

Generalised Common Fixed Point Theorems of A- Compatible and S-Compatible Mappings

Shahidur Rahman, Yumnam Rohen*, M. Popeshwar Singh

National Institute of Technology Manipur, Imphal, India

*Corresponding author: ymnehor2008@yahoo.com

Received February 26, 2013; Revised March 12, 2013; Accepted April 15, 2013

Abstract In this paper we prove a common fixed point theorem of four self mappings satisfying a generalized inequality using the concept of A-compatible and S-compatible mappings. Our result generalizes many earlier related results in the literature.

Keywords: common fixed point, complete metric space, compatible mappings, compatible mappings of type (A), A-compatible mappings, S-compatible mappings

1. Introduction

The first important result in the theory of fixed point of compatible mappings was obtained by Gerald Jungck in 1986 [2] as a generalization of commuting mappings. In 1993 Jungck, Murthy and Cho [3] introduced the concept of compatible mappings of type (A) by generalizing the definition of weakly uniformly contraction maps. Pathak and Khan [6] introduced the concept of A-compatible and S-compatible by splitting the definition of compatible mappings of type (A). Fixed point results of compatible mappings are found in [1-8].

Sharma and Sahu [8] proved the following theorem.

THEOREM 1.1 Let A , S and T be three continuous mappings of a complete metric space (X, d) into itself satisfying the following conditions:

- (i) A commutes with S and T respectively
- (ii) $S(X) \subseteq A(X)$ and $T(X) \subseteq A(X)$
- (iii) $[d(Sx, Tx)]^2 \leq a_1d(Ax, Sx)d(Ay, Ty) + a_2d(Ay, Sx)d(Ax, Ty) + a_3d(Ax, Sx)d(Ax, Ty) + a_4d(Ay, Ty)d(Ay, Sx) + a_5d^2(Ax, Ay)$

For all $x, y \in X$, where $a_i \geq 0$, $i = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$ and $a_1 + a_4 + a_5 < 1$, $2a_1 + 3a_3 + 2a_5 < 2$.

Then A , S and T have a unique common fixed point in X .

Murthy [6] pointed out that the constraints taken by Sharma and Sahu in condition (iii) of theorem 1.1 is not true and suggested the corrected replacement as $\max\{a_1 + 2a_3 + a_5, a_1 + 2a_4 + a_5, a_2 + a_5\} < 1$ and proved a new fixed point theorem.

The aim of this paper is to prove a common fixed point theorem of S-compatible mappings in metric space by considering four self mappings. Further we give another common fixed point theorem of A-compatible mappings.

2. Preliminaries

Following are definitions of types of compatible mappings.

Definition 2.1 [2]: Let A and S be mappings from a complete metric space X into itself. The mappings A and S are said to be compatible if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(ASx_n, SAx_n) = 0$ whenever $\{x_n\}$ is a sequence in X such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Ax_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Sx_n = t$ for some $t \in X$.

Definition 2.2 [3]: Let A and S be mappings from a complete metric space X into itself. The mappings A and S are said to be compatible of type (A) if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(ASx_n, SSx_n) = 0$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(SAx_n, AAx_n) = 0$ whenever $\{x_n\}$ is a sequence in X such that for $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Ax_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Sx_n = t$ for some $t \in X$.

Definition 2.3 [5]: Let A and S be mappings from a complete metric space X into itself. The mappings A and S are said to be A-compatible if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(ASx_n, SSx_n) = 0$ whenever $\{x_n\}$ is a sequence in X such that for $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Ax_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Sx_n = t$ for some $t \in X$.

Definition 2.4 [5]: Let A and S be mappings from a complete metric space X into itself. The mappings A and S are said to be S-compatible if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(SAx_n, AAx_n) = 0$ whenever $\{x_n\}$ is a sequence in X such that for $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Ax_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Sx_n = t$ for some $t \in X$.

Proposition 2.5 [6]: Let A and S be mappings from a complete metric space (X, d) into itself. If a pair (A, S) is A-compatible on X and $St = At$ for $t \in X$, then $ASt = SSt$.

Proposition 2.6 [6]: Let A and S be mappings from a complete metric space (X, d) into itself. If a pair (A, S) is S-compatible on X and $St = At$ for $t \in X$, then $SAt = AASt$.

Proposition 2.7 [6]: Let A and S be mappings from a complete metric space (X, d) into itself. If a pair (A, S) is

A-compatible on X and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Ax_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Sx_n = t$ for $t \in X$, then $SSx_n \rightarrow At$ if A is continuous at t .

Proposition 2.8 [6]: Let A and S be mappings from a complete metric space (X, d) into itself. If a pair (A, S) is S -compatible on X and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Ax_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Sx_n = t$ for $t \in X$,

then $AAx_n \rightarrow St$ if S is continuous at t .

Now we prove the following theorem.

LEMMA 2.9 Let A, B, S and T be mapping from a metric space (X, d) into itself satisfying the following conditions:

- (1) $A(X) \subseteq T(X)$ and $B(X) \subseteq S(X)$
- (2) $[d(Ax, Bx)]^2 \leq a_1d(Ax, Sx)d(By, Ty) + a_2d(By, Sx)d(Ax, Ty) + a_3d(Ax, Sx)d(Ax, Ty) + a_4d(By, Ty)d(By, Sx) + a_5d^2(Sx, Ty)$

where $a_1 + a_2 + 2a_3 + a_4 + a_5 < 1$ and $a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, a_5 \geq 0$

(3) Let $x_0 \in X$ then by (1) there exists $x_1 \in X$ such that $Tx_1 = Ax_0$ and for x_1 there exists $x_2 \in X$ such that $Sx_2 = Bx_1$ and so on. Continuing this process we can define a sequence $\{y_n\}$ in X such that

$$y_{2n+1} = Tx_{2n+1} = Ax_{2n} \text{ and } y_{2n} = Sx_{2n} = Bx_{2n-1}$$

then the sequence $\{y_n\}$ is Cauchy sequence in X .

Proof. By condition (2) and (3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} [d(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n})]^2 &= [d(Ax_{2n}, Bx_{2n-1})]^2 \\ &\leq a_1d(Ax_{2n}, Sx_{2n})d(Bx_{2n-1}, Tx_{2n-1}) \\ &\quad + a_2d(Bx_{2n-1}, Sx_{2n})d(Ax_{2n}, Tx_{2n-1}) \\ &\quad + a_3d(Ax_{2n}, Sx_{2n})d(Ax_{2n}, Tx_{2n-1}) \\ &\quad + a_4d(Bx_{2n-1}, Tx_{2n-1})d(Bx_{2n-1}, Sx_{2n}) \\ &\quad + a_5d^2(Sx_{2n}, Tx_{2n-1}) \\ &= a_1d(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n})d(y_{2n}, y_{2n-1}) \\ &\quad + a_2d(y_{2n}, y_{2n})d(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n-1}) \\ &\quad + a_3d(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n})d(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n-1}) \\ &\quad + a_4d(y_{2n}, y_{2n-1})d(y_{2n}, y_{2n}) \\ &\quad + a_5d^2(y_{2n}, y_{2n-1}) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} [d(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n})]^2 &\leq a_1d(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n})d(y_{2n}, y_{2n-1}) \\ &\quad + a_3d^2(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n}) \\ &\quad + a_3d(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n})d(y_{2n}, y_{2n-1}) \\ &\quad + a_5d^2(y_{2n}, y_{2n-1}) \end{aligned}$$

$$(1 - a_3) \left\{ \frac{d(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n})}{d(y_{2n}, y_{2n-1})} \right\}^2 \leq (a_1 + a_3) \left\{ \frac{d(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n})}{d(y_{2n}, y_{2n-1})} \right\} + a_5$$

$$\Rightarrow \lambda^2 - \lambda B - C \leq 0$$

Where $\lambda = \frac{d(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n})}{d(y_{2n}, y_{2n-1})}$

$$B = \frac{a_1 + a_3}{1 - a_3}$$

$$C = \frac{a_5}{1 - a_3}$$

Since $a_1 + a_2 + 2a_3 + a_4 + a_5 < 1$ and $a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, a_5 \geq 0$.

In order to satisfy the inequation, one value of λ will be positive and the other will be negative. We also note that the sum and product of the two values of λ is less than 1 and -1 respectively. Neglecting the negative value, we have $\frac{d(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n})}{d(y_{2n}, y_{2n-1})} < p$ where $0 < p < 1$.

$$d(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n}) < pd(y_{2n}, y_{2n-1})$$

Hence $\{y_n\}$ is Cauchy sequence.

3. Main Results

We prove the following theorem.

THEOREM 3.1: Let A, B, S and T be self maps of a complete metric space (X, d) satisfying the following conditions:

- (1) $A(X) \subseteq T(X)$ and $B(X) \subseteq S(X)$
- (2) $[d(Ax, Bx)]^2 \leq a_1d(Ax, Sx)d(By, Ty) + a_2d(By, Sx)d(Ax, Ty) + a_3d(Ax, Sx)d(Ax, Ty) + a_4d(By, Ty)d(By, Sx) + a_5d^2(Sx, Ty)$

where $a_1 + a_2 + 2a_3 + a_4 + a_5 < 1$ and $a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, a_5 \geq 0$

(3) Let $x_0 \in X$ then by (1) there exists $x_1 \in X$ such that $Tx_1 = Ax_0$ and for x_1 there exists $x_2 \in X$ such that $Sx_2 = Bx_1$ and so on. Continuing this process we can define a sequence $\{y_n\}$ in X such that

$$y_{2n+1} = Tx_{2n+1} = Ax_{2n} \text{ and } y_{2n} = Sx_{2n} = Bx_{2n-1}$$

then the sequence $\{y_n\}$ is Cauchy sequence in X .

- (4) One of A, B, S or T is continuous.
- (5) $[A, S]$ and $[B, T]$ are S -compatible mappings on X .

Then A, B, S and T have a unique common fixed point in X .

Proof: By lemma 2.9, $\{y_n\}$ is Cauchy sequence. Since X is complete, there exists a point $z \in X$ such that $\lim y_n = z$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Consequently subsequences $Ax_{2n}, Sx_{2n}, Bx_{2n-1}$ and Tx_{2n+1} converges to z .

Let S be a continuous mapping. Since A and S are S -compatible mappings on X , then by proposition 2.8., we have $AAx_{2n} \rightarrow Sz$ and $SAx_{2n} \rightarrow Sz$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Now by condition (2) of lemma 2.9, we have

$$\begin{aligned} d^2(AAx_{2n}, Bx_{2n-1}) &\leq a_1d(AAx_{2n}, SAx_{2n})d(Bx_{2n-1}, Tx_{2n-1}) \\ &\quad + a_2d(Bx_{2n-1}, SAx_{2n})d(AAx_{2n-1}, Tx_{2n-1}) \\ &\quad + a_3d(AAx_{2n}, SAx_{2n})d(AAx_{2n}, Tx_{2n-1}) \\ &\quad + a_4d(Bx_{2n-1}, Tx_{2n-1})d(Bx_{2n-1}, SAx_{2n}) \\ &\quad + a_5d^2(SAx_{2n}, Tx_{2n-1}) \end{aligned}$$

As $n \rightarrow \infty$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} d^2(Sz, z) &\leq a_1d(Sz, Sz)d(z, z) + a_2d(z, Sz)d(Sz, z) \\ &\quad + a_3d(Sz, Sz)d(Sz, z) + a_4d(z, z)d(z, Sz) \\ &\quad + a_5d^2(Sz, z)[d(Sz, z)]^2 \\ &\leq (a_2 + a_5)[d(Sz, z)]^2, \end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction. Hence $Sz = z$,

Now

$$\begin{aligned} [d(Az, Bx_{2n-1})]^2 &\leq a_1 d(Az, Sz) d(Bx_{2n-1}, Tx_{2n-1}) \\ &\quad + a_2 d(Bx_{2n-1}, Sz) d(Az, Tx_{2n-1}) \\ &\quad + a_3 d(Az, Sz) d(Az, Tx_{2n-1}) \\ &\quad + a_4 d(Bx_{2n-1}, Tx_{2n-1}) d(Bx_{2n-1}, Sz) \\ &\quad + a_5 d^2(Sz, Tx_{2n-1}) \end{aligned}$$

Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$, we have $[d(Az, z)]^2 \leq a_3 [d(Az, z)]^2$. Hence $Az = z$.

Now since $Az = z$, by condition (1), $z \in T(X)$. Also T is self map of X so there exists a point $u \in X$ such that $z = Az = Tu$. More over by condition (2), we obtain,

$$\begin{aligned} [d(z, Bu)]^2 &= [d(Az, Bu)]^2 \\ &\leq a_1 d(Az, Sz) d(Bu, Tu) + a_2 d(Bu, Sz) d(Az, Tu) \\ &\quad + a_3 d(Az, Sz) d(Az, Tu) + a_4 d(Bu, Tu) d(Bu, Sz) \\ &\quad + a_5 d^2(Sz, Tu) \end{aligned}$$

i.e., $[d(z, Bu)]^2 \leq a_4 [d(z, Bu)]^2$.

Hence $Bu = z$ i.e., $z = Tu = Bu$.

By condition (5), we have

$$d(TBu, BTu) = 0.$$

Hence $d(Tz, Bz) = 0$ i.e., $Tz = Bz$.

Now,

$$\begin{aligned} [d(z, Tz)]^2 &= [d(Az, Bz)]^2 \\ &\leq a_1 d(Az, Sz) d(Bz, Tz) + a_2 d(Bz, Sz) d(Az, Tz) \\ &\quad + a_3 d(Az, Sz) d(Az, Tz) + a_4 d(Bz, Tz) d(Bz, Sz) \\ &\quad + a_5 d^2(Sz, Tz) \end{aligned}$$

i.e., $[d(z, Tz)]^2 \leq a_2 [d(z, Tz)]^2$ which is a contradiction.

Hence $z = Tz$ i.e., $z = Tz = Bz$.

Therefore z is common fixed point of A, B, S and T . Similarly we can prove that z is a common fixed point of A, B, S and T if any one of A, B or T is continuous.

Finally, in order to prove the uniqueness of z , suppose w be another common fixed point of A, B, S and T Then we have,

$$\begin{aligned} [d(z, w)]^2 &= [d(Az, Bw)]^2 \\ &\leq a_1 d(Az, Sz) d(Bw, Tw) + a_2 d(Bw, Sz) d(Az, Tw) \\ &\quad + a_3 d(Az, Sz) d(Az, Tw) + a_4 d(Bw, Tw) d(Bw, Sz) \\ &\quad + a_5 d^2(Sz, Tw) \end{aligned}$$

which gives $[d(z, Tw)]^2 \leq a_2 [d(z, Tw)]^2$. Hence $z = w$.

This completes the proof.

THEOREM 3.2: Let A, B, S and T be self maps of a complete metric space (X, d) satisfying the following conditions:

(1) $A(X) \subseteq T(X)$ and $B(X) \subseteq S(X)$.

(2) $[d(Ax, Bx)]^2 \leq a_1 d(Ax, Sx) d(By, Ty) + a_2 d(By, Sx) d(Ax, Ty) + a_3 d(Ax, Sx) d(Ax, Ty) + a_4 d(By, Ty) d(By, Sx) + a_5 d^2(Sx, Ty)$

where $a_1 + a_2 + 2a_3 + a_4 + a_5 < 1$ and $a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, a_5 \geq 0$.

(3) Let $x_0 \in X$ then by (1) there exists $x_1 \in X$ such that $Tx_1 = Ax_0$ and for x_1 there exists $x_2 \in X$ such that $Sx_2 = Bx_1$ and so on. Continuing this process we can define a sequence $\{y_n\}$ in X such that

$$y_{2n+1} = Tx_{2n+1} = Ax_{2n} \text{ and } y_{2n} = Sx_{2n} = Bx_{2n-1}$$

then the sequence $\{y_n\}$ is Cauchy sequence in X .

(4) One of A, B, S or T is continuous.

(5) $[A, S]$ and $[B, T]$ are A-compatible mappings on X .

Then A, B, S and T have a unique common fixed point in X .

Proof: Similar to theorem 3.1.

Remark:

(i) By taking $a_1 = a_2 = k_1$ and $a_3 = a_4 = k_2$ and $a_5 = 0$ and (A, S) and (B, T) as compatible mappings theorem 3.1 reduces to theorem 1 of Bijendra and Chouhan [1].

(ii) By taking $S = T$ and (A, S) and (A, T) as commuting mappings or compatible mappings of type (A) theorem 3.1 reduce to results of Murthy [6] and Sharma and Sahu [8] under certain conditions.

References

- [1] Bijendra Singh and M.S. Chauhan, On common fixed points of four mappings, *Bull. Cal. Math. Soc.* 88, 1996 451-456.
- [2] Jungck G., Compatible maps and common fixed points, *Inter. J. Math. and Math. Sci.* 9, 1986 771-779.
- [3] Jungck G., Murthy P.P. and Cho Y.J., Compatible mappings of type (A) and common fixed points, *Math. Japonica* 38 1993, 381-390.
- [4] Koireng M., Leenthoi N. and Rohen Y., Common fixed points of compatible mappings of type (R) *General Mathematics Notes*, 10(1) May 2012, 58-62.
- [5] P. P. Murthy, Remarks on fixed point theorem of Sharma and Sahu, *Bull. of Pure and Appl. Sc.*, 12 E(1-2), 1993 7-10.
- [6] H.K. Pathak and M.S. Khan, A comparison of various types of compatible maps and common fixed points, *Indian J. pure appl. Math.*, 28(4): April 1997. 477-485.
- [7] H.K. Pathak, M.S. Khan and Reny George, Compatible mappings of type (A-1) and type (A-2) and common fixed points in fuzzy metric spaces, *International Math. Forum*, 2(11): 2007 515-524.
- [8] Sharma B. K. and Sahu N. K., Common fixed point of three continuous mappings, *The Math. Student*, 59 (1) 1991 77-80.