\|\mathbf{u}_n\|_{2;2} \leq c. \quad (c > 0)$$

Proof: Define a vector-valued function $\mathbf{h}_n: [\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{T}] \times \mathbb{R}^s \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^s$ by

$$(\mathbf{h}_n(\mathbf{t}, \mathbf{a}))_i = \int_{\Omega} \int_{\ell=1}^{\mathbf{d}} \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} \mathbf{A}_\alpha^{(\ell)} \left(\sum_{j=1}^s \mathbf{a}_j \psi_j \right) D^\alpha \psi_i^{(\ell)} dx,$$

$$\mathbf{a} = (\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2, \dots, \mathbf{a}_s), \mathbf{i} = 1, \dots, n.$$

$\mathbf{h}_n(\mathbf{t}, \mathbf{a})$, in view of our hypotheses, is continuous and coercive and each $\varphi^{(\ell)}: \mathbf{Y}_n \rightarrow (-\infty, \infty]$ is lower semicontinuous with $\varphi^{(\ell)}(\mathbf{0}) = \mathbf{0}$.

Therefore the system

$$\begin{aligned} & (\xi^{(\ell)}(t) + \mathbf{h}_n(\mathbf{t}, \xi(t)), \eta^{(\ell)} - \xi^{(\ell)}(\mathbf{t})) \\ & + \varphi^{(\ell)}(\eta) - \varphi^{(\ell)}(\xi(\mathbf{t})) \\ & \geq (\mathbf{f}_n^{(\ell)}, \eta^{(\ell)} - \xi^{(\ell)}(\mathbf{t})) \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

for a.a.t $\in [\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{T}]$, $\xi^{(\ell)}(\mathbf{0}) = \mathbf{0}$ with

$$(\mathbf{f}_n^{(\ell)}(\mathbf{t}))_i = \int_{\Omega} \mathbf{f}_n^{(\ell)}(\mathbf{t}) \psi_i^{(\ell)} d\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{i} = 1, \dots, n,$$

has a local solution.

From (3) we get the estimate

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \left| \xi^{(\ell)}(\mathbf{t}) \right| \leq \left| \mathbf{f}_n^{(\ell)}(\mathbf{t}) \right| \left| \xi^{(\ell)}(\mathbf{t}) \right|.$$

Therefore

$$\left| \xi^{(\ell)}(\mathbf{t}) \right| \leq c_n(T).$$

Including the existence of a solution

$$\xi(t) = (\xi^{(1)}(t), \dots, \xi^{(d)}(t)) \in \mathbf{Y}_n.$$

4. Existence Theorem

Theorem. Let the hypotheses A₁)- A₃) and G) be satisfied. Let $\mathbf{f}^{(\ell)} \in \mathbf{C}^1(0, T, L^2(\Omega))$ be given. Then

(i) there exists $\mathbf{u} \in \mathbf{Y}$ with $\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{t}) \in \mathbf{K}$ a.e., $\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{0}) = \mathbf{0}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle \mathbf{u}_t^{(\ell)}, \mathbf{v}^{(\ell)} - \mathbf{u}^{(\ell)} \rangle + \langle \mathbf{T}(\mathbf{u}), \mathbf{v}^{(\ell)} - \mathbf{u}^{(\ell)} \rangle \\ & + \varphi^{(\ell)}(\mathbf{v}) - \varphi^{(\ell)}(\mathbf{u}_n) \geq \langle \mathbf{f}^{(\ell)}, \mathbf{v}^{(\ell)} - \mathbf{u}^{(\ell)} \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

for every $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbf{C}^1(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{T}, [\mathbf{C}_0^\infty(\Omega)]^d)$ for which $\varphi^{(\ell)}(\mathbf{v}) < \infty$.

(ii) there exists $\mathbf{u} \in \mathbf{Y} \cap \mathbf{K}$ with $\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{t}) \in \mathbf{K}$ a.e., $\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{0}) = \mathbf{0}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle \mathbf{u}_t^{(\ell)}, \mathbf{v}^{(\ell)} - \mathbf{u}^{(\ell)} \rangle + \langle \mathbf{T}(\mathbf{u}), \mathbf{v}^{(\ell)} - \mathbf{u}^{(\ell)} \rangle \\ & + \int_{\mathbf{Q}} g^{(\ell)}(x, t, \mathbf{u})(\mathbf{v}^{(\ell)} - \mathbf{u}^{(\ell)}) dx dt \\ & \geq \langle \mathbf{f}^{(\ell)}, \mathbf{v}^{(\ell)} - \mathbf{u}^{(\ell)} \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

for every $v \in C^1(\mathbf{0}, T, [C_0^\infty(\Omega)]^d)$ with $u(t) \in \mathbf{K}$ a. e.

Proof of (i): By the above lemma, there exist Galerkin solutions $\mathbf{u}_n \in \mathbf{Y}_n$ of (2) such that

$$\|u_n(t)\|_2 \leq c.$$

Set $v=0$ in (2) we get the uniform boundedness from above of the numerical sequence $\{(\mathbf{T}(\mathbf{u}_n), \mathbf{u}_n^{(\ell)})\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$. The proof will follow if we can show the following assertions for some subsequence of (\mathbf{u}_n) :

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{u}_n^{(\ell)}}{\partial t} \rightarrow u_i^{(\ell)} \text{ weakly in } L^2(Q), \quad (4)$$

$$u_n \rightarrow u \text{ weakly in } X \text{ and strongly in } L^p(0, T, [W^{m-1, p}(\Omega)]^d), \quad (5)$$

$$\langle T(u_n), \mathbf{z}^{(\ell)} \rangle \rightarrow \langle T(u), \mathbf{z}^{(\ell)} \rangle, \forall \mathbf{z}^{(\ell)} \in C_0^\infty(Q) \quad (6)$$

$$\liminf_n \langle T(u_n), \mathbf{u}_n^{(\ell)} \rangle \geq \langle T(u), \mathbf{u}^{(\ell)} \rangle, \forall n \in \mathbb{N} \quad (7)$$

and

$$-\infty < \varphi^{(\ell)}(\mathbf{u}) \leq \liminf_n \varphi^{(\ell)}(\mathbf{u}_n) < \infty. \quad (8)$$

To show (4): Given $\varepsilon > 0$, any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and any $\mathbf{w}_n = (\mathbf{w}_n^{(1)}, \dots, \mathbf{w}_n^{(d)}) \in \mathbf{Y}_n$, put $\mathbf{w}_n^{(\ell)} = \frac{\mathbf{u}_n^{(\ell)} - \mathbf{v}^{(\ell)}}{\varepsilon}$.

Since $\mathbf{v}^{(\ell)}$ is arbitrary, $\mathbf{w}_n^{(\ell)}$ is absolutely for a given $\mathbf{u}_n^{(\ell)}$. Then (2) yields

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\frac{\partial \mathbf{u}_n^{(\ell)}}{\partial t}, \mathbf{w}_n^{(\ell)} \right) + \left(\mathbf{T}(u_n), \mathbf{w}_n^{(\ell)} \right) \\ & - \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \left[\varphi^{(\ell)}(\mathbf{u}_n(t) - \varepsilon \mathbf{w}_n(t)) + \varphi^{(\ell)}(\mathbf{u}_n(t)) \right] \\ & \leq \left(\mathbf{f}_n^{(\ell)}(t), \mathbf{w}_n^{(\ell)}(t) \right). \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

In particular, since $\mathbf{w}_n^{(\ell)}$ is arbitrary we can write (9) in the form

$$\begin{aligned} & - \left(\frac{\partial \mathbf{u}_n^{(\ell)}}{\partial t}, \frac{\mathbf{u}_n^{(\ell)}(t-\varepsilon) - \mathbf{u}_n^{(\ell)}(t)}{-\varepsilon} \right) \\ & - \left(\mathbf{T}(\mathbf{u}_n(t)), \frac{\mathbf{u}_n^{(\ell)}(t-\varepsilon) - \mathbf{u}_n^{(\ell)}(t)}{-\varepsilon} \right) \\ & \leq \left| \frac{\varphi^{(\ell)}(\mathbf{u}_n(t)) - \varepsilon \frac{\partial \mathbf{u}_n^{(\ell)}}{\partial t} - \varphi^{(\ell)}(\mathbf{u}_n(t))}{-\varepsilon} \right| \\ & - \left(\mathbf{f}_n^{(\ell)}(t), \frac{\mathbf{u}_n^{(\ell)}(t-\varepsilon) - \mathbf{u}_n^{(\ell)}(t)}{-\varepsilon} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Allowing $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & - \left(\frac{\partial \mathbf{u}_n^{(\ell)}(t)}{\partial t}, \frac{\partial \mathbf{u}_n^{(\ell)}(t)}{\partial t} \right) - \left(\mathbf{T}(\mathbf{u}_n(t)), \frac{\partial \mathbf{u}_n^{(\ell)}(t)}{\partial t} \right) \\ & \leq \left| \varphi^{(\ell)}(\mathbf{u}_n(t)) \right| \left\| \frac{\partial \mathbf{u}_n^{(\ell)}(t)}{\partial t} \right\|_2 - \left(\mathbf{f}_n^{(\ell)}(t), \frac{\partial \mathbf{u}_n^{(\ell)}(t)}{\partial t} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

where $\varphi^{(\ell)}$ is the Gateaux derivative of $\varphi^{(\ell)}$ at $\mathbf{u}_n(t)$.

Similarly, from (2), we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\frac{\partial \mathbf{u}_n^{(\ell)}(t+\varepsilon)}{\partial t}, \frac{\mathbf{u}_n^{(\ell)}(t+\varepsilon) - \mathbf{u}_n^{(\ell)}(t)}{\varepsilon} \right) \\ & + \left(\mathbf{T}(\mathbf{u}_n(t+\varepsilon)), \frac{\mathbf{u}_n^{(\ell)}(t+\varepsilon) - \mathbf{u}_n^{(\ell)}(t)}{\varepsilon} \right) \\ & \leq \left| \frac{\varphi^{(\ell)}(\mathbf{u}_n(t) - \varphi^{(\ell)}(\mathbf{u}_n(t)(t+\varepsilon))}{\varepsilon} \right| \\ & + \left(\mathbf{f}_n^{(\ell)}(t+\varepsilon), \frac{\mathbf{u}_n^{(\ell)}(t+\varepsilon) - \mathbf{u}_n^{(\ell)}(t)}{\varepsilon} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Letting $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \left(\frac{\partial \mathbf{u}_n^{(\ell)}(t+\varepsilon)}{\partial t}, \frac{\partial \mathbf{u}_n^{(\ell)}(t+\varepsilon)}{\partial t} \right) \\ & + \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \left(\mathbf{T}(\mathbf{u}_n(t+\varepsilon)), \frac{\mathbf{u}_n^{(\ell)}(t+\varepsilon) - \mathbf{u}_n^{(\ell)}(t)}{\varepsilon} \right) \\ & \leq \left| \varphi^{(\ell)}(\mathbf{u}_n(t)) \right| \left\| \frac{\partial \mathbf{u}_n^{(\ell)}(t)}{\partial t} \right\|_2 \\ & + \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \left(\mathbf{f}_n^{(\ell)}(t+\varepsilon), \frac{\partial \mathbf{u}_n^{(\ell)}(t)}{\partial t} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

Adding (10), (11) and integrating over $(0, t)$, taking into account A_2 we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{2\varepsilon^2} \int_\Omega [\mathbf{u}_n^{(\ell)}(\tau+\varepsilon) - \mathbf{u}_n^{(\ell)}(\tau)]^2 \Big|_0^t dx \\ & \leq \int_0^t \left\| \mathbf{f}_n^{(\ell)}(\tau) \right\|_2 \left\| \frac{\partial \mathbf{u}_n^{(\ell)}(\tau)}{\partial t} \right\|_2 d\tau \\ & + 2 \int_0^t \left| \varphi^{(\ell)}(\mathbf{u}_n(\tau)) \right| \left\| \frac{\partial \mathbf{u}_n^{(\ell)}(\tau)}{\partial t} \right\|_2 d\tau. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \frac{\partial \mathbf{u}_n^{(\ell)}(t)}{\partial t} \right\|_2^2 \\ & \leq 2 \int_0^t \left\| \mathbf{f}_n^{(\ell)}(\tau) \right\|_2 \left\| \frac{\partial \mathbf{u}_n^{(\ell)}(\tau)}{\partial t} \right\|_2 d\tau \\ & + 4 \int_0^t \left| \varphi^{(\ell)}(\mathbf{u}_n(\tau)) \right| \left\| \frac{\partial \mathbf{u}_n^{(\ell)}(\tau)}{\partial t} \right\|_2 d\tau + \left\| \frac{\partial \mathbf{u}_n^{(\ell)}(0)}{\partial t} \right\|_2^2. \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

On the other hand, we get from(2)

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\frac{\partial \mathbf{u}_n^{(\ell)}(\mathbf{t})}{\partial \mathbf{t}}, \frac{\partial \mathbf{u}_n^{(\ell)}(\mathbf{t})}{\partial \mathbf{t}} \right) + \left(\mathbf{T}(\mathbf{u}_n(\mathbf{t})), \frac{\partial \mathbf{u}_n^{(\ell)}(\mathbf{t})}{\partial \mathbf{t}} \right) \\ & \leq \left| \varphi^{(\ell)}(\mathbf{u}_n(t)) \right| \left\| \frac{\partial \mathbf{u}_n^{(\ell)}(\mathbf{t})}{\partial \mathbf{t}} \right\|_2 + \left(\mathbf{f}_n^{(\ell)}(\mathbf{t}), \frac{\partial \mathbf{u}_n^{(\ell)}(\mathbf{t})}{\partial \mathbf{t}} \right). \end{aligned}$$

In particular,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \frac{\partial \mathbf{u}_n^{(\ell)}(0)}{\partial \mathbf{t}} \right\|_2^2 + \left(\mathbf{T}(\mathbf{u}_n(0)), \frac{\partial \mathbf{u}_n^{(\ell)}(0)}{\partial \mathbf{t}} \right) \\ & \leq \left| \varphi^{(\ell)}(\mathbf{u}_n(0)) \right| \left\| \frac{\partial \mathbf{u}_n^{(\ell)}(0)}{\partial \mathbf{t}} \right\|_2 + \left(\mathbf{f}_n^{(\ell)}(0), \frac{\partial \mathbf{u}_n^{(\ell)}(0)}{\partial \mathbf{t}} \right). \end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

From (12) and (13),we may apply Gronwall's inequality to get the estimate

$$\left\| \frac{\partial \mathbf{u}_n^{(\ell)}(0)}{\partial \mathbf{t}} \right\|_2^2 \leq const. \left\| \mathbf{f}_n^{(\ell)}(0) \right\|_2^2, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{14}$$

Using A₁) and G), taking Young's inequality into account, we get

$$\left\| \frac{\partial \mathbf{u}_n^{(\ell)}(\mathbf{t})}{\partial \mathbf{t}} \right\|_2^2 \leq const. \forall n \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and } t \in [0, T]$$

and (4) follows. Assertion (5) is a direct consequence of A₂), G) and Aubin's lemma. Assertion (8) follows from the lower semicontinuity of $\varphi^{(\ell)}$.

To prove (6) and (7), it suffices to show

$$\limsup_n \int_0^T (\mathbf{T}(u_n), \mathbf{u}_n^{(\ell)} - \mathbf{u}^{(\ell)}) d\mathbf{t} \leq 0. \tag{15}$$

Since for any $v \in \mathbf{C}^1(\mathbf{0}, T, [\mathbf{C}_0^\infty(\Omega)]^d)$ we may find a subsequence $(\mathbf{v}_k) = (\mathbf{v}_k^{(1)}, \dots, \mathbf{v}_k^{(d)}) \subset \cup_{n=1}^\infty \mathbf{Y}_n$ such that $\mathbf{v}_k \rightarrow v$ weakly in X, we get from(2)

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^T \left(\frac{\partial \mathbf{u}_n^{(\ell)}}{\partial \mathbf{t}}, \mathbf{u}_n^{(\ell)} \right) d\mathbf{t} + \int_0^T (\mathbf{T}(u_n), \mathbf{u}_n^{(\ell)} - \mathbf{u}^{(\ell)}) d\mathbf{t} \\ & \leq \int_0^T \left(\frac{\partial \mathbf{u}_n^{(\ell)}}{\partial \mathbf{t}}, \mathbf{v}_k^{(\ell)} \right) d\mathbf{t} + \int_0^T (\mathbf{T}(u_n), \mathbf{v}_k^{(\ell)} - \mathbf{u}^{(\ell)}) d\mathbf{t} \\ & + \varphi^{(\ell)}(\mathbf{v}_k) - \varphi^{(\ell)}(\mathbf{u}_n) - \int_0^T (\mathbf{f}_n^{(\ell)}, \mathbf{v}_k^{(\ell)} - \mathbf{u}_n^{(\ell)}) d\mathbf{t}. \end{aligned}$$

Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$, keeping k fixed we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle u_t^{(\ell)}, \mathbf{u}^{(\ell)} \rangle + \limsup_n \int_0^T (\mathbf{T}(u_n), \mathbf{u}_n^{(\ell)} - \mathbf{u}^{(\ell)}) d\mathbf{t} \\ & \leq \langle u_t^{(\ell)}, \mathbf{v}_k^{(\ell)} \rangle + \limsup_n \int_0^T (\mathbf{T}(u_n), \mathbf{v}_k^{(\ell)} - \mathbf{u}^{(\ell)}) d\mathbf{t} \\ & + \varphi^{(\ell)}(\mathbf{v}_k) - \liminf_n \varphi^{(\ell)}(\mathbf{u}_n) - \int_0^T (\mathbf{f}_n^{(\ell)}, \mathbf{v}_k^{(\ell)} - \mathbf{u}^{(\ell)}) d\mathbf{t}. \end{aligned}$$

Since the left hand side of this inequality is independent of k, allowing $k \rightarrow \infty$ we get (15) and (i) of the theorem follows.

To prove (ii) little arguments are needed. For this aim, define the truncated perturbation $\mathbf{g}_k^{(\ell)}(x, t; u)$ by

$$\mathbf{g}_k^{(\ell)}(x, t; u) = \begin{cases} k \frac{g^{(\ell)}(x, t; u)}{|g^{(\ell)}(x, t; u)|} & \text{if } |g^{(\ell)}(x, t; u)| > k \\ g^{(\ell)}(x, t; u) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

From (i), there exists $u_k \in \mathbf{Y}$ with $\mathbf{u}_k(\mathbf{t}) \in \mathbf{K}$ a. e., $\mathbf{u}_k(\mathbf{0}) = \mathbf{0}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^T \left(\frac{\partial \mathbf{u}_k^{(\ell)}}{\partial \mathbf{t}}, \mathbf{v}^{(\ell)} - \mathbf{u}_k^{(\ell)} \right) d\mathbf{t} + \int_0^T (\mathbf{T}(u_k), \mathbf{v}^{(\ell)} - \mathbf{u}_k^{(\ell)}) d\mathbf{t} \\ & + \varphi_k^{(\ell)}(\mathbf{v}) - \varphi_k^{(\ell)}(\mathbf{u}_k) \geq \int_0^T (f^{(\ell)}, \mathbf{v}^{(\ell)} - \mathbf{u}_k^{(\ell)}) d\mathbf{t}, \end{aligned}$$

for every $\mathbf{v}^{(\ell)} \in \mathbf{C}^1(\mathbf{0}, T, \mathbf{C}_0^\infty(\Omega))$ for which

$$\varphi_k^{(\ell)}(\mathbf{v}) < \infty$$

where

$$\varphi_k^{(\ell)}(u_k) = \int_Q G_0^{k(\ell)}(x, t; u_k(x, t)) dx dt$$

and

$$G_0^{k(\ell)}(x, t; r) = \int_0^r g_k^{(\ell)}(x, t; s) ds.$$

Using the subgradient inequality for $G_0^{k(\ell)}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{t}; \mathbf{r})$ as a function of \mathbf{r} , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^T \left(\frac{\partial \mathbf{u}_k^{(\ell)}}{\partial \mathbf{t}}, \mathbf{v}^{(\ell)} - \mathbf{u}_k^{(\ell)} \right) d\mathbf{t} + \int_0^T (\mathbf{T}(u_k), \mathbf{v}^{(\ell)} - \mathbf{u}_k^{(\ell)}) d\mathbf{t} \\ & + \int_Q g_k^{(\ell)}(x, t; u_k) (\mathbf{v}^{(\ell)} - \mathbf{u}_k^{(\ell)}) dx dt \\ & \geq \int_0^T (\mathbf{f}^{(\ell)}, \mathbf{v}^{(\ell)} - \mathbf{u}_k^{(\ell)}) d\mathbf{t}. \end{aligned}$$

The rest of the proof is more or less word for word as in (i).

Example: As an example which can be handled by our result, consider the variational inequalities associated with the following system

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\partial u^{(\ell)}}{\partial t} - \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} (-1)^{|\alpha|} \mathbf{D}^\alpha \left(|\mathbf{D}^\alpha u^{(\ell)}|^{p-2} \right) \mathbf{D}^\alpha u^{(\ell)}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{t}) \\ & + u^{(\ell)} e^u = f^{(\ell)}(x, t), \quad \ell = 1, 2, \dots, d, p \geq 2. \end{aligned}$$

References

- [1] Brézis, H. and Browder, F.E., Strongly nonlinear parabolic initial boundary value problems. Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 76, 1979, 38-40.
- [2] Browder, F.E., and Brézis, H., Strongly nonlinear parabolic variational inequalities. Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 77, 2, 1980, 713-715.
- [3] El-Dessouky, A.T., Strongly nonlinear parabolic variational inequalities. J. of Mathematical Analysis and Applications, 181, 1994, 498-504.

- [4] Landes, R., and Mustonen, V., A strongly nonlinear parabolic initial boundary value problem. *Arkiv for Matematik*, 25, 1987, 29-40.
- [5] Landes, R., A note on strongly nonlinear parabolic equations of Higher order. *Diff. and Integral equations*, Vol.3, No.5, 1990, 851-862.