

Properties of a Certain Class of Meromorphic Analytic Functions Defined by a Linear Operator

Ajai P.T.^{1,3,*}, Moses B.O.², Ihedioha S.A.³

¹Department of Mathematics, University of The Gambia, Banjul, The Gambia

²Department of Mathematics, American University of Nigeria, Yola, Adamawa, Nigeria

³Department of Mathematics, Plateau state University, Boko, Nigeria

*Corresponding author: ajaiterwase@utg.edu.gm

Received September 10, 2019; Revised October 12, 2019; Accepted October 25, 2019

Abstract In this present paper, we introduced and characterized a new class of meromorphic univalent functions associated with polylogarithm by investigating; coefficient inequality, convolutions property, integral means and other properties of the class.

Keywords: analytic function, differential operator, Hadamard product, univalent functions

Cite This Article: Ajai P.T., Bolade M.O., and Ihedioha S.A, "Properties of a Certain Class of Meromorphic Analytic Functions Defined by a Linear Operator." *American Journal of Applied Mathematics and Statistics*, vol. 7, no. 5 (2019): 167-170. doi: 10.12691/ajams-7-5-2.

1. Introduction and Definitions

Let Σ denote the class of functions of the form

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k z^{k-1} \quad (1.1)$$

Which are analytic in the unit disk $U = \{z : |z| < 1 = U \setminus \{0\}\}$. Having a simple pole at the origin with residue 1. Furthermore, let Σ_{α} , $\Sigma^*(\alpha)$ and Σ_k , $0 \leq \alpha < 1$ denotes the subclasses of Σ which are univalent, meromorphically starlike and convex respectively.

Definition 1

Analytically, a function of the form (1.1) is in $\Sigma^*(\alpha)$ if and only if

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{-zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right\} > \alpha, z \in U, 0 \leq \alpha < 1. \quad (1.2)$$

Definition 2

Similarly, $f \in \Sigma_k(\alpha)$. If and only if f is of the form (1.1) and satisfies

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ - \left(1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right) \right\} > \alpha, z \in U, 0 \leq \alpha < 1. \quad (1.3)$$

Definition 3

For $c \in \mathbb{N}$, the set of natural numbers with $c \geq 2$, an absolutely convergent series defined as

$$Li_c(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(k+1)^c} z^k. \quad (1.4)$$

Is known as the polylogarithm. This class of functions was invented by Leibniz and Bernouli [1]. For more works on polylogarithm and meromorphic functions see [2-7].

We state here a linear operator derived as follow;

Let $\Psi_c f(z) : \Sigma \rightarrow \Sigma$ which is defined by the following Hadamard product by $\Psi_c f(z) = \xi_c(z) * f(z)$ Where

$$\xi_c(z) = z^{-2} Li_c(z) = \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(k+1)^c} z^k. \quad (1.5)$$

Define $D_c f(z) : \Sigma \rightarrow \Sigma$ as

$$D_c f(z) = \left\{ \Psi_c f(z) - \frac{1}{2^c} a_1 \right\}. \quad (1.6)$$

Definition 4

Let $f(z)$ be defined as in (1.1) and $D_c f(z)$ as stated in (1.6) then the function $f(z)$ then the function $f(z)$ in (1.1) is said to be in class $\Sigma_c(\lambda)$ if the following geometric condition are satisfy;

$$\Re \left\{ \frac{-z(D_c f(z))}{D_c f(z)} \right\} > \lambda, 0 \leq \lambda < 1 \quad (1.7)$$

Using subordination we write (1.7) as

$$\left| \frac{1 + \frac{z(D_c f(z))'}{D_c f(z)}}{1 - 2\lambda - \frac{z(D_c f(z))'}{D_c f(z)}} \right| < 1, z \in U \tag{1.8}$$

Where $D_c f(z)$ is as defined in (1.6)

2. Coefficient Inequality

Theorem 2.1

Let $f(z)$ of the form (1.1) a function $f(z)$ is said to be in the class $\sum_c(\lambda)$ iff the following bound is satisfy:

$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{k + \lambda - 1}{(k + 1)^c} a_k \leq \lambda \tag{2.1}$$

Proof

Assume that (2.1) holds true then from (1.8) we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \frac{z(D_c f(z))' + D_c f(z)}{(1 - 2\lambda)D_c f(z) - zD_c f(z)'} \right| \\ &= \left| \frac{k \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{a_k}{(k + 1)^c} z^{k-1}}{-2\lambda \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{2(1 - \lambda)}{(k + 1)^c} a_k z^{k-1}} \right| \\ &\leq \left| \frac{k \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{a_k}{(k + 1)^c}}{-2\lambda \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{2(1 - \lambda)}{(k + 1)^c} a_k} \right| \leq 1. \end{aligned}$$

Proving (2.1) Conversely, suppose $f(z) \in \sum_c(\lambda)$.

We have to show that condition (2.1) is true. Thus we have

$$\left| \frac{z(D_c f(z))' + D_c f(z)}{(1 - 2\lambda)D_c f(z) - zD_c f(z)'} \right| \leq 1, \tag{2.2}$$

Which is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \frac{z(D_c f(z))' + D_c f(z)}{(1 - 2\lambda)D_c f(z) - zD_c f(z)'} \right| \\ &= \left| \frac{k \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{a_k}{(k + 1)^c} z^{k-1}}{-2\lambda \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{2(1 - \lambda)}{(k + 1)^c} a_k z^{k-1}} \right| < 1. \end{aligned}$$

Notice that since $\Re(z) < |z|$ we similarly have

$$\Re \left\{ \frac{k \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{a_k}{(k + 1)^c} z^{k-1}}{2\lambda + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{2(1 - \lambda)}{(k + 1)^c} a_k z^{k-1}} \right\} < 1 \tag{2.3}$$

We choose the value z on the real axis and letting $z \rightarrow 1^-$, we have

$$\left\{ \frac{k \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{a_k}{(k + 1)^c} z^{k-1}}{2\lambda + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{2(1 - \lambda)}{(k + 1)^c} a_k z^{k-1}} \right\} < 1 \tag{2.4}$$

Which proves our assertion. The result is sharp here for the function;

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{z} + \frac{(k + 1)^c}{k - (1 - 2\lambda)} a_k z^{k-1}. \tag{2.5}$$

Theorem 2.2

The class is closed under convex combination.

Let $f_1(z), f_2(z) \in \sum_c(\lambda)$ then for $0 \leq \tau < 1$, then we have $(1 - \tau)f_1(z) + \tau f_2(z) \in \sum_c(\lambda)$.

Proof

By hypothesis $f_1(z) = \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k z^{k-1}$, and

$$f_2(z) = \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} b_k z^{k-1}.$$

Then

$$(1 - \tau)f_1(z) + \tau f_2(z) = \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} [(1 - \tau)a_k + \tau b_k] k z^{k-1}.$$

Thus we have from (2.1) the following

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{k + \lambda - 1}{(k + 1)^c} [(1 - \tau)a_k + \tau b_k] \\ &= \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{k + \lambda - 1}{(k + 1)^c} (1 - \tau)a_k + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{k + \lambda - 1}{(k + 1)^c} \tau b_k \\ &\leq (1 - \tau)\lambda + \tau\lambda = \lambda. \end{aligned}$$

This complete our proof.

3. Integral Means Inequalities

Let $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ be analytic in U , $f(z)$ is said to be subordinate to $g(z)$ written as

$$f(z) \prec g(z), z \in U. \tag{3.1}$$

If there exists a Schwarz function $w(z)$ which is analytic in U with $w(0) = 0, |w(z)| < 1, z \in U$ such that $f(z) = g(w(z))$. Furthermore, if the function $g(z)$ is univalent in U , then we have the following equivalence, see [8] $f(z) \prec g(z) \Leftrightarrow f(0) = g(0)$ and $f(U) \subset g(U)$.

Theorem 3.1 [9]

If $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ are analytic in U with $f(z) \prec g(z)$, then for $\mu > 0$, and $z = re^{i\theta}, 0 < r < 1$. Then

$$\int_0^{2\pi} |f(z)|^\mu d\theta \leq \int_0^{2\pi} |g(z)|^\mu d\theta.$$

Theorem 3.2

Let $f(z) \in \sum_c(\lambda)$ and $f_k(z)$ be defined by

$$f_k(z) = \frac{1}{z} + \frac{\lambda(k+1)^c}{k+\lambda-1} z^{k-1}, k = 2, 3, \dots$$

if there exists $w(z)$ such that

$$w^{k+1}(z) = \frac{\lambda(k+1)^c}{k+\lambda-1} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k z^{k+1}, k = 2, 3, \dots \quad (3.2)$$

$z = re^{i\theta}$ and $0 \leq r < 1$. Then

$$\int_0^{2\pi} |f(re^{i\theta})|^r d\theta \leq \int_0^{2\pi} |f_k(re^{i\theta})|^r d\theta, r > 0.$$

Proof

It is obvious that

$$\int_0^{2\pi} \left| 1 + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k z^{k-1} \right|^r d\theta \leq \int_0^{2\pi} \left| 1 + \frac{\lambda(k+1)^c}{k+\lambda-1} z^{k-1} \right|^r d\theta, r > 0$$

Using theorem 3.1 we have to show that

$$1 + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k z^{k-1} < 1 + \frac{\lambda(k+1)^c}{k+\lambda-1} z^{k-1} \quad (3.4)$$

Suppose we set $w^k(z) = \frac{\lambda(k+1)^c}{k+\lambda-1} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k z^k$. Then we

have $1 + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k z^{k-1} \leq 1 + \frac{\lambda(k+1)^c}{k+\lambda-1} z^{k-1}$

$$1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k z^{k-1} = 1 + \frac{\lambda(k+1)^c}{k+\lambda-1} |w(z)|^k.$$

Notice that $w(0) = 0$ and from theorem 2.1 we can write

$$\begin{aligned} w^k(z) &= \left| \frac{\lambda}{(k+\lambda-1)(k+1)^c} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k z^k \right| \\ &\leq \frac{\lambda}{(k+\lambda-1)(k+1)^c} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |a_k| \\ &\leq |z| < 1. \end{aligned}$$

This proves our theorem.

4. Convolution Property

Let $f(z), g(z) \in \sum_c(\lambda)$ and

$$f(z) = \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k z^{k-1}, g(z) = \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} b_k z^{k-1}$$

Robbinson [10] has shown that $f(z) * g(z) = \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k b_k z^{k-1}$

is also in $\sum_c(\lambda)$.

Theorem 4.1

Suppose $f(z), g(z) \in \sum_c(\lambda)$ then the Hadamard product or convolution of the functions f and g belongs to the class $\sum_c(\lambda_1)$. Where $\lambda_1 \geq \frac{1-k}{1-(k+1)^c}$.

Proof.

Since $f(z), g(z) \in \sum_c(\lambda)$, from theorem 2.1 we have

$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{k+\lambda-1}{\lambda(k+1)^c} a_k \leq 1 \text{ and } \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{k+\lambda-1}{\lambda(k+1)^c} b_k \leq 1.$$

We need to find the largest $\lambda_1 \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{k+\lambda-1}{\lambda(k+1)^c} a_k b_k \leq 1$,

by Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we have

$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{k+\lambda-1}{\lambda(k+1)^c} \sqrt{a_k b_k} \leq 1. \quad (3.5)$$

Thus it suffices to show that

$$\frac{k+\lambda_1-1}{\lambda_1(k+1)^c} a_k b_k \leq \frac{k+\lambda-1}{\lambda_1(k+1)^c} \sqrt{a_k b_k}$$

Which is equivalent to

But from (3.5) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sqrt{a_k b_k} &\leq \frac{(k+1)^c \lambda}{k+\lambda-1} \\ \frac{(k+1)^c}{k+\lambda-1} &\leq \frac{(k+\lambda_1-1)}{(k+\lambda-1) \lambda_1} \end{aligned}$$

The above simplify to $\lambda_1 \geq \frac{1-k}{1-(k+1)^c}$. This proves our

result.

Acknowledgements

The authors are thankful to the referees for their valuable suggestions. The first Author appreciates the directorate of Technical Aids Corps (TAC) for the privilege accorded me to be deployed as volunteers to The Gambia.

References

- [1] Gerhardt C. I., Leibniz G.W, Mathematische Schriften III/1, Georg Olms, NY, USA, 1971.
- [2] Al-Shaqsi K. and Darus M, A multiplier transformation defined by convolution involving nth order polylogarithm functions, International Mathematical Forum, 4 (37), 1823-1837, 2009.
- [3] Al-Amiri H.S and Reade M.O, On linear combination of some expression in the theory of Univalent functions, Monatsh maths 80, 257-264, 1975.
- [4] Bajpai S.K, A note on a class of meromorphic univalent functions, Rev. Rownanie Math. Pures Appl. 22, 295-2971977.
- [5] Goncharov A. B, Polylogarithms in arithmetic and geometry, Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians, 374-387, Zurich, Switzerland, August 1994.
- [6] Goel R.M. and S o h i N. S, on a class of meromorphic functions, Glasnik Matematioki 17 (1981), 19-28.

- [7] Rashhed K. A, Darus M., A new class of meromorphic functions involving polylogarithm function: *Journal of complex analysis*, (2014), 135-140.
- [8] Miller S.S. Mocanu, P.T. *Differential Subordinations. Theory and Applications*, Series on Monographs and Textbooks in Pure and Appl. Math. No. 255, Marcel Dekker Inc., New York, (2000).
- [9] Littlewood J.E, On inequalities in the theory of functions, *Proceedings of the London Mathematical Society*, 23(1) 481-519, 1925.
- [10] Robertson M.S, Convolutions of schlicht functions, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 13 (.1962), 585-589.



© The Author(s) 2019. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).