

# A Common Fixed Point Result in Ordered Complete Cone Metric Spaces

K. Prudhvi\*

Department of Mathematics, University College of Science, Saifabad, Osmania University, Hyderabad, Telangana State, India  
 \*Corresponding author: prudhvikasani@rocketmail.com

**Abstract** In this paper, we prove a common fixed point theorem for ordered contractions in ordered cone metric spaces without using the continuity. Our result generalizes some recent results existing in the references.

**Keywords:** fixed point, common fixed point, ordered cone metric space, normal cone, nonnormal cone

**Cite This Article:** K. Prudhvi, "A Common Fixed Point Result in Ordered Complete Cone Metric Spaces." *American Journal of Applied Mathematics and Statistics*, vol. 4, no. 2 (2016): 43-45. doi: 10.12691/ajams-4-2-3.

## 1. Introduction

In 2007, Huang and Zhang [5] introduced the concept of a cone metric space and proved some fixed point theorems in cone metric space. Later on, many authors have generalized and extended the fixed point theorems of Huang and Zhang [5]. Fixed point theorems in partially ordered set was studied by Ran and Reurings [9], Nieto and Lopez [8]. Subsequently, many authors (see, e. g., [1,2,6]) were investigated the fixed point results on ordered metric spaces. Altun and Durmaz [4], Altun, Damjanovic and Djoric [3] obtained fixed point theorems in ordered cone metric spaces. Recently, Kadelburg, Pavlovic and Radenovic [7] proved some common fixed point theorems in ordered contractions and quasicontractions in ordered cone metric spaces. In this paper, we proved a common fixed point theorem in ordered cone metric spaces without using the continuity. Our result, generalizes the results of [7].

The following definitions are in [5].

**Definition 1.1.** [5] Let  $E$  be a real Banach space and  $P$  be a subset of  $E$ . The set  $P$  is called a cone if and only if:

- $P$  is closed, non-empty and  $P \neq \{0\}$ ;
- $a, b \in \mathbb{R}, a, b \geq 0, x, y \in P$  imply  $ax+by \in P$ ;
- $x \in P$  and  $-x \in P$  implies  $x = 0$ .

**Definition 1.2.** [5] Let  $P$  be a cone in a Banach space  $E$ , define partial ordering  $\preceq$  with respect to  $P$  by  $x \preceq y$  if and only if  $y-x \in P$ . We shall write  $x \prec y$  to indicate  $x \preceq y$  but  $x \neq y$  while  $x \ll y$  will stand for  $y-x \in \text{int } P$ , where  $\text{int } P$  denotes the interior of the set  $P$ . This cone  $P$  is called an order cone.

**Definition 1.3.** [5] Let  $E$  be a Banach space and  $P \subset E$  be an order cone. The order cone  $P$  is called normal if there exists  $L > 0$  such that for all  $x, y \in E$ ,

$$0 \preceq x \preceq y \Rightarrow \|x\| \leq \|y\|.$$

The least positive number  $L$  satisfying the above inequality is called the normal constant of  $P$ .

Most of ordered Banach spaces used in applications possess a cone with the normal constant  $K = 1$ .

**Definition 1.4.** [5] Let  $X$  be a nonempty set of  $E$ . Suppose that the map  $d: X \times X \rightarrow E$  satisfies:

- $0 \preceq d(x, y)$  for all  $x, y \in X$  and  $d(x, y) = 0$  if and only if  $x = y$ ;
- $d(x, y) = d(y, x)$  for all  $x, y \in X$ ;
- $d(x, y) \preceq d(x, z) + d(z, y)$  for all  $x, y, z \in X$ .

Then  $d$  is called a cone metric on  $X$  and  $(X, d)$  is called a cone metric space.

**Remark 1.5.** [7] (1) If  $u \preceq v$  and  $v \ll w$ , then  $u \ll w$ .

(2) If  $0 \preceq u \ll c$  for each  $c \in \text{int } P$ , then  $u = 0$ .

(3) If  $a \preceq b + c$  for each  $c \in \text{int } P$ , then  $a \preceq b$ .

(4) If  $0 \preceq x \preceq y$  and  $0 \preceq a$ , then  $0 \preceq ax \preceq ay$ .

(5) If  $0 \preceq x_n \preceq y_n$ , for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x, \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} y_n = y$ , then  $0 \preceq x \preceq y$ .

(6) If  $0 \preceq d(x_n, y_n) \preceq b_n$  and  $b_n \rightarrow 0$ , then,  $d(x_n, x) \ll c$  where  $x_n, x$  are respectively, a sequence and a given point in  $X$ .

(7) If  $E$  is a real Banach space with a cone  $P$  and if  $a \preceq \lambda a$  where  $a \in P$  and  $0 < \lambda < 1$ , then  $a = 0$ .

(8) If  $c \in \text{int } P, 0 \preceq a_n$  and  $a_n \rightarrow 0$ , then there exists  $n_0$  such that for all  $n > n_0$  we have  $a_n \ll c$ .

## 2. Main Result

In this section, we prove a common fixed point theorem in an ordered complete cone metric spaces.

**Theorem 2.1.** Let  $(X, \preceq, d)$  be an ordered complete cone metric cone space. Let  $(f, g)$  be weakly increasing pair of self-maps on  $X$  w. r. t.  $\preceq$ . Suppose that the following conditions hold:

(i) there exists  $p, q, r, s, t \geq 0$  satisfying  $p + q + r + s + t < 1$  and  $q = r$  or  $s = t$ , such that

$$d(fx, gy) \preceq pd(x, y) + qd(x, fx) + rd(y, gy) + sd(x, gy) + td(y, fx) \quad (1)$$

for all comparable  $x, y \in X$ ;

(ii) if a nondecreasing sequence  $\{x_n\}$  converges to  $x \in X$ , then  $x_n \sqsubseteq x$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then,  $f$  and  $g$  have a common fixed point in  $X$ .

**Proof.** Let  $x_0 \in X$  be arbitrary and define a sequence  $\{x_n\}$  by  $x_{2n+1} = fx_{2n}$  and  $x_{2n+2} = gx_{2n+1}$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Since,  $(f, g)$  is weakly increasing, it can be easily shown that the sequence  $\{x_n\}$  is nondecreasing w. r. t.  $\sqsubseteq$ , that is,  $x_0 \sqsubseteq x_1 \sqsubseteq \dots \sqsubseteq x_n \sqsubseteq x_{n+1} \sqsubseteq \dots$ . In particular,  $x_{2n}$  and  $x_{2n+1}$  are comparable, by (1) we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2}) &= d(fx_{2n}, gx_{2n+1}) \\ &\leq pd(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}) + qd(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}) \\ &\quad + rd(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2}) + sd(x_{2n}, x_{2n+2}) \\ &\quad + td(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+1}) \\ &\leq pd(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}) + qd(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}) + rd(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2}) \\ &\quad + s[d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}) + d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2})]. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$(1-r-s)d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2}) \leq (p+q+s)d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}).$$

That is,

$$d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2}) \leq \frac{p+q+s}{1-r-s} d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}). \quad (2)$$

Similarly, we obtain

$$d(x_{2n+2}, x_{2n+3}) \leq \frac{p+q+t}{1-q-t} \frac{p+q+s}{1-r-s} d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}).$$

From (1) and (2), by induction, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2}) &\leq \frac{p+q+s}{1-r-s} d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}) \\ &\leq \frac{p+q+s}{1-r-s} \cdot \frac{p+r+s}{1-q-t} d(x_{2n-1}, x_{2n}) \\ &\leq \frac{p+q+s}{1-r-s} \cdot \frac{p+r+s}{1-q-t} \cdot \frac{p+r+s}{1-q-t} d(x_{2n-2}, x_{2n-1}) \\ &\leq \dots \leq \frac{p+q+s}{1-r-s} \left( \frac{p+r+s}{1-q-t} \cdot \frac{p+q+s}{1-r-s} \right)^n d(x_0, x_1), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_{2n+2}, x_{2n+3}) &\leq \frac{p+q+t}{1-q-t} d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2}) \\ &\leq \dots \leq \left( \frac{p+r+s}{1-q-t} \cdot \frac{p+q+s}{1-r-s} \right)^{n+1} d(x_0, x_1). \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Let } M = \frac{p+q+s}{1-r-s}, \quad N = \frac{p+r+s}{1-q-t}.$$

In the case  $q = r$ ,

$$MN = \frac{p+q+s}{1-r-s} \cdot \frac{p+r+s}{1-q-t} < 1 \times 1 = 1.$$

Now, for  $n < m$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2m+1}) &\leq d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2}) + \dots + d(x_{2n}, x_{2m+1}) \\ &\leq \left( M \sum_{i=n}^{m-1} (MN)^i + \sum_{i=n+1}^{m1} (MN)^i \right) d(x_0, x_1), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \left( \frac{M(MN)^n}{1-MN} + \frac{(MN)^{n-1}}{1-MN} \right) d(x_0, x_1), \\ &= (1+N) \frac{M(MN)^n}{1-MN} d(x_0, x_1). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}) &\leq (1+M) \frac{(MN)^n}{1-MN} d(x_0, x_1), \\ d(x_{2n}, x_{2m}) &\leq (1+M) \frac{(MN)^n}{1-MN} d(x_0, x_1), \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{and } d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2m}) \leq (1+N) \frac{M(MN)^n}{1-MN} d(x_0, x_1).$$

Hence, for  $n < m$

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_n, x_m) &\leq \max \left\{ \begin{aligned} &(1+N) \frac{M(MN)^n}{1-MN}, \\ &(1+M) \frac{(MN)^n}{1-MN} \end{aligned} \right\} d(x_0, x_1) \\ &= b_n d(x_0, x_1), \end{aligned}$$

where  $b_n \rightarrow 0$ , as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

By using (8) and (1) of Remark 1.5 and only the assumption that the underlying cone is solid, we conclude that  $\{x_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence.

Since  $(X, d)$  is complete, there exists  $u \in X$  such that  $x_n \rightarrow u$  (as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ).

$$\begin{aligned} d(fu, x_{2n+2}) &= d(fu, gx_{2n+1}) \\ &\leq pd(u, u) + qd(u, fu) + rd(x_{2n+1}, gx_{2n+1}) \\ &\quad + sd(u, gx_{2n+1}) + td(x_{2n+1}, fu). \end{aligned}$$

Letting  $n \rightarrow +\infty$

$$\begin{aligned} (fu, u) &\leq pd(u, u) + qd(u, fu) + rd(u, gu) \\ &\quad + sd(u, gu) + td(u, fu) \\ &\leq (q+t)d(u, fu) + (r+s)d(u, gu). \quad (3) \\ \Rightarrow (1-q-t)d(fu, u) &\leq (r+s)d(u, gu). \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow d(fu, u) \leq \left( \frac{r+s}{1-q-t} \right) d(u, gu).$$

Let  $c \gg 0$  be given. Choose a natural number  $N_1$  such that  $d(u, gu) \ll \left( \frac{r+s}{1-q-t} \right) c$ . Then from (3) we get that

$d(fu, u) \ll c$ .

Since  $c$  is arbitrary, we get that

$$d(fu, u) \ll \frac{c}{m} \text{ for each } m \in \mathbb{N}$$

Noting that  $\frac{c}{m} \rightarrow 0$  as  $m \rightarrow \infty$ , we conclude that

$$\frac{c}{m} - d(fu, u) \rightarrow d(fu, u) \text{ as } m \rightarrow \infty.$$

Hence,  $P$  is closed, then  $-d(fu, u) \in P$ .

Thus  $d(fu, u) \in P \cap (-P)$ . Hence  $d(fu, u) = 0$ .

Therefore,  $fu = u$ .

And

$$\begin{aligned} d(fx_{2n+1}, gx_{2n+2}) &\preceq pd(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2}) \\ &+ qd(x_{2n+1}, fx_{2n+1}) + rd(x_{2n+2}, gx_{2n+2}) \\ &+ sd(x_{2n+1}, gx_{2n+1}) + td(x_{2n+2}, fx_{2n+1}) \\ &\preceq pd(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2}) + qd(x_{2n+1}, fx_{2n+1}) \\ &+ rd(x_{2n+2}, gx_{2n+2}) + sd(x_{2n+1}, gx_{2n+1}) \\ &+ td(x_{2n+2}, fx_{2n+1}). \end{aligned}$$

Letting  $n \rightarrow +\infty$

$$\begin{aligned} d(fu, gu) &\preceq pd(u, u) + qd(u, fu) + rd(u, gu) \\ &\quad + sd(u, gu) + td(u, fu) \\ \Rightarrow d(fu, gu) &\preceq pd(u, u) + qd(u, u) + rd(fu, gu) \\ &\quad + sd(fu, gu) + td(u, u), \\ \Rightarrow d(fu, gu) &\preceq (r + s)d(fu, gu), \\ \Rightarrow (1 - (r + s))d(fu, gu) &\preceq 0, \\ \Rightarrow (1 - (r + s))d(fu, gu) &\preceq 0, \\ \Rightarrow d(fu, gu) &\preceq 0. \end{aligned}$$

That is,  $fu = gu$ .

Now we show that  $fu = gu = u$ . By (1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_{2n+1}, gu) &= d(fx_{2n}, gu) \\ &\preceq pd(x_{2n}, u) + qd(x_{2n}, fx_{2n}) + rd(u, gu) \\ &\quad + sd(x_{2n}, gu) + td(u, fx_{2n}). \end{aligned}$$

Letting  $n \rightarrow +\infty$

$$\begin{aligned} d(u, gu) &\preceq pd(u, u) + qd(u, fu) + rd(u, gu) \\ &\quad + sd(u, gu) + td(u, u) \\ &\preceq pd(u, u) + qd(u, u) + rd(u, gu) \\ &\quad + sd(u, gu) + td(u, u) \\ &\preceq (r + s)d(u, gu) \\ \Rightarrow (1 - r - s)d(u, gu) &\preceq 0 \\ \Rightarrow d(u, gu) &\preceq 0 \\ \Rightarrow d(u, gu) &= 0. \text{ That is, } u = gu. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $fu = gu = u$  and  $u$  is a common fixed point of  $f$  and  $g$ .

Now, we consider the case when condition (ii) is satisfied. For the sequence  $\{x_n\}$  we have  $x_n \rightarrow u \in X$  (as

$n \rightarrow \infty$ ) and  $x_n \sqsubseteq u (n \in \mathbb{N})$ . By the construction,  $fx_n \rightarrow u$  and  $gx_n \rightarrow u$  (as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ).

Let us prove that  $u$  is a common fixed point of  $f$  and  $g$ . Putting  $x = u$  and  $y = x_n$  in (1) (since they are comparable) we get that

$$\begin{aligned} d(fu, gx_n) &\preceq pd(u, x_n) + qd(u, fu) + rd(x_n, gx_n) \\ &\quad + sd(u, gx_n) + td(x_n, fu). \end{aligned}$$

For the first and fourth term of the right hand side we have  $d(x_n, u) \ll c$  and  $d(u, gx_n) \ll c$  (for  $c \in \text{int } P$  arbitrary and  $n \geq n_0$ ). For the second term  $d(u, fu) \ll d(u, x_n) + d(x_n, gx_n) + d(gx_n, fu)$  (again the first term in the right can be neglected) and for the fifth term  $d(x_n, fu) \ll d(x_n, gx_n) + d(gx_n, fu)$ . It follows that

$$(1 - q - t)d(fu, gx_n) \preceq (q + r + t)d(x_n, gx_n).$$

But  $x_n \rightarrow u$  and  $gx_n \rightarrow u \Rightarrow d(x_n, gx_n) \ll c$ , which means that  $d(fu, gx_n) \ll c$ , that is,  $gx_n \rightarrow fu$ . It follows that,  $fu = u$  and in a symmetric way (by using that  $u \sqsubseteq u$ ),  $gu = u$ .

**Remark 2.2.** If we choose  $f$  and  $g$  are continuous mappings in the above Theorem 2.1, then we get the Theorem 2.1 of [7].

## References

- [1] M. Abbas and G. Jungck, Common fixed point results for non commuting mappings without continuity in cone metric spaces, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 341(2008) 416-420.
- [2] M. Abbas, B.E. Rhoades, Fixed and periodic point results in cone metric spaces, *Appl. Math. Lett.* 21(2008)511-515.
- [3] I. Altun, B. Damjanovic, D. Djoric, Fixed point and common fixed point theorems on ordered cone metric spaces, *Appl. Math. Lett.* (2009).
- [4] I. Altun, B. Durmaz, Some fixed point theorems on ordered cone metric spaces, *Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo* 58(2009) 319-325.
- [5] L.G. Huang, X. Zhang, Cone metric spaces and fixed point theorems of contractive mappings, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 332(2)(2007) 1468-1476.
- [6] D. Ilic, V. Rakocevic, Quasi-contraction on a cone metric space, *Appl. Math. Lett.* 22(2009)728-731.
- [7] Z. Kadelburg, M. Pavlovic and S. Radenovic, Common fixed point theorems for ordered contractions and quasicontractions in ordered cone metric spaces, *Comp. and Math. with Appl.* 59(2010) 3148-3159.
- [8] J.J. Nietro, R.R. Lopez, Contractive mapping theorems in partially ordered sets and applications to ordinary differential equations, *Order* 22(2005)223-239.
- [9] A.C.M. Ran, M.C.B. Reurings, A fixed point theorem in partially ordered sets and some application to matrix equations, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 132